

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

DA2020/1191	
Catriona Shirley	
Lot 283 DP 11162, 7 Judith Street SEAFORTH NSW 2092	
Alterations and additions to a dwelling house	
Manly LEP2013 - Land zoned R2 Low Density Residential	
Yes	
No	
Northern Beaches Council	
No	
Gareth Philip Dawber Lauren Jamie Dawber	
Lauren Jamie Dawber	

Application Lodged:	08/10/2020	
Integrated Development:	No	
Designated Development:	No	
State Reporting Category:	Residential - Alterations and additions	
Notified:	19/10/2020 to 02/11/2020	
Advertised:	Not Advertised	
Submissions Received:	0	
Clause 4.6 Variation:	Nil	
Recommendation:	Approval	

Estimated Cost of Works:	\$ 45,000.00

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IN DETAIL

The proposed seeks approval for the following works:

- Construction of a single space garage
- New driveway and hardstand area
- Removal of the existing chimney and fireplace to accommodate the new garage.

ASSESSMENT INTRODUCTION

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:



- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared (the subject of this report) taking into account all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, and the associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon the subject site and adjoining, surrounding and nearby properties;
- Notification to adjoining and surrounding properties, advertisement (where required) and referral to relevant internal and external bodies in accordance with the Act, Regulations and relevant Development Control Plan;
- A review and consideration of all submissions made by the public and community interest groups in relation to the application;
- A review and consideration of all documentation provided with the application (up to the time of determination);
- A review and consideration of all referral comments provided by the relevant Council Officers, State Government Authorities/Agencies and Federal Government Authorities/Agencies on the proposal.

SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT ISSUES

Manly Development Control Plan - 3.1.1 Streetscape (Residential areas)

Manly Development Control Plan - 4.1.4 Setbacks (front, side and rear) and Building Separation

Manly Development Control Plan - 4.1.5 Open Space and Landscaping

Manly Development Control Plan - 4.1.6 Parking, Vehicular Access and Loading (Including Bicycle Facilities)

Property Description:	Lot 283 DP 11162 , 7 Judith Street SEAFORTH NSW 2092	
Detailed Site Description:	The site is legally identified as Lot 283 in Deposited Plan 11162 and known as 7 Judith Street, Seaforth. The site is located within the R2 Low Density Residential under the provisions of Manly Local Environmental Plan 2013 (MLEP).	
	The site is irregular with a total area of 552.1sqm. The site has a frontage to Judith Street of 12.94m, with an eastern side boundary of 47.745m and a northern side boundary of 47.745m, and a rear boundary of 12.94m.	
	There is a slight fall across the site from the Judith Street frontage to the rear of approximately approximately 1.05m. Vehicular access is available from Judith Street.	
	The subject site does not contain any significant rock outcrops or canopy trees.	
	The site currently contains a one storey residential dwelling house, within a landscaped setting.	
	Surrounding sites consist of one and two storey dwelling houses, of varying ages, within a landscaped setting.	

SITE DESCRIPTION

Map:





SITE HISTORY

A search of Council's records has revealed the following relevant development and complying development certificate applications:

- Complying Development Certificate **16/2220-1** for the alterations and additions to an existing dwelling was approved on the 5 February 2016.
- Development Application 10.2000.94.1 for **DA0094/00** to erect a colourbond steel garage was approved by Council on the 6 April 2000.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT, 1979 (EPAA)

The relevant matters for consideration under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Section 4.15 Matters for Consideration'	Comments
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(i) – Provisions of any environmental planning instrument	See discussion on "Environmental Planning Instruments" in this report.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(ii) – Provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	Draft State Environmental Planning Policy (Remediation of Land) seeks to replace the existing SEPP No. 55 (Remediation of Land). Public consultation on the draft policy was completed on 13 April 2018. The subject site has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. The proposed development retains the residential use of the site, and is not considered a contamination risk.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iii) – Provisions of any development control plan	Manly Development Control Plan applies to this proposal.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iiia) – Provisions	None applicable.



Section 4.15 Matters for Consideration'	Comments
of any planning agreement	
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iv) – Provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 (EP&A Regulation 2000)	<u>Division 8A</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider "Prescribed conditions" of development consent. These matters have been addressed via a condition of consent.
	<u>Clause 50(1A)</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building designer at lodgement of the development application. This clause is not relevant to this application.
	<u>Clauses 54 and 109</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 allow Council to request additional information. No additional information was requested in this case.
	<u>Clause 92</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider AS 2601 - 1991: The Demolition of Structures. This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent.
	<u>Clauses 93 and/or 94</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the upgrading of a building (including fire safety upgrade of development). This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent.
	<u>Clause 98</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider insurance requirements under the Home Building Act 1989. This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent.
	<u>Clause 98</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the provisions of the Building Code of Australia (BCA). This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent.
Section 4.15 (1) (b) – the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality	(i) Environmental Impact The environmental impacts of the proposed development on the natural and built environment are addressed under the Manly Development Control Plan section in this report.
	(ii) Social Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental social impact in the locality considering the character of the proposal.
	(iii) Economic Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental economic impact on the locality considering the nature of the existing and proposed land use.
Section 4.15 (1) (c) – the suitability of the site for the development	The site is considered suitable for the proposed development.



Section 4.15 Matters for Consideration'	Comments
Section 4.15 (1) (d) – any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs	See discussion on "Notification & Submissions Received" in this report.
Section 4.15 (1) (e) – the public interest	No matters have arisen in this assessment that would justify the refusal of the application in the public interest.

EXISTING USE RIGHTS

Existing Use Rights are not applicable to this application.

BUSHFIRE PRONE LAND

The site is not classified as bush fire prone land.

NOTIFICATION & SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

The subject development application has been publicly exhibited from 19/10/2020 to 02/11/2020 in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 and the relevant Development Control Plan.

As a result of the public exhibition of the application Council received no submissions.

REFERRALS

Internal Referral Body	Comments
	Development Engineering has no objection to the application subject to the following conditions of consent.

External Referral Body	Comments
	The proposal was referred to Ausgrid. No response has been received within the 21 day statutory period and therefore, it is assumed that no objections are raised and no conditions are recommended.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS (EPIs)*

All, Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the merit assessment of this application.

In this regard, whilst all provisions of each Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the assessment, many provisions contained within the document are not relevant or are enacting, definitions and operational provisions which the proposal is considered to be acceptable against.

As such, an assessment is provided against the controls relevant to the merit consideration of the application hereunder.

State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) and State Regional Environmental Plans (SREPs)

DA2020/1191



SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land

Clause 7 (1) (a) of SEPP 55 requires the Consent Authority to consider whether land is contaminated. Council records indicate that the subject site has been used for residential purposes for a significant period of time with no prior land uses. In this regard it is considered that the site poses no risk of contamination and therefore, no further consideration is required under Clause 7 (1) (b) and (c) of SEPP 55 and the land is considered to be suitable for the residential land use.

SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007

<u>Ausgrid</u>

Clause 45 of the SEPP requires the Consent Authority to consider any development application (or an application for modification of consent) for any development carried out:

- within or immediately adjacent to an easement for electricity purposes (whether or not the electricity infrastructure exists).
- immediately adjacent to an electricity substation.
- within 5.0m of an overhead power line.
- includes installation of a swimming pool any part of which is: within 30m of a structure supporting an overhead electricity transmission line and/or within 5.0m of an overhead electricity power line.

Comment:

The proposal was referred to Ausgrid. No response has been received within the 21 day statutory period and therefore, it is assumed that no objections are raised and no conditions are recommended.

Manly Local Environmental Plan 2013

Is the development permissible?	Yes	
After consideration of the merits of the proposal, is the development consistent with:		
aims of the LEP?	Yes	
zone objectives of the LEP?	Yes	

Principal Development Standards

Standard	Requirement	Proposed	% Variation	Complies
Height of Buildings:	8.5m	4.5m	-	Yes
Floor Space Ratio	FSR: 0.45:1	FSR: 0.23:1 (141.82sqm)	-	Yes

Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements
2.7 Demolition requires development consent	Yes



Clause	Compliance with Requirements
4.3 Height of buildings	Yes
4.4 Floor space ratio	Yes
4.5 Calculation of floor space ratio and site area	Yes
6.2 Earthworks	Yes
6.4 Stormwater management	Yes
6.8 Landslide risk	Yes
6.12 Essential services	Yes

Manly Development Control Plan

Built Form Controls

Built Form Controls - Site Area: 552.1sqm	Requirement	Proposed	% Variation*	Complies
4.1.2.1 Wall Height	6.5m	2.6m - 2.8m	-	Yes
	6.5m	2.5m - 3.0m	-	Yes
4.1.2.3 Roof Height	Height: 2.5m	2.2m	-	Yes
4.1.4.1 Street Front Setbacks	Prevailing building line / 6m	8.0m - 9.8m, consistent with prevailing setback	-	Yes
4.1.4.2 Side Setbacks and Secondary Street Frontages	1.0m (based on wall height)	0.93m	7%	No
4.1.5.1 Minimum Residential Total Open Space Requirements Residential Open Space Area: OS1/2/3/4	Open space 55% of site area (303.655sqm)	53.2% (294.12sqm)	3.2%	Νο
4.1.5.2 Landscaped Area	Landscaped area 35% of open space (129sqm)	87% (257.67sqm)	-	Yes
4.1.5.3 Private Open Space	18sqm / 12sqm per dwelling	>18sqm	-	Yes
4.1.6.1 Parking Design and the Location of Garages, Carports or Hardstand Areas	Maximum 50% of frontage up to maximum 6.2m	3.6m	-	Yes
Schedule 3 Parking and Access	Dwelling 2 spaces	2 spaces	-	Yes

Compliance Assessment

Clause		Consistency Aims/Objectives
3.1 Streetscapes and Townscapes	Yes	Yes
3.1.1 Streetscape (Residential areas)	Yes	Yes



Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
3.3.1 Landscaping Design	Yes	Yes
3.3.2 Preservation of Trees or Bushland Vegetation	Yes	Yes
3.4 Amenity (Views, Overshadowing, Overlooking /Privacy, Noise)	Yes	Yes
3.4.1 Sunlight Access and Overshadowing	Yes	Yes
3.4.2 Privacy and Security	Yes	Yes
3.4.3 Maintenance of Views	Yes	Yes
3.5 Sustainability - (Greenhouse Energy Efficiency, Thermal Performance, and Water Sensitive Urban Design)	Yes	Yes
3.7 Stormwater Management	Yes	Yes
3.8 Waste Management	Yes	Yes
4.1 Residential Development Controls	Yes	Yes
4.1.1 Dwelling Density, Dwelling Size and Subdivision	Yes	Yes
4.1.1.1 Residential Density and Dwelling Size	Yes	Yes
4.1.2 Height of Buildings (Incorporating Wall Height, Number of Storeys & Roof Height)	Yes	Yes
4.1.3 Floor Space Ratio (FSR)	Yes	Yes
4.1.4 Setbacks (front, side and rear) and Building Separation	No	Yes
4.1.5 Open Space and Landscaping	No	Yes
4.1.6 Parking, Vehicular Access and Loading (Including Bicycle Facilities)	Yes	Yes
4.1.8 Development on Sloping Sites	Yes	Yes
4.4.1 Demolition	Yes	Yes
4.4.2 Alterations and Additions	Yes	Yes
4.4.5 Earthworks (Excavation and Filling)	Yes	Yes
Schedule 1 – Maps accompanying the DCP	Yes	Yes

Detailed Assessment

3.1.1 Streetscape (Residential areas)

The proposal for a single car garage is consistent with a number of provisions being:

Development in the streetscape (including buildings, fences and landscaping) should be designed to: i) complement the predominant building form, distinct building character, building material and finishes and architectural style in the locality;

ii) ensure the bulk and design of development does not detract from the scenic amenity of the area (see also paragraph 3.4 Amenity) when viewed from surrounding public and private land; vi) visually improve existing streetscapes through innovative design solutions;

The parking structure within this section of Judith Street are predominately singles garages and carports, on varied setbacks. As a result the single garage, with a hardstand space in a tandem arrangement does not dominate the street frontage, and demonstrates consistency with the predominant streetscape character.



The front setback of 8.0m - 9.8m, combined with the design and materials to continue the architectural style of the existing dwelling house minimise the visual dominance of the proposed car parking structure and ensure the bulk and scale of the garage area is not overbearing.

It is acknowledged that the additional landscaping forward of the garages visually improves the streetscape and softens the built form.

As a result, the design of the garages and the treatment of the front setback is consistent with a number of provisions of clause and is supported.

4.1.4 Setbacks (front, side and rear) and Building Separation

Applicable Clauses

Clause 4.1.4.1 - Street Front Setbacks. Clause 4.1.4.2 - Side Setbacks and Secondary Street Frontages.

Description of non-compliance and compliance with the applicable controls

Clause 4.1.4.1 - Street Front Setbacks

The location of existing neighbouring garages and carports fronting Judith is not regularly on 6.0m with some being close to the boundary line along Judith Street near the site. The garage front setback is consistent with the planning clause, being located 8.0m - 9.8m from the front boundary. The entry will maintain safe and adequate traffic conditions, including visibility for car egress and pedestrians.

Clause 4.1.4.2 - Side Setbacks

Clause 4.1.4.2 requires development to provide variable side setbacks based on the proposed wall height. In this respect, the development provides the following side setbacks to the west and east:

West (side) Setback - 0.93m Garage (variation 7%) East (side) Setback - 7.1m Garage (complies)

The proposal complies with the control for setback to windows facing the boundary (3.0m) as there are no windows on the eastern or western elevation for the proposed garage.

Merit Consideration

With regard to the consideration for a variation, the development is considered against the underlying Objectives of the Control as follows:

• To maintain and enhance the existing streetscape including the desired spatial proportions of the street, the street edge and the landscape character of the street.

Comment:

The proposed development is consistent with the objectives of Clause 3.1 Streetscapes and Townscapes of the MDCP 2013. The non compliant elements of the proposal, i.e. the side setback of the proposed garage, would not be visually prominent from Judith Street and would therefore not have any adverse impact upon the existing streetscape. Overall, it is considered that the proposed side setbacks are consistent with that of the existing site and surrounding parking structures within the immediate vicinity. As such, the proposed development maintains the existing desired spatial proportions of the street, the street edge and the landscape character of the street.



Similarly, the front setback provides desired spatial proportions to respond to the landscape character of the locality.

The development satisfies this objective.

• To ensure and enhance local amenity by:

- providing privacy.

-providing equitable access to light, sunshine and air movement.

- facilitating view sharing and maintaining adequate space between buildings to limit impacts on views and vistas from private and public spaces.

- defining and adding character to the streetscape including the provision of adequate space between buildings to create a rhythm or pattern of spaces.

- facilitating safe and adequate traffic conditions including levels of visibility around corner lots at the street intersection.

Comment:

The separation of the built form enables the development to satisfactorily respond to this objective as follows:

- As detailed within CI 3.4.2 Privacy of this report, the proposed garage will have no windows on the eastern or western elevation thereby providing reasonable levels of privacy.
- As detailed within CI 3.4.1 Sunlight Access and Overshadowing of this report, the proposal is considered to maintain equitable access to light.
- No unreasonable view loss is expected to arise as a result of the proposal.
- The proposed side and front building lines adhere to the established side lines of the existing car parking arrangement on the subject site and surrounding properties.
- As above, the non complaint elements of the proposal would not be readily evident from the street and hence, it is not considered to impact upon the streetscape
- The proposed development would not impact upon traffic conditions and allows for satisfactory site lines along Judith Street.
- •

The proposed garage will maintain a reasonable level of amenity to the residents of the subject site and to the adjoining and surrounding properties. The enclosed garage has a proposed front setback of 8.0m -9.8m which will minimise impacts on available street views. The proposed development allows for adequate visual and acoustic privacy to be retained between the subject site and neighbouring properties.

The development satisfies this objective.

• To promote flexibility in the siting of buildings.

Comment:

The proposed setbacks are reflective of the existing format of parking structure development and its attempt to respond to the existing structures on the site. In this way, the garage mimics the existing siting of the car parking to preserve the natural features and areas of the site and its relationship to its surrounds.

The new front car parking area, together with the updated roof design adds to the character of the streetscape which still creating a rhythm of spaces when viewed from the neighbouring private and public properties.



The development satisfies this objective.

- To enhance and maintain natural features by:
- accommodating planting, including deep soil zones, vegetation consolidated across sites, native vegetation and native trees; ensuring the nature of development does not unduly detract from the context of the site and particularly in relation to the nature of any adjoining Open Space lands and National Parks; and ensuring the provisions of State Environmental Planning Policy No 19 - Urban Bushland are satisfied.

Comment:

No significant vegetation is required to be removed in order to facilitate the proposed works. The proposed development provides adequate landscaping and planting and does not unduly detract from the context of the site. The subject site does not contain urban bushland.

The development satisfies this objective.

• To assist in appropriate bush fire asset protection zones.

Comment:

The site is not identified as bush fire prone land and therefore does not require the establishment of bush fire asset protection zones.

This objective is not applicable.

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the relevant objectives of MLEP 2013 and MDCP 2013 and the objectives specified in section 1.3 (a) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported, in this particular circumstance.

4.1.5 Open Space and Landscaping

Description of non-compliance

The proposal does not comply with the control for total open space (55%). In this regard, the proposal involves 53.2% (294.12sqm) of open space, with 87% (257.67sqm) of the available open space area to be available as soft landscaping.

The soft landscaped area complies, however the open space area presents a minor variation to the control due to the minor increase in the size of the driveway/hardstand area.

Merit consideration:

With regard to the consideration for a variation, the development is considered against the underlying Objectives of the Control as follows:

To retain and augment important landscape features and vegetation including remnant populations of native flora and fauna.

Comment:

The proposal does not involve any removal of canopy trees or smaller native trees currently within the front setback. As a result there is no unreasonable impact on flora and fauna.



• To maximise soft landscaped areas and open space at ground level, encourage appropriate tree planting and the maintenance of existing vegetation and bushland.

Comment:

The proposal complies with the numerical control for landscaped area on site. Along with the ground level open space to surround the dwelling, this provides an appropriate opportunity for tree planting and natural features.

• To maintain and enhance the amenity (including sunlight, privacy and views) of the site, the streetscape and the surrounding area.

Comment:

The open space proposed across the site sufficiently contributes to providing an appropriate buffer and physical separation to enhance and maintain amenity.

• To maximise water infiltration on-site with porous landscaped areas and surfaces and minimise stormwater runoff.

Comment:

The proposal complies with the control for landscaped area and this sufficiently maximises opportunity for stormwater infiltration as well as minimising opportunity for stormwater runoff.

• To minimise the spread of weeds and the degradation of private and public open space.

Comment:

The proposal does not involve weeds and would not give unreasonable opportunity for the spread of weeds.

• To maximise wildlife habitat and the potential for wildlife corridors.

Comment:

The proposal involves open space corridors to surround the dwelling and this appropriately provides potential for wildlife.

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the relevant objectives of MLEP 2013 / MDCP and the objectives specified in section 1.3(a) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported, in this particular circumstance.

4.1.6 Parking, Vehicular Access and Loading (Including Bicycle Facilities)

In accordance with Schedule 3 Part A1 Parking Rates and Requirements for vehicles, the site requires a total of 2 parking spaces for the existing dwelling house. As existing, the site provides for two (2) formal car parking space in a tandem parking arrangement. There is no change to the existing tandem car parking arrangement, with one car space continuing to be located within the front setback in the tandem arrangement. As there continues to be a car space located within the front setback area a merit assessment has been undertaken below.

Merit consideration:



With regard to the consideration for a variation, the development is considered against the underlying Objectives of the Control as follows:

• To provide accessible and adequate parking on site relative to the type of development and the locality for all users (residents, visitors or employees).

Comment:

The proposal will maintain a compliant number of spaces on site without any reduction. This is considered adequate as it maintains the existing parking on site. The proposed garage does not adversely impact the streetscape nor further limit open space.

• To reduce the demand for on-street parking and identify where exceptions to onsite parking requirements may be considered in certain circumstances.

Comment:

The proposal will not increase the demand for on-street parking as it maintains the existing number of parking spaces on site.

• To ensure that the location and design of driveways, parking spaces and other vehicular access areas are efficient, safe, convenient and are integrated into the design of the development to minimise their visual impact in the streetscape.

Comment:

Existing vehicle and pedestrian access points are to be retained.

• To ensure that the layout of parking spaces limits the amount of site excavation in order to avoid site instability and the interruption to ground water flows.

Comment:

No unreasonable excavation or landfill works are proposed

• To ensure the width and number of footpath crossings is minimised.

Comment:

No change to the existing crossover layout. The size of the hardstand area is to be increased by a minor amount to facilitate greater ease of egress and improved livability outcomes for the occupants.

• To integrate access, parking and landscaping; to limit the amount of impervious surfaces and to provide screening of internal accesses from public view as far as practicable through appropriate landscape treatment.

Comment:

The vegetation to the front of the site is maintained and landscaping is also provided to the east of the propose garage. This provides for an appropriate integration of landscaping with the built form.

 To encourage the use of public transport by limiting onsite parking provision in Centres that are well serviced by public transport and by encouraging bicycle use to limit traffic congestion and promote clean air.

Comment:



The proposed works are not expected to unreasonably increase car demand. The site is within close proximity to regular public transport services that may be used by residents and visitors.

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the relevant objectives of MDCP and the objectives specified in section 1.3(a) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported, in this particular circumstance.

THREATENED SPECIES, POPULATIONS OR ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES

The proposal will not significantly affect threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.

CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN

The proposal is consistent with the principles of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design.

POLICY CONTROLS

Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2019

As the estimated cost of works is less than \$100,001.00 the policy is not applicable to the assessment of this application.

CONCLUSION

The site has been inspected and the application assessed having regard to all documentation submitted by the applicant and the provisions of:

- Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;
- Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000;
- All relevant and draft Environmental Planning Instruments;
- Manly Local Environment Plan;
- Manly Development Control Plan; and
- Codes and Policies of Council.

This assessment has taken into consideration the submitted plans, Statement of Environmental Effects, all other documentation supporting the application and public submissions, and does not result in any unreasonable impacts on surrounding, adjoining, adjacent and nearby properties subject to the conditions contained within the recommendation.

In consideration of the proposal and the merit consideration of the development, the proposal is considered to be:

- Consistent with the objectives of the DCP
- Consistent with the zone objectives of the LEP
- Consistent with the aims of the LEP
- Consistent with the objectives of the relevant EPIs
- Consistent with the objects of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

It is considered that the proposed development satisfies the appropriate controls and that all processes



and assessments have been satisfactorily addressed.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council as the consent authority grant Development Consent to DA2020/1191 for Alterations and additions to a dwelling house on land at Lot 283 DP 11162, 7 Judith Street, SEAFORTH, subject to the conditions printed below:

DEVELOPMENT CONSENT OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS

1. Approved Plans and Supporting Documentation

The development must be carried out in compliance (except as amended by any other condition of consent) with the following:

a) Approved Plans

Architectural Plans - Endorsed with Council's stamp				
Drawing No. Dated Prepared By				
Site Plan A03	18/9/2020	Dragonfly Architects Pty Ltd		
Ground Floor A08	18/9/2020	Dragonfly Architects Pty Ltd		
Roof Plan A09	18/9/2020	Dragonfly Architects Pty Ltd		
Elevations E1 & E2 A10	18/9/2020	Dragonfly Architects Pty Ltd		
Elevations E3 & E4 A11	18/9/2020	Dragonfly Architects Pty Ltd		
Section A & B A12	18/9/2020	Dragonfly Architects Pty Ltd		

Reports / Documentation – All recommendations and requirements contained
within:

Report No. / Page No. / Section No.	Dated	Prepared By
Preliminary Geoetchnical Assessment	White Geotechnical Group	02/10/2020

b) Any plans and / or documentation submitted to satisfy the Deferred Commencement Conditions of this consent as approved in writing by Council.

c) Any plans and / or documentation submitted to satisfy the Conditions of this consent.

In the event of any inconsistency between conditions of this consent and the drawings/documents referred to above, the conditions of this consent will prevail.

Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination of Council and approved plans.



2. **Prescribed Conditions**

- (a) All building works must be carried out in accordance with the requirements of the Building Code of Australia (BCA).
- (b) BASIX affected development must comply with the schedule of BASIX commitments specified within the submitted BASIX Certificate (demonstrated compliance upon plans/specifications is required prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate);
- (c) A sign must be erected in a prominent position on any site on which building work, subdivision work or demolition work is being carried out:
 - (i) showing the name, address and telephone number of the Principal Certifying Authority for the work, and
 - (ii) showing the name of the principal contractor (if any) for any building work and a telephone number on which that person may be contacted outside working hours, and
 - (iii) stating that unauthorised entry to the work site is prohibited.

Any such sign is to be maintained while the building work, subdivision work or demolition work is being carried out, but must be removed when the work has been completed.

- (d) Residential building work within the meaning of the Home Building Act 1989 must not be carried out unless the Principal Certifying Authority for the development to which the work relates (not being the Council) has given the Council written notice of the following information:
 - (i) in the case of work for which a principal contractor is required to be appointed:
 - A. the name and licence number of the principal contractor, and
 - B. the name of the insurer by which the work is insured under Part 6 of that Act,
 - (ii) in the case of work to be done by an owner-builder:
 - A. the name of the owner-builder, and
 - B. if the owner-builder is required to hold an owner-builder permit under that Act, the number of the owner-builder permit.

If arrangements for doing the residential building work are changed while the work is in progress so that the information notified under becomes out of date, further work must not be carried out unless the Principal Certifying Authority for the development to which the work relates (not being the Council) has given the Council written notice of the updated information.

- (e) Development that involves an excavation that extends below the level of the base of the footings of a building on adjoining land, the person having the benefit of the development consent must, at the person's own expense:
 - (i) protect and support the adjoining premises from possible damage from the excavation, and
 - (ii) where necessary, underpin the adjoining premises to prevent any such damage.
 - (iii) must, at least 7 days before excavating below the level of the base of the footings of a building on an adjoining allotment of land, give notice of intention to do so to the owner of the adjoining allotment of land and furnish particulars of the excavation to the owner of the building being erected or demolished.
 - (iv) the owner of the adjoining allotment of land is not liable for any part of the cost of work carried out for the purposes of this clause, whether carried out on the allotment of land being excavated or on the adjoining allotment of land.



In this clause, allotment of land includes a public road and any other public place.

Reason: Legislative requirement.

3. General Requirements

- (a) Unless authorised by Council: Building construction and delivery of material hours are restricted to:
 - 7.00 am to 5.00 pm inclusive Monday to Friday,
 - 8.00 am to 1.00 pm inclusive on Saturday,
 - No work on Sundays and Public Holidays.

Demolition and excavation works are restricted to:

• 8.00 am to 5.00 pm Monday to Friday only.

(Excavation work includes the use of any excavation machinery and the use of jackhammers, rock breakers, excavators, loaders and the like, regardless of whether the activities disturb or alter the natural state of the existing ground stratum or are breaking up/removing materials from the site).

- (b) Should any asbestos be uncovered on site, its demolition and removal must be carried out in accordance with WorkCover requirements and the relevant Australian Standards.
- (c) At all times after the submission the Notice of Commencement to Council, a copy of the Development Consent and Construction Certificate is to remain onsite at all times until the issue of a final Occupation Certificate. The consent shall be available for perusal of any Authorised Officer.
- (d) Where demolition works have been completed and new construction works have not commenced within 4 weeks of the completion of the demolition works that area affected by the demolition works shall be fully stabilised and the site must be maintained in a safe and clean state until such time as new construction works commence.
- (e) Onsite toilet facilities (being either connected to the sewer or an accredited sewer management facility) for workers are to be provided for construction sites at a rate of 1 per 20 persons.
- (f) Prior to the release of the Construction Certificate, payment of the Long Service Levy is required. This payment can be made at Council or to the Long Services Payments Corporation. Payment is not required where the value of the works is less than \$25,000. The Long Service Levy is calculated on 0.35% of the building and construction work. The levy rate and level in which it applies is subject to legislative change. The applicable fee at the time of payment of the Long Service Levy will apply.
- (g) The applicant shall bear the cost of all works associated with the development that occurs on Council's property.
- (h) No skip bins, building materials, demolition or excavation waste of any nature, and no hoist, plant or machinery (crane, concrete pump or lift) shall be placed on Council's footpaths, roadways, parks or grass verges without Council Approval.
- (i) Demolition materials and builders' wastes are to be removed to approved waste/recycling centres.
- (j) No trees or native shrubs or understorey vegetation on public property (footpaths,



roads, reserves, etc.) or on the land to be developed shall be removed or damaged during construction unless specifically approved in this consent including for the erection of any fences, hoardings or other temporary works.

- (k) Prior to the commencement of any development onsite for:
 - i) Building/s that are to be erected
 - ii) Building/s that are situated in the immediate vicinity of a public place and is dangerous to persons or property on or in the public place
 - iii) Building/s that are to be demolished
 - iv) For any work/s that is to be carried out
 - v) For any work/s that is to be demolished

The person responsible for the development site is to erect or install on or around the development area such temporary structures or appliances (wholly within the development site) as are necessary to protect persons or property and to prevent unauthorised access to the site in order for the land or premises to be maintained in a safe or healthy condition. Upon completion of the development, such temporary structures or appliances are to be removed within 7 days.

- (I) A "Road Opening Permit" must be obtained from Council, and all appropriate charges paid, prior to commencement of any work on Council property. The owner/applicant shall be responsible for all public utilities and services in the area of the work, shall notify all relevant Authorities, and bear all costs associated with any repairs and/or adjustments as those Authorities may deem necessary.
- (m) The works must comply with the relevant Ausgrid Network Standards and SafeWork NSW Codes of Practice.

Reason: To ensure that works do not interfere with reasonable amenity expectations of residents and the community.

FEES / CHARGES / CONTRIBUTIONS

4. Security Bond

A bond (determined from cost of works) of \$1,000 and an inspection fee in accordance with Council's Fees and Charges paid as security are required to ensure the rectification of any damage that may occur to the Council infrastructure contained within the road reserve adjoining the site as a result of construction or the transportation of materials and equipment to and from the development site.

An inspection fee in accordance with Council adopted fees and charges (at the time of payment) is payable for each kerb inspection as determined by Council (minimum (1) one inspection).

All bonds and fees shall be deposited with Council prior to Construction Certificate or demolition work commencing, and details demonstrating payment are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

To process the inspection fee and bond payment a Bond Lodgement Form must be completed with the payments (a copy of the form is attached to this consent and alternatively a copy is located on Council's website at www.northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au).

Reason: To ensure adequate protection of Council's infrastructure.



CONDITIONS TO BE SATISFIED PRIOR TO THE ISSUE OF THE CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATE

5. Stormwater Disposal

The applicant is to demonstrate how stormwater from the new development within this consent is disposed of to an existing approved system or in accordance with Northern Beaches Council's WATER MANAGEMENT FOR DEVELOPMENT POLICY.

Details demonstrating that the existing approved stormwater system can accommodate the additional flows, or compliance with the Council's specification are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority for approval prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure appropriate provision for disposal and stormwater management arising from development.

6. Waste Management Plan

A Waste Management Plan must be prepared for this development. The Plan must be in accordance with the Development Control Plan.

Details demonstrating compliance must be provided to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure that any demolition and construction waste, including excavated material, is reused, recycled or disposed of in an environmentally friendly manner.

7. Compliance with Standards

The development is required to be carried out in accordance with all relevant Australian Standards.

Details demonstrating compliance with the relevant Australian Standard are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure the development is constructed in accordance with appropriate standards.

8. Sydney Water "Tap In"

The approved plans must be submitted to the Sydney Water Tap in service, prior to works commencing, to determine whether the development will affect any Sydney Water assets and/or easements. The appropriately stamped plans must then be submitted to the Certifying Authority demonstrating the works are in compliance with Sydney Water requirements.

Please refer to the website www.sydneywater.com.au for:

- "Tap in" details see http://www.sydneywater.com.au/tapin
- Guidelines for Building Over/Adjacent to Sydney Water Assets.

Or telephone 13 000 TAP IN (1300 082 746).

Reason: To ensure compliance with the statutory requirements of Sydney Water.

CONDITIONS TO BE COMPLIED WITH DURING DEMOLITION AND BUILDING WORK

9. Road Reserve

The applicant shall ensure the public footways and roadways adjacent to the site are maintained



in a safe condition at all times during the course of the work.

Reason: Public safety.

10. Survey Certificate

A survey certificate prepared by a Registered Surveyor at the following stages of construction:

(a) Commencement of perimeter walls columns and or other structural elements to ensure the wall or structure, to boundary setbacks are in accordance with the approved details.

(b) At completion of the roof frame confirming the finished roof/ridge height is in accordance with levels indicated on the approved plans.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority.

Reason: To determine the height of buildings under construction comply with levels shown on approved plans.

11. Waste Management During Development

The reuse, recycling or disposal of waste during works must be done generally in accordance with the Waste Management Plan for this development.

Details demonstrating compliance must be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority.

Reason: To ensure demolition and construction waste is recycled or reused and to limit landfill.

CONDITIONS WHICH MUST BE COMPLIED WITH PRIOR TO THE ISSUE OF THE OCCUPATION CERTIFICATE

12. Stormwater Disposal

The stormwater drainage works shall be certified as compliant with all relevant Australian Standards and Codes by a suitably qualified person. Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority prior to the issue of any interim / final Occupation Certificate.

Reason: To ensure appropriate provision for the disposal of stormwater arising from the development.

13. Waste Management Confirmation

Prior to the issue of a Final Occupation Certificate, evidence / documentation must be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority that all waste material from the development site arising from demolition and/or construction works has been appropriately recycled, reused or disposed of generally in accordance with the approved Waste Management Plan.

Reason: To ensure demolition and construction waste is recycled or reused and to limit landfill.

In signing this report, I declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest.

Signed



C.Shu

Catriona Shirley, Planner

The application is determined on //, under the delegated authority of:

Rodney Piggott, Manager Development Assessments