
Sent: 29/10/2020 6:53:02 PM
Subject: DA2020/1233 68-90 EVANS STREET, FRESHWATER

DA2020/1233 68-90 EVANS STREET, FRESHWATER

NOELENE & JOHN MOTTRAM
39/80 EVANS ST.
FRESHWATER. N.S.W.2096

We as residents of Watermark-Freshwater, and are OPPOSED to this DA

When we signed the Lease for our Apartment we were also required to sign the Village Rules.

Schedule of Rules. Opening Statement - "These rules have been made to maintain a peaceful, comfortable and enjoyable environment for all residents, visitors and guests."

Schedule of Rules. Section 3 - Noise. "As with any other residential complex, a level of noise within the village is to be expected. However, you must not make any noise likely to unreasonably interfere with the quiet enjoyment of others within the village. This rule applies whether you are inside your premises or on common areas."

Schedule of Rules - Section 10 - Security. We signed up for and expect our village to be secure.

Whilst general comments have been made about security, as residents, we have not been shown how this can be achieved under the proposed changes.

We were told there would be a lawn bowling green on the Upper Ground Floor of the Residents' Common Area at the time we spoke to "Watermark Sales".

We cannot find any written reference to a bowling green in the signed documents. (Lease and Village Rules). However, as part of these documents, a Licence Plan of the Upper Ground Floor show this grassed area as UPPER GROUND LANDSCAPING. This space on the same document is called "SENIORS LIVING LICENSED GARDEN" in the Plan Legend.

This existing and only grassed area is used for outdoor activities and could be prepared to include recreational lawn bowls.

Mounties already own the Manly Bowling Club which caters for recreational and competition bowling. This Club is already a popular venue for socialising for Harbord Diggers Members in the wider community including residents of Watermark-Freshwater.

After Watermark-Freshwater was deemed to be complete and major remediation finalised (which took up until about June this year) we the residents are now able to enjoy what was promised at the beginning.

Considering this complex was completed in accordance with the plans agreed to by all parties, we are at a loss to understand why new building works are being considered. Any such works

will cause more dust, more noise and disruption. Added to this we are concerned about our security, and the possible ongoing noise issues and the effect it could have on the mental well being of residents.

FOR THESE REASONS AND THE RELEVANT CONTENT OF OTHER SUBMISSIONS WE REMAIN OPPOSED TO THIS DA.

Noelene & John Mottram

Sent from my iPad