

PRELIMINARY ACID SULPHATE SOIL (ASS) INVESTIGATION REPORT

Client – Jonathan Odisho Project Title – 1 & 3 Careel Head Road, Avalon Beach Project Type – Mixed-Use Development Project No. – ER24020A Date Issued – 10/07/2024 Description of Services – Preliminary Acid Sulphate Soil (ASS) Investigation Report



Document Control

Report Title: Acid Sulphate Soil (ASS) Investigation Report

Report No: ER24020A

Copies	Recipient
1. Final Copy (PDF – Sent via email)	Jonathan Odisho jonathan@fourjsgroup.com.au

Author		Technical Reviewer	
	Tige	Suladdid's	
Diego Esp Environme	binosa Moreno ental Engineer	Zuhaib Siddiqui Associate Environmenta	al Engineer
Revision	Details	Date	Amended By
	Original	10.07.2024	

Email: info@cec-au.com

M: (+61) 493 473 621

Address: 4/83 Grose St, North Parramatta NSW 2151



Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	. 4
1.1	Background	. 4
1.2	Proposed Development	. 4
1.3	Scope of Works	. 4
2.	Desktop Assessment	. 4
3.	Investigation of Acid Sulphate Soils	. 5
3.1	Assessment Criteria for Acid Sulphate Soil	. 5
3.2	Methodology and Preliminary Laboratory Analyses	. 6
3.3	Results	. 6
3.3.	1 Preliminary Laboratory Results	. 6
4.	Preliminary Conclusion	. 6
5.	Limitations	. 7
Refe	erences	. 8



List of Tables

Table 1: Summar	v of Preliminarv L	aboratory Re	sults	6
	, er i reminary _			•

List of Figures

Figure 1: NSW Planning portal, Site is subject to ASS Class 2 and 5	9
Figure 2: ASS potential for the Site Location	9
Figure 3: Site Plan	10

List of Appendices

Appendix A: Figures Appendix B: Architectural Drawings Appendix C: Laboratory Test Results



1. Introduction

1.1 Background

CEC (Geotechnical) has undertaken an Acid Sulphate Soils Assessment and Management Plan for the proposed mixed-use development, including a 1-level basement to be constructed at 1 & 3 Careel Head Road, AVALON BEACH. Acid Sulphate Soil (ASS) investigation is required where soil types contain iron sulphides and/or their oxidation by-product (Sulphuric acid). Acid Sulphate waters can corrode engineering works and infrastructure such as culverts, bridges and weirs, which are in contact with these waters/soil. The precipitation of iron hydroxide/oxide flocs from acidic, iron-rich waters can cause the blocking of drains, and wells and the reduction of aquifer recharge.

1.2 Proposed Development

Information provided by the client indicates the proposed development comprises the construction of a mixed-use development, including 1-level basement and childcare centre.

As there was no information provided in the architectural drawings related to the type of foundation. And for this type of development, screw pile is commonly practised, which disturbs very less soil.

1.3 Scope of Works

The geotechnical site investigation for Acid Sulphate Soils (ASS) was carried out on 19/06/2024 and included the drilling of four boreholes and Soil sampling in accordance with Australian Standards AS 1289, 'Methods of Testing Soil for Engineering Purposes'. The soil encountered during drilling was classified according to Australian Standards AS 1726-2017. The soil sampling was carried out as per the Acid Sulphate Soil Manual, August 1998, NSW.

- A site walk-over inspection by a Geotechnical Engineer in order to determine the overall surface conditions and to identify relevant site features.
- A review of DBYD plans and service locations carried out on the site using a specialised subcontractor to ensure that the investigation area is free from underground services.
- Machine Drilling of four boreholes BH1-BH4 to a maximum depth of 4.0m below the ground.
- Soil samples collected from the boreholes were tested by Eurofins, a NATA-accredited laboratory for Acid Sulphate Tests.

This report is based only on the information provided prior to the preparation of this report and may not be valid if the site conditions change and/or after earthworks are undertaken.

2. Desktop Assessment

This spatial dataset identifies areas of land showing the extent of acid sulphate soils. Acid Sulphate soils have been classified into five classes based on the likelihood of the acid sulphate soils being present in particular areas and at specific depths.

- Class 1: Acid Sulphate soils in a class 1 area are likely to be found on and below the natural ground surface.
- Class 2: Acid Sulphate soils in a class 2 area are likely to be found below the natural ground surface.
- Class 3: Acid Sulphate soils in a class 3 area are likely to be found beyond 1 metre below the natural ground surface.
- Class 4: Acid Sulphate soils in a class 4 area are likely to be found beyond 2 metres below the natural ground surface.
- Class 5: Acid Sulphate soils are not typically found in Class 5 areas. Areas classified as Class 5 are located within 500 metres on adjacent Class 1, 2, 3, or 4 land.



Reference made to the NSW Planning Portal indicates the site is situated within an area of general ASS occurrences, identified as a Class 2 and 5 region, as shown in **Figure 1 in Appendix A**.

It is noted that the NSW Planning Portal classifies ASS into five (5) different classes based on the likelihood of the ASS being present in particular areas and at specific depths. Where ASS is not typically found in Class 5 regions and is likely to be found 1-3m below the natural ground surface in Class 5 regions.

The pointed location in **Figure 2 in Appendix A** shows the construction location is likely to be found below the natural soil, and the western end of the site is located within X2 (Disturbed terrain, elevation 2-4 m AHD).

ASSMAC recommends the following geomorphic or site criteria be used to determine if acid Sulphate soils (ASS) are likely to be present:

- a) Sediments of recent geological age (Holocene).
- b) Soil horizons less than 5m AHD.
- c) Marine or estuarine sediments and tidal lakes.
- d) In coastal wetlands or back swamp areas; waterlogged or scalded areas; interdunal swales or coastal sand dunes (if deep excavation or drainage is proposed).
- e) In areas where the dominant vegetation is mangroves, reeds, rushes and other swamp-tolerant or marine vegetation.
- f) In areas identified in geological descriptions or in maps as bearing acid sulphide minerals, coal deposits or former marine shales/sediments.
- g) Deep older estuarine sediments >10 metres below the ground surface, Holocene, or Pleistocene age (only an issue if deep drainage is proposed).

As mentioned above, the Acid Sulphate soil map included in the Site Contamination Assessment indicates that the development area is within Class 2 and 5 Land, **Figure 1 in Appendix A**. Therefore, it is considered that there is a likely risk of ASS being present within the proposed development area.

3. Investigation of Acid Sulphate Soils

3.1 Assessment Criteria for Acid Sulphate Soil

The assessment criteria normally applied to assist in the preliminary identification of Actual Acid Sulphate Soils (AASS) and Potential Acid Sulphate Soils (PASS), in accordance with Acid Sulphate Soils Planning Guidelines (AASMAC), are as follows:

- pHF < 4 shows the occurrence of oxidation in the past and that AASS is likely to be present.
- pHFOX <3, plus a pHFOX reading at least one pH unit below the corresponding pHF, plus a strong reaction with peroxide, strongly indicates the presence of PASS.



3.2 Methodology and Preliminary Laboratory Analyses

A preliminary soil sampling programme was undertaken following the Acid Sulphate Soil Guidelines. Four (4) boreholes (BH1, BH2, BH3 and BH4) were drilled utilising a truck mount solid flight auger drill rig in the area of the proposed development (**Figure 3, Appendix A** – for the borehole locations).

The samples were submitted to the laboratory (Eurofins Laboratories) for the recommended preliminary testing. The detailed laboratory analysis results are presented in **Appendix C** – Laboratory Reports. The Table below summarises laboratory testing results to determine the pH for the tests mentioned above as carried out by NATA accredited laboratory on the recovered samples from boreholes (BH1, BH2, BH3 and BH4) inclusive, with laboratory testing results certificates presented in **Appendix C**.

3.3 Results

3.3.1 Preliminary Laboratory Results

The field pHF and pHFOX results obtained from Eurofins Environment Testing (1110580-S) are summarised in **Table 1**. Following ASSMAC (1998), the results indicate that Field pH (pH-F) readings are greater than 4, therefore actual ASS is not present. Furthermore, only one condition after monitoring the Field pH peroxide test (pH-FOX) is verified (lowering of the soil pH by at least one unit). The reaction rate generally indicates the level of RIS present but also depends on the texture and other soil constituents. From **Table 1**, sample BH4-0.3 was the only one with pHFOX <3 and pH drop of 3.2. However, the reaction rate was observed as moderate. Therefore, soil testing indicated the potential presence of acid sulphate soil (PASS) for the sample BH4-0.3. For verification, 4 samples (BH1-0.5m BH2-1.0, BH2-2.0 and BH1-3.0) were selected and tested for detailed laboratory analyses. The results are pending and once the laboratory results are completed, detailed laboratory results will be discussed. Conclusion and Acid Sulfate Management Plan (if required) will be provided.

Location	Field Test					
Location	Field pH (pHf)	Actual ASS	pH FOX	pH Drop	Reaction Rate	
BH1-0.5	In Progress					
BH1-3.0	6.3	No	5.1	1.2	1 (Slight reaction)	
BH-2-1.0	In Progress					
BH2-2.0	6.5	No	4.5	2	4 (Extreme reaction)	
BH2-3.0	6.3	No	4	2.3	1 (Slight reaction)	
BH3-0.4	6.4	No	3.2	3.2	2 (Moderate reaction)	
BH3-4.0	6.1	No	3.9	2.2	1 (Slight reaction)	
BH4-0.3	6.1	No	2.9	3.2	2 (Moderate reaction)	
BH4-1.5	6.2	No	3	3.2	1 (Slight reaction)	

Table 1: Summary of Preliminary Laboratory Results

4. Preliminary Conclusion

The above discussion provides a preliminary of the potential for ASS across the site area and addresses the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment requirements. Soil samples tested did not indicate the presence of ASS to a maximum depth of 4.0m. However, potential acid sulphate soils (PASS) were identified for BH4-0.3. Upon completion of our onsite investigation and laboratory analysis, the following conclusions/discussions are made:



- For verification, 4 samples (BH1-0.5m BH2-1.0, BH2-2.0 and BH1-3.0) were selected and tested for detailed laboratory analyses. The results are pending and once the laboratory results are completed, detailed laboratory results will be discussed.
- Conclusion and Acid Sulfate Management Plan (if required) will be provided after the detailed analysis is completed. Should a positive confirmation of the presence of ASS from the detailed investigation, an ASS management plan is required in accordance with the ASSMAC guidelines (1998).

5. Limitations

This report and its associated recommendations have been prepared exclusively for our client, who is named on the front page of this report and is the only intended entity to benefit from this report. CEC Geotechnical notes that any reliance on the information provided in this report by any third party will be at their own risk. It should be noted that the analysis and conclusions made in this report are based on documents and investigations prepared by other consultants and entities, and hence, should these documents and investigations be incorrect, CEC Geotechnical must be made aware, and the results of this report may be void.

For and on behalf of CEC Geotechnical Pty Ltd.

Diego Espinosa Moreno

Zuhaib Siddiqui

Environmental Engineer B.E (Chemical), M.E. (Environmental)

Suladdig.

Associate Environmental Engineer B.E. (Civil), M.E.(Environmental), PhD (Environmental) CEnvP (General)#1574





References

- AS 1726-2017 Geotechnical Site Investigation. Standards Australia.
- NSW Department of Mineral Resources (1983) Sydney 1:100,000 Geological Series Sheet 9130 (Edition 1)
- Geological Survey of New South Wales. Department of Mineral Resources.
- NSW Government Environment and Heritage, Soil and Land Information, Sydney 1:100,000 Soil Landscape Series Sheet 9130bt.
- Acid Sulphate Soils Assessment Guidelines Acid Sulphate Soils Management Advisory Committee (ASSMAC) (1998).
- National Acid Sulphate Soils Guidance National Acid Sulphate Soils Sampling and Identification
 - Methods Manual. Department of Agriculture and Water Resources (2018).
- NSW Planning Portal.
- https://www.planningportal.nsw.gov.au/spatialviewer
- Geocortex Viewer for HTML5 (nsw.gov.au), https://geo.seed.nsw.gov.au Methods Manual. Department of Agriculture and Water Resources (2018).
- NSW Planning Portal.
- https://www.planningportal.nsw.gov.au/spatialviewer
- Geocortex Viewer for HTML5 (nsw.gov.au), https://geo.seed.nsw.gov.au



APPENDIX A – Figures

Figure 1: NSW Planning portal, Site is subject to ASS Class 2 and 5



Figure 2: ASS potential for the Site Location





Figure 3: Site Plan





APPENDIX B – Architectural Drawings

	DRAWING LIST				
DA	DRAWING No.	DRAWING NAME	REVISION		
DA	1001	DRAWING LIST	P2		
DA	1002	COMPLIANCE TABLE			
DA	1005	SITE PLAN			
DA	1006	DEMOLITION PLAN			
DA	1100	BASEMENT 1 FLOOR PLAN	P3		
DA	1101	GROUND FLOOR PLAN	P3		
DA	1102	LEVEL 01 FLOOR PLAN	P3		
DA	1103	ROOF PLAN	P3		
DA	2001	BUILDING ELEVATION NORTH, EAST	P1		
DA	2002	BUILDING ELEVATION - SOUTH, WEST	P1		
DA	2003	BUILDING ELEVATION SOUTH, EAST	P1		
DA	3001	SECTION A	P1		
DA	3002	SECTION B	P1		
DA	4001	RAMP SECTION			
DA	6001	SHADOW DIAGRAMS			
DA	6011	SOLAR ACCESS STUDY			
DA	6028	SOLAR SCHEDULE			
DA	7001	GFA CALCULATION			
DA	7011	SOLAR ACCESS PLAN			
DA	7021	VENTILATION DIAGRAMS			
DA	7031	3D VIEW 1			
DA	7032	3D VIEW 2			
DA	7033	3D VIEW 3 - CAREEL HEAD ROAD			
DA	7041	FINISHES SCHEDULE			
DA	7042	SCHEMATIC			
DA	7043	WINDOW SCHEDULE			
DA	7051	DEEP SOIL ZONE			
DA	7061	COMMUNUAL OPEN SPACE DIAGRAM			
DA	7062	EVACUATION DIAGRAM	P1		
DA	7071	INTERNAL UNIT STORAGE			
DA	7081	CUT & FILL DIAGRAM			
DA	7091	LEP HEIGHT BLANKET			
DA	8001	DETAIL SECTION - SETBACK			
DA	8003	DETAIL SECTION - FIRE STAIRS			
DA	x5001	PRE + POST ADAPTABLE UNIT LAYOUT			

DESIGN INTENT STATEMENT

Situated in the picturesque locale of Avalon Beach, our mixed-use development endeavors to redefine coastal living by seamlessly integrating community-centric amenities with modern design sensibilities. At its heart, the project features a dynamic blend of outdoor and indoor childcare facilities, alongside retail spaces and Dan Murphy's occupying the ground floor.

To address parking needs efficiently, the development encompasses both basement and ground-level parking facilities, ensuring convenience for residents and visitors alike.

Architecturally, the project embraces a distinctive aesthetic characterized by a harmonious blend of curved facades, sweeping arches, and angular features. Contemporary tones and carefully curated color palettes imbue the structure with a sense of sophistication, while materials such as white brick and concrete contribute to its timeless appeal.

The design ethos of the development extends beyond mere aesthetics to prioritize functionality and sustainability. Each aspect of the design is meticulously crafted to optimize natural light, ventilation, and spatial efficiency, enhancing the overall living experience for residents.

Landscaping elements play a pivotal role in softening the built environment and fostering a connection with nature. Green spaces are strategically integrated throughout the development, providing residents with serene outdoor retreats and contributing to the overall ecological sensitivity of the project.

Our vision for the Avalon Beach Mixed-Use Development is to create a vibrant and inclusive community hub that not only meets the needs of its residents but also enriches the fabric of the surrounding neighborhood. By blending innovative design with a commitment to sustainability, we aim to set a new benchmark for contemporary coastal living in this idyllic setting.



References

Any variations or deviations from approved construction drawings must be reviewed and approved by PCA or nominated certifying authority.

Drawings to be read in conjunction with, but not limited to, all structural engineers, stormwater engineers, landscape architects, fire protection, essential electrical services and mechanical services plans & other associated plans & reports.

Refer to current Basix report for additional requirements to ones noted on plans.

Notes All dimensions and setouts are to be verified on site and all omissions or any discrepancies to be notified to the architect. Figured dimensions to be used at all times. DO NOT SCALE measurements off drawings.

© Copyright

The copyright of this drawing together with any other documents prepared by CDArchitects remains the property of CDArchitects. CDArchitects grants licence for the use of this document for the purpose for which it is intended. The licence is not transferable without permission from CDArchitects.

Nominated Architect: Liljana Ermilova 7887, ABN 24 243 205 327

P2 28.06.2024 Revision 3 P1 28.05.2024 Revision 1 m 1 2 4 1:100 at A1 1:200 at A3 **CDArchitects** Dubai Sydney ETA Star's Al Manara Tower Level 2, 60 Park Street L16, Suite 1612, Marasi Drive Sydney NSW 2000 AUSTRALIA Business Bay, Dubai, UAE P: +971 4 576 9747 P: +61 2 9267 2000 E: info@cdarchitects.ae E: info@cdarchitects.com.au W: cdarchitects.ae W: cdarchitects.com.au Australian Institute of Architects Project PROPOSED MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT 1 & 3 Careel Head Road Avalon Beach Drawing Title **DRAWING LIST** Project Stage **DA Submission** Job no. Drawing no. Rev.

J23587D DA1001 P2

Drawn by Checked by Approved by Date

GH RJ - JUN. 2024



References

Any variations or deviations from approved construction drawings must be reviewed and approved by PCA or nominated certifying authority.

Drawings to be read in conjunction with, but not limited to, all structural engineers, stormwater engineers, landscape architects, fire protection, essential electrical services and mechanical services plans & other associated plans & reports.

Refer to current Basix report for additional requirements to ones noted on plans.

Notes

All dimensions and setouts are to be verified on site and all omissions or any discrepancies to be notified to the architect. Figured dimensions to be used at all times. DO NOT SCALE measurements off drawings.

© Copyright

The copyright of this drawing together with any other documents prepared by CDArchitects remains the property of CDArchitects. CDArchitects grants licence for the use of this document for the purpose for which it is intended. The licence is not transferable without permission from CDArchitects.

Nominated Architect: Liljana Ermilova 7887, ABN 24 243 205 327

PARKING LEGEND

CHILDCARE

COMMERCIAL

DAN MURPHY'S

CAR WASH



PROPOSED MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT

Project

 (\mathbf{A})

(2)

(A.1)

1 & 3 Careel Head Road Avalon Beach Drawing Title

BASEMENT 1 FLOOR PLAN

Project Stage **DA Submission** Job no. Drawing no. Rev.

J23587D DA1100 P3 Drawn by Checked by Approved by Date GH RJ - JUN. 2024



BARRENJOEY ROAD







ED	PROPOSED	
	26.00m ²	-

48.75m ²	
120.25m²	
195.00 m²	

56m²	
105m²	
259.04	-m²
420.04	-m²





References

Any variations or deviations from approved construction drawings must be reviewed and approved by PCA or nominated certifying authority.

Drawings to be read in conjunction with, but not limited to, all structural engineers, stormwater engineers, landscape architects, fire protection, essential electrical services and mechanical services plans & other associated plans & reports.

Refer to current Basix report for additional requirements to ones noted on plans.

Notes

All dimensions and setouts are to be verified on site and all omissions or any discrepancies to be notified to the architect. Figured dimensions to be used at all times. DO NOT SCALE measurements off drawings.

© Copyright

The copyright of this drawing together with any other documents prepared by CDArchitects remains the property of CDArchitects. CDArchitects grants licence for the use of this document for the purpose for which it is intended. The licence is not transferable without permission from CDArchitects.

Nominated Architect: Liljana Ermilova 7887, ABN 24 243 205 327



1 & 3 Careel Head Road Avalon Beach Drawing Title

SECTION A

Project Stage **DA Submission** Job no. Drawing no. Rev. J23587D DA3001 P1 Drawn by Checked by Approved by Date GH RJ - JUN. 2024





References

Any variations or deviations from approved construction drawings must be reviewed and approved by PCA or nominated certifying authority.

Drawings to be read in conjunction with, but not limited to, all structural engineers, stormwater engineers, landscape architects, fire protection, essential electrical services and mechanical services plans & other associated plans & reports.

Refer to current Basix report for additional requirements to ones noted on plans.

Notes

All dimensions and setouts are to be verified on site and all omissions or any discrepancies to be notified to the architect. Figured dimensions to be used at all times. DO NOT SCALE measurements off drawings.

© Copyright

The copyright of this drawing together with any other documents prepared by CDArchitects remains the property of CDArchitects. CDArchitects grants licence for the use of this document for the purpose for which it is intended. The licence is not transferable without permission from CDArchitects.

Nominated Architect: Liljana Ermilova 7887, ABN 24 243 205 327





BASEMENT 1 - LOWER -RL. 0.250



Project PROPOSED MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT

1 & 3 Careel Head Road Avalon Beach Drawing Title

SECTION B

Project Stage **DA Submission** Job no. Drawing no. Rev. J23587D DA3002 P1 Drawn by Checked by Approved by Date GH RJ - JUN. 2024



APPENDIX C – Laboratory Test Results



CEC Geotechnical Unit 4 83 Grose Street North Paramatta NSW 2151

Attention:

Diego

Report	1110580-S
Project name	ASS
Project ID	ER24020A
Received Date	Jun 20, 2024



NATA Accredited Accreditation Number 1261 Site Number 18217

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 – Testing NATA is a signatory to the ILAC Mutual Recognition Arrangement for the mutual recognition of the equivalence of testing, medical testing, calibration, inspection, proficiency testing scheme providers and reference materials producers reports and certificates.

Client Sample ID Sample Matrix Eurofins Sample No. Date Sampled			BH1-3.0 Soil S24-Jn0057476	BH2-2.0 Soil S24-Jn0057477	BH2-3.0 Soil S24-Jn0057478	BH3-0.4 Soil S24-Jn0057479
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Acid Sulfate Soils Field pH Test						
pH-F (Field pH test)*	0.1	pH Units	6.3	6.5	6.3	6.4
pH-FOX (Field pH Peroxide test)*	0.1	pH Units	5.1	4.5	4.0	3.2
Reaction Ratings* ^{S05}	0	comment	1.0	4.0	1.0	2.0

Client Sample ID Sample Matrix Eurofins Sample No. Date Sampled			BH3-4.0 Soil S24-Jn0057480 Jun 19, 2024	BH4-0.3 Soil S24-Jn0057481 Jun 19, 2024	BH4-1.5 Soil S24-Jn0057482 Jun 19, 2024
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit			
Acid Sulfate Soils Field pH Test					
pH-F (Field pH test)*	0.1	pH Units	6.1	6.1	6.2
pH-FOX (Field pH Peroxide test)*	0.1	pH Units	3.9	2.9	3.0
Reaction Ratings*S05	0	comment	1.0	2.0	1.0



Sample History

Where samples are submitted/analysed over several days, the last date of extraction is reported.

If the date and time of sampling are not provided, the Laboratory will not be responsible for compromised results should testing be performed outside the recommended holding time.

Description	Testing Site	Extracted	Holding Time
Acid Sulfate Soils Field pH Test	Sydney	Jun 27, 2024	7 Days
- Method: LTM-GEN-7060 Determination of field pH (pHF) and field pH peroxide (pHFOX) tests			

Eurofins Environment Testing Australia Pty Ltd													Eurofins ProMicro Pty Ltd	Eurofins Environment Testing NZ Ltd					
ABN: 50 005 085 521									ABN: 9	ABN: 91 05 0159 898 ABN: 47 009 120 5		ABN: 47 009 120 549	NZBN: 9429046	024954					
web: w email:	ww.eurofins.com.au EnviroSales@eurofins.co	Melbourne 6 Monterey Dandenong VIC 3175 +61 3 8564 om NATA# 126 Site# 1254	Geelo Road 19/8 L South Grove VIC 32 5000 +61 3 NATA# Site# 2	ng ewalan Stree dale 216 8564 5000 ¢ 1261 25403	Sydney et 179 Magowar Ro Girraween NSW 2145 +61 2 9900 8400 NATA# 1261 Site# 18217	Canberra ad Unit 1,2 Dacre Street Mitchell ACT 2911 +61 2 6113 8091 NATA# 1261 Site# 25466	Brisba t 1/21 Sr Murarri QLD 4 T: +61 NATA# Site# 2	ne mallwood Plac ie 1172 7 3902 4600 1261 0794 & 2780	Newcastle e 1/2 Frost Drive Mayfield West NSW 2304 +61 2 4968 8448 NATA# 1261 Site# 25079 & 2528	Perth 46-48 I Welshp WA 61 +61 8 6 NATA# 39 Site# 2	3anksia Ro ool 06 3253 4444 2377 370	ad	Perth ProMicro 46-48 Banksia Road Welshpool WA 6106 +61 8 6253 4444 NATA# 2561 Site# 2554	Auckland 35 O'Rorke Roa Penrose, Auckland 1061 +64 9 526 4551 IANZ# 1327	Auck d Unit (Moun Auckl +64 9 IANZ:	dand (Focus) C1/4 Pacific Rise, nt Wellington, land 1061 9 525 0568 # 1308	Christchurch 43 Detroit Drive Rolleston, Christchurch 7675 +64 3 343 5201 IANZ# 1290	Tauranga 1277 Cameron Road Gate Pa, Tauranga 3112 +64 9 525 0568 IANZ# 1402	
Co Ao	ompany Name: Idress:	CEC Geotech Unit 4 83 Gro North Parama NSW 2151	nical se Street tta							Order M Report Phone: Fax:	lo.: #:	ER240 111058 02 963	020A 80 30 0121	Received Due: Priority: Contact	l: Name:	Jun 20, 2 Jun 27, 2 5 Day Diego	2024 12:50 PM 2024	1	
Pr Pr	oject Name: oject ID:	ASS ER24020A											Eurofir	ns Analytica	l Servi	ices Manage	er : Adam Bat	eup	
Sample Detail							Acid Sulfate Soils Field pH Test												
Svd	ney Laboratory	- NATA # 126	1 Site # 18	217			х												
Exte	ernal Laboratory	,																	
No	Sample ID	Sample Date	e Sampli Time	ng	Matrix	LAB ID													
1	BH1-3.0	Jun 19, 2024		Soil	S	24-Jn0057476	Х												
2	BH2-2.0	Jun 19, 2024		Soil	S	24-Jn0057477	Х												
3	BH2-3.0	Jun 19, 2024		Soil	S	24-Jn0057478	х												
4	BH3-0.4	Jun 19, 2024		Soil	S	24-Jn0057479	х												
5	BH3-4.0	Jun 19, 2024		Soil	s	24-Jn0057480	х												
6	BH4-0.3	Jun 19, 2024		Soil	s	24-Jn0057481	Х												
7	BH4-1.5	Jun 19, 2024		Soil	S	24-Jn0057482	Х												
Tes	t Counts						7												



Internal Quality Control Review and Glossary

General

- 1. Laboratory QC results for Method Blanks, Duplicates, Matrix Spikes, and Laboratory Control Samples follow guidelines delineated in the National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure 1999, as amended May 2013. They are included in this QC report where applicable. Additional QC data may be available on request.
- 2. Unless otherwise stated, all soil/sediment/solid results are reported on a dry weight basis.
- 3. Unless otherwise stated, all biota/food results are reported on a wet weight basis on the edible portion.
- 4. For CEC results where the sample's origin is unknown or environmentally contaminated, the results should be used advisedly.
- 5. Actual LORs are matrix dependent. Quoted LORs may be raised where sample extracts are diluted due to interferences.
- 6. Results are uncorrected for matrix spikes or surrogate recoveries except for PFAS compounds where annotated.
- 7. SVOC analysis on waters is performed on homogenised, unfiltered samples unless noted otherwise.
- 8. Samples were analysed on an 'as received' basis.
- 9. Information identified in this report with blue colour indicates data provided by customers that may have an impact on the results.
- 10. This report replaces any interim results previously issued.

Holding Times

Please refer to the 'Sample Preservation and Container Guide' for holding times (QS3001).

For samples received on the last day of holding time, notification of testing requirements should have been received at least 6 hours before sample receipt deadlines as stated on the SRA.

If the Laboratory did not receive the information in the required timeframe, and despite any other integrity issues, suitably qualified results may still be reported.

Holding times apply from the sampling date; therefore, compliance with these may be outside the laboratory's control.

For VOCs containing vinyl chloride, styrene and 2-chloroethyl vinyl ether, the holding time is seven days; however, for all other VOCs, such as BTEX or C6-10 TRH, the holding time is 14 days.

Units		
mg/kg: milligrams per kilogram	mg/L: milligrams per litre	ppm: parts per million
μg/L: micrograms per litre	ppb: parts per billion	%: Percentage
org/100 mL: Organisms per 100 millilitres	NTU: Nephelometric Turbidity Units	MPN/100 mL: Most Probable Number of organisms per 100 millilitres
CFU: Colony Forming Unit	Colour: Pt-Co Units (CU)	

Terms

Unite

••••••	
APHA	American Public Health Association
CEC	Cation Exchange Capacity
сос	Chain of Custody
СР	Client Parent - QC was performed on samples pertaining to this report
CRM	Certified Reference Material (ISO17034) - reported as percent recovery.
Dry	Where moisture has been determined on a solid sample, the result is expressed on a dry weight basis.
Duplicate	A second piece of analysis from the same sample and reported in the same units as the result to show comparison.
LOR	Limit of Reporting.
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample - reported as percent recovery.
Method Blank	In the case of solid samples, these are performed on laboratory-certified clean sands and in the case of water samples, these are performed on de-ionised water.
NCP	Non-Client Parent - QC performed on samples not pertaining to this report, QC represents the sequence or batch that client samples were analysed within.
RPD	Relative Percent Difference between two Duplicate pieces of analysis.
SPIKE	Addition of the analyte to the sample and reported as percentage recovery.
SRA	Sample Receipt Advice
Surr - Surrogate	The addition of a similar compound to the analyte target is reported as percentage recovery. See below for acceptance criteria.
твто	Tributyltin oxide (bis-tributyltin oxide) - individual tributyltin compounds cannot be identified separately in the environment; however, free tributyltin was measured, and its values were converted stoichiometrically into tributyltin oxide for comparison with regulatory limits.
TCLP	Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure
TEQ	Toxic Equivalency Quotient or Total Equivalence
QSM	US Department of Defense Quality Systems Manual Version 6.0
US EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
WA DWER	Sum of PFBA, PFPeA, PFHxA, PFHpA, PFOA, PFBS, PFHxS, PFOS, 6:2 FTSA, 8:2 FTSA

QC - Acceptance Criteria

The acceptance criteria should only be used as a guide and may be different when site-specific Sampling Analysis and Quality Plan (SAQP) have been implemented.

RPD Duplicates: Global RPD Duplicates Acceptance Criteria is ≤30%; however, the following acceptance guidelines are equally applicable:

Results <10 times the LOR:	No Limit
Results between 10-20 times the LOR:	RPD must lie between 0-50%
Results >20 times the LOR:	RPD must lie between 0-30%

NOTE: pH duplicates are reported as a range, not as RPD

Surrogate Recoveries: Recoveries must lie between 20-130% for Speciated Phenols & 50-150% for PFAS. SVOCs recoveries 20 - 150%, VOC recoveries 50 - 150%

PFAS field samples containing surrogate recoveries above the QC limit designated in QSM 6.0, where no positive PFAS results have been reported or reviewed, and no data was affected.

QC Data General Comments

- 1. Where a result is reported as less than (<), higher than the nominated LOR, this is due to either matrix interference, extract dilution required due to interferences or contaminant levels within the sample, high moisture content or insufficient sample provided.
- 2. Duplicate data shown within this report that states the word "BATCH" is a Batch Duplicate from outside of your sample batch but within the laboratory sample batch at a 1:10 ratio. The Parent and Duplicate data shown are not data from your samples.
- 3. pH and Free Chlorine analysed in the laboratory Analysis on this test must begin within 30 minutes of sampling. Therefore, laboratory analysis is unlikely to be completed within holding time. Analysis will begin as soon as possible after sample receipt.
- 4. Recovery Data (Spikes & Surrogates) where chromatographic interference does not allow the determination of recovery, the term "INT" appears against that analyte.
- 5. For Matrix Spikes and LCS results, a dash "-" in the report means that the specific analyte was not added to the QC sample.
- 6. Duplicate RPDs are calculated from raw analytical data; thus, it is possible to have two sets of data.



Quality Control Results

Test	Lab Sample ID	QA Source	Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Duplicate									
Acid Sulfate Soils Field pH Test				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
pH-F (Field pH test)*	S24-Jn0057482	CP	pH Units	6.2	6.2	pass	20%	Pass	
pH-FOX (Field pH Peroxide test)*	S24-Jn0057482	CP	pH Units	3.0	3.0	pass	0%	Pass	



Comments

Sample Integrity	
Custody Seals Intact (if used)	N/A
Attempt to Chill was evident	Yes
Sample correctly preserved	Yes
Appropriate sample containers have been used	Yes
Sample containers for volatile analysis received with minimal headspace	Yes
Samples received within HoldingTime	Yes
Some samples have been subcontracted	No

Qualifier Codes/Comments

Code

Description

Field Screen uses the following fizz rating to classify the rate the samples reacted to the peroxide: 1.0; No reaction to slight. 2.0; Moderate reaction. 3.0; Strong reaction with persistent froth. 4.0; Extreme reaction. S05

Authorised by:

Ursula Long

Analytical Services Manager

Glenn Jackson Managing Director

Final Report - this report replaces any previously issued Report

- Indicates Not Requested
- * Indicates NATA accreditation does not cover the performance of this service

Measurement uncertainty of test data is available on request or please click here.

Eurofins shall not be liable for loss, cost, damages or expenses incurred by the client, or any other person or company, resulting from the use of any information or interpretation given in this report. In no case shall Eurofins be liable for consequential damages including, but not limited to, lost profits, damages for failure to meet deadlines and lost production arising from this report. This document shall not be reproduced except in full and relates only to the items tested. Unless indicated otherwise, the tests were performed on the samples as received.



Eurofins Environment Testing Australia Pty Ltd EnviroSales@eurofins.com

Submission of samples to the laboratory will be deemed as acceptance of Eurofins | Environment Testing Standard Terms and Conditions unless agreed otherwise. A copy is available on request.

1110580