
From: admin pa
Sent: 23/05/2024 11:47:15 AM
To: Council Northernbeaches Mailbox
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: TRIMMED: DA2024/0487 - Address 139 Lagoon Street Narrabeen
Attachments: Report of MTK Consulting dated 16 May 2024.pdf; Email letter 23.05.2024 to Northern Beaches Council.pdf;

Dear Sirs

Please find attached a letter from Peter Wood, Solicitor together with the attachment referred to therein.

If replying by email, please reply directly to Peter Wood at his email: [REDACTED]

Thank you
Kind regards,
Helen Cafini
Paralegal

WMW Lawyers
Level 1 Suite 20 Meriton 'Lighthouse'
888 Pittwater Road Dee Why 2099

t: [REDACTED]
e: [REDACTED]
www.wmw.com.au

Liability limited by a scheme approved under the Professional Standards Legislation. The content of this email is confidential and intended for the recipient specified in message only. It is strictly forbidden to share any part of this message with any third party, without a written consent of the sender. If you received this message by mistake, please reply to this message and follow with its deletion, so that we can ensure such a mistake does not occur in the future.

CYBER ALERT

People across Australia have lost money when hackers have impersonated a law practice or another party involved in a legal matter by altering electronic communications including email, fax, social media, text, instant messaging, applications, file sharing and documents including PDF or Word documents. The following steps are critical to reduce this risk and if you are involved in a transfer of funds these are our expectations of you in helping to reduce the risk of such loss.

Cyber Warning – Do not act on any communication that requires you to transfer funds until you first make contact by telephone the apparent sender on a separately verified number and read out and read back the account details.

In this matter, where payment details are contained in an electronic communication or document you must agree that you:

X WILL NOT

Transfer any funds (send, deposit, pay or transfer) of more than \$10,000 Australian dollars or its equivalent in any other currency without first telephoning the apparent sender to verbally confirm the account number, details and amounts by reading out and reading back the account and BSB numbers (or other specific payment details where applicable, e.g. if not a bank account) and confirming any instructions; and

☒ WILL

Give the same warnings to anyone else known to be connected to the matter and anticipated to be involved in transferring or receiving any funds (including but not limited to, agents, brokers, family members, accountants). Please also note:

- when calling to check payment details above, ensure that you do not make contact using a phone number for the apparent sender listed in any communication or document that asks for money or sets out account numbers or details without first checking the phone number from a source that is different to that communication, for example a separate paper communication or checking the person's website or white or yellow pages telephone listing;
- you must contact the sender to check legitimacy before opening attachments, or click on hyperlinks or download buttons in unexpected emails; and
- you must immediately contact us if in you are in any doubt about emails or any other electronic communications that appear to have come from us.

PLEASE NOTE: We will not transfer money out of our practice until we are able to verify any bank account by a voice call to confirm those details (by reading out and reading back the account and BSB numbers or other specific payment details where applicable, e.g. if not a bank account). You must make sure you can be contacted if you need any funds transferred by a specific time, otherwise your objectives may not be met.

MTK Consulting

Structural, Civil & Stormwater Engineering

[REDACTED]
P O Box 703
Dee Why NSW 2099
Mobile: [REDACTED]

16th May 2024

Mr Tom Webster
141 Lagoon Street
Narrabeen, NSW 2101

Job N^o: 220501

Re: Comments on the proposed garage at 139 Lagoon Street, Narrabeen

As instructed, we have reviewed the documents associated with the proposed alterations and additions to the residence at 139 Lagoon Street, Narrabeen. The brief is specific to the impact of the proposed works on the driveway of 141 Lagoon Street, Narrabeen. The purpose of the review is to assist the Town Planner with a response to the proposed development.

For the purpose of the review, we have been provided with the following documents:

Architectural drawings by MHDP Architects

Site Plan and Site Analysis,	#2122/A001/A dated 16/1/2024
Demolition Plan,	#2122/A002/A dated 16/1/2024
Excavation and Fill Plan,	#2122/A003/A dated 16/1/2024
Landscape Area Calculation,	#2122/A005/A dated 16/1/2024
Driveway Profile Plan,	#2122/A006/A dated 16/1/2024
Proposed Ground Floor Plan,	#2122/A100/A dated 16/1/2024
Proposed Garage Floor Plan,	#2122/A101/A dated 16/1/2024
Lagoon Street Elevation,	#2122/A201/A dated 16/1/2024
Photomontage,	#2122/SK10/A dated 16/1/2024
Sediment and Stormwater Plan,	#2122/SK11/A dated 16/1/2024
Shadow Diagrams,	#2122/SK12/A dated 16/1/2024

Geotechnical Engineering reporting by White Geotechnical Group
Reporting #J5243 dated 18th January 2024.

Pre-lodgement Advice from Council
PLM2021/0307 dated 16th November 2021

Survey by Burton & Field
#E7194-86262 issue A, dated 13/11/2023.

Statement of Environmental Effects by MHDP Architects
Dated February 2024.

Michael Kelett

Director

B.E. (Civil) MIE Aust C.P Eng. IntPE(Aus)
NER (Civil, Structural) APEC Engineer


Mobile: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Postal address: PO Box 703 Dee Why NSW 2099

This summary is not a complete list of potential issues with the application. Regarding the documentation for our review, we note the following significant issues that we believe require clarification in association with the submission to Council:

Clarification 1



MHDP Proposed Garage Floor Plan #2122/A101/A dated 16/1/2024

MTK Comment

The ground level to the northwestern corner of the site, at the boundary between 139 and 141 Lagoon Street appears to be approximately RL 6.0m. The garage floor level is at RL 5.40. The excavation therefore will be at approximately RL 4.90m to comply with the Geotechnical requirements included in the submission to Council.

The Garage has a nominal offset to the boundary of only 450mm. This important information is only nominated on the ground floor plan #2122/A100/A. This measurement should also be included on the Garage Floor Plan #2122/A101/A.

The contiguous piles indicated on the plans have been suggested to be outside the alignment of the structure for the garage. The contiguous piles should not be installed any closer than 200mm to the boundary to allow for the provision of a boundary fence between the two properties on completion of the works.

The planter (to the northern and western boundary of the development site) and the associated footing extend into the corner of the site with 0.0m offset to both the front and side boundaries. There is an unacceptable level of risk to the driveway of 141 Lagoon Street without the possibility of shoring due to the zero-offset proposed in the plans.

The existing building within the footprint of the excavation and proposed shoring will likely be demolished to carry out the works and reduce site risks during construction.

Michael Kelett

Director

B.E. (Civil) MIE Aust C.P Eng. IntPE(Aus)
NER (Civil, Structural) APEC Engineer

Mobile: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Postal address: PO Box 703 Dee Why NSW 2099

Clarification 2



MHDP Photomontage #2122/SK10/A dated 16/1/2024

MTK Comment

The ground level to the corner of the site boundary between 139 and 141 Lagoon Street appear to be approximately RL 6.0m. The garage floor level is at RL 5.40m. This is a 600mm difference in the levels at the boundary location.

The impression presented in the documentation does not represent the proposed form along the street frontage and within the Councils Road reserve. The garage level is approximately 600mm deeper into the ground which will increase the bulk of the garage from the street. The inclusion of the retaining walls along the alignment of the side boundary driveway with 141 Lagoon Street should also be corrected.

There is no inclusion of the proposed planter and the effect on the driveway of 141 Lagoon Street in the Photomontage. This is apparent as indicated on the Lagoon Street Elevation, #2122/A201/A dated 16/1/2024.

Michael Kelett

Director


B.E. (Civil) MIE Aust C.P Eng. IntPE(Aus)
NER (Civil, Structural) APEC Engineer

Mobile: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Postal address: PO Box 703 Dee Why NSW 2099

Clarification 4



Survey by Burton & Field #E7194-86262 issue A, dated 13/11/2023

MTK Comment

The Survey along the common boundary between 141 & 139 lagoon Street does not include the ground surface levels of the neighbouring site to the proposed development.

There is a critical failure of the gathering of the information to provide an informed opinion regarding the expected levels of ground and finishes that would need to be supported by the contiguous piles (or alternative shoring) during the construction phase.

Without the ground levels nominated and included in the documentation, the true extent of the works and therefore the appropriate design is not possible.

Council should insist on the levels of 141 Lagoon Street to be included on the survey with the application to verify or correct the assumptions made in the documents listed within our review. Furthermore, a suitable design for the support of the neighbouring properties must be included in the application where the proposed garage is within the side boundary setback required by Council in the Development Control Plan.

Michael Kelett

Director


B.E. (Civil) MIE Aust C.P Eng. IntPE(Aus)
NER (Civil, Structural) APEC Engineer

Mobile: [REDACTED]


Email: [REDACTED]

Postal address: PO Box 703 Dee Why NSW 2099

Clarification 5



MHDP #2122/A101/A Proposed Garage Floor Plan dated 16/1/2024



(Within Geotechnical Engineering reporting) MHDP Proposed Garage Floor Plan #2122/A101/A dated 16/1/2024

MTK Comment

The proposed ground floor plan in the Architectural set has the same drawing number, revision and date as the drawing used in the Geotechnical Engineers reporting however the extent of the planter boxes and the driveway alignment are different.

The Council should insist that the Application be resubmitted with the corrected Architectural set and inclusions in the Geotechnical Engineering reporting. There should not be two different versions of the same drawing in the Application to Council.

The plans and details must also include the relative levels of the northern neighbour's driveway due to the critical involvement of the parameter for the shoring design along that boundary. We note that even with a compliant side boundary setback, shoring will be required for the excavation as defined by the Geotechnical Engineer.

Michael Kelett

Director


B.E. (Civil) MIE Aust C.P Eng. IntPE(Aus)
NER (Civil, Structural) APEC Engineer

Mobile: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Postal address: PO Box 703 Dee Why NSW 2099

Clarification 6



MHDP Landscape Area Calculation #2122/A005/A dated 16/1/2024

MTK Comment

Areas for the landscape open space should be reviewed given that the calculation for the landscape open space by the Architect includes the areas where the contiguous piles will be installed, blockwork retaining walls and the area over the suspended slabs.

Warringah Development Control Plan (DCP) part D.

Section D1 landscape open space. Any open space areas with a dimension of less than 2m are excluded from the calculation. The landscape open space must be at ground level. Soil depth must be greater than 1000mm.

This therefore excludes the following areas nominated by the Architect in the calculation:

- areas between the garage and the northern boundary,
- the entire area over the suspended slab of the proposed garage,
- the blockwork along the sides of the stairs,
- the planters to the western side of the entry stairs and the western boundary,
- the raised planter along the length of the southern boundary
- the grassed area between the southern boundary and the existing residence.

To be able to count the area along the northern side of the garage as landscape open space, the garage will need to be relocated with an offset to the common boundary of 139 and 141 Lagoon Street in excess of 2000mm. This modification will also significantly reduce the risks to the driveway and persons at 141 Lagoon Street.

Michael Kelett

Director

B.E. (Civil) MIE Aust C.P Eng. IntPE(Aus)
NER (Civil, Structural) APEC Engineer

Mobile: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Postal address: PO Box 703 Dee Why NSW 2099

Clarification 7

1. Proposed Development

- 1.1** Construct a new driveway, garage and entryway on the W side of the property by excavating to a maximum depth of ~2.9m.
- 1.2** Details of the proposed development are shown on 9 drawings prepared by MHDP Architects, project number 2122. Drawings numbered A001 to A004, A100, A101, A201 and A211 are Revision A, dated 16/1/24. Drawing number SK10 is Revision A/P1, dated 18/12/23.

WGG Reporting #J5243 dated 18th January 2024

MTK Comment

The White Geotechnical Group indicates the following within the reporting. Without the levels of the neighbouring driveway along the length of the northern boundary of the development site, the estimation of the depth for the excavation is not an accurate quantity.

The description of the works should also address that the garage is located along the northern boundary of the development site.

There should have been an insistence/requirement by the Geotechnical Engineer and the Architect that the finished levels of the driveway to 141 Lagoon Street, Narrabeen be included in the Survey used for the proposal.

Sectional details for the proposed works should have been included to define the extent of the involvement and works required to ensure the stability of the neighbouring site. The detailing would assist in the planning for the shoring, inclusion of services (if possible) and the understanding that plants will not grow over the shoring system (nominated contiguous piles for example).

Michael Kelett

Director


B.E. (Civil) MIE Aust C.P Eng. IntPE(Aus)
NER (Civil, Structural) APEC Engineer

Mobile: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Postal address: PO Box 703 Dee Why NSW 2099

Clarification 8



WGG Reporting #J5243 dated 18th January 2024

MTK Comment

The reporting by WGG has identified that the development site is 'underlain' by sandy fill over sand. The reporting does not detail the importance of stability to the neighbouring access driveway. There is very little comment in the reporting of how the deal with the limited area between the boundary and the proposed garage wall with a nominal offset of 450mm.

The driveway should expect vehicular loading during and post the construction phase. This should be integrated into the reporting. Will there be limitations on the neighbour at 141 Lagoon Street in using the driveway during the construction phase and beyond due to the proximity of the prosed garage on the development site?

Once the contiguous piles have been installed along the boundary with sufficient tolerance to allow for the installation of a dividing fence, will the location of the garage need to be set off the boundary to allow for the construction of the retaining wall and drainage to the garage retailing wall?

A working design to ensure the stability of 141 Lagoon Street should be included in the documents for Council to assess the merits of the development for both the Applicant and the Neighbours.

Michael Kelett

Director

B.E. (Civil) MIE Aust C.P Eng. IntPE(Aus)
 NER (Civil, Structural) APEC Engineer

Mobile: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Postal address: PO Box 703 Dee Why NSW 2099

Clarification 9

DCP Clause E10 – Landslip Risk

The subject site is identified as Area B – Flanking Slopes 5° to 25° on the Landslip Risk Map. A Geotechnical report prepared by Crozier Geotechnical is included in this submission.

MHDP Statement of Environmental Effects, dated Feb 2024

MTK Comment

The Geotechnical reporting supplied with the application is by White Geotechnical. The statement made in the submission by the Architect should be checked given that Crozier Geotechnical does not appear to have been used for the reporting.

Clarification 10

DCP Clause B.B5 – Side Boundary Setbacks

The side setback control is 0.9m.

The existing side setback complies.

The proposed side is setback at 0.45m on existing northern boundary of the site where the garage is proposed to be located. While the proposed garage is within the side boundary setback, it is proposed to be semi-excavated at approx. RL 5.40. The adjacent property has their driveway to the north of the boundary. Any required excavation and retaining will be advised by a geotechnical and structural engineer consultant at later stages.

DCP Clause B.B5 – Side Boundary Setbacks – Exceptions

For land zoned R2, consent may be granted for ancillary developments to a dwelling house for single storey outbuildings, carport, pergola or the like that to a minor extent does not comply with the requirements of the setback clause.

As such, the garage is proposed to be within the northern side setback zone and thus can be considered acceptable.

MHDP Statement of Environmental Effects, dated Feb 2024

MTK Comment

The proposed encroachment into the side setback is compounded by the unclarified depth along the northern boundary of the development site. As noted, in previous points above, a survey of the relative levels and sectional details of the proposed boundary locations would assist the Geotechnical and a Structural Engineer design an acceptable solution. The solution may include a larger offset of the proposed garage to the northern boundary of the development. The depth of the excavation into a sandy soil with nominal area to ensure the stability of the neighbouring property is cause for the Council to refuse the works until such time that a submission with full details and a practical design to ensure the integrity and safety of 141 Lagoon Street for person and property has been submitted.

Michael Kelett

Director

B.E. (Civil) MIE Aust C.P Eng. IntPE(Aus)
NER (Civil, Structural) APEC Engineer

Mobile: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Postal address: PO Box 703 Dee Why NSW 2099

Clarification 11

LEP Clause 6.2 – Earthworks

The proposed driveway will require excavation to a maximum of 3.2m on site. Care has been taken to support the excavation before the commencement of excavation according to the Geotechnical report prepared by White Geotechnical group is included in this submission.

MHDP Statement of Environmental Effects, dated Feb 2024

MTK Comment

Comments in Section 4.1 of the SEE by the Architect imply that care has been taken to support the excavation before the commencement of the excavation.

The information in the proposal does not identify the following important information:

- Finished levels of the driveway to establish the design parameters has not been included in the Survey of the neighbouring property.
- There is no detailing of how the shoring would be installed along the northern boundary of the proposed excavation.
- Due to the friable nature of sand, the type, size and planning of the shoring required between the proposed garage and the boundary has not been resolved. It is likely that a larger offset from the northern boundary for the proposed garage will be necessary to enable support and drainage to be provided.
- The drainage required for the retaining systems nominated by the Geotechnical Engineer are unlikely to be installed with such a small offset to the boundary in the Application.
- We are of the opinion that adequate 'Care' at this stage of the Application has not been taken to ensure the integrity of the neighbouring site at 141 Lagoon Street.

Yours sincerely

MTK Consulting

per



Michael Kelett

B.E. (Civil) MIE Aust C.P Eng. IntPE(Aus)
NER (Civil, Structural) APEC Engineer

**This report should not be reproduced in black and white.
Colour copies only should be distributed.**

Michael Kelett

Director

B.E. (Civil) MIE Aust C.P Eng. IntPE(Aus)
NER (Civil, Structural) APEC Engineer

Mobile: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Postal address: PO Box 703 Dee Why NSW 2099

Our Ref: PW:HC:20240747

23 May 2024

BY EMAIL AND POST:-
Planning Enquiry Officer
Northern Beaches Council
PO Box 82
MANLY NSW 1655

Email to: council@northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au

Dear Recipient

RE: WEBSTER
RE: DA2024/0487 – PROPERTY 139 LAGOON STREET NARRABEEN

We act for Maja Martha Webster, who is the owner of the property at 141 Lagoon Street, Narrabeen located on the northern boundary of the property the subject of this Development Application. We note that you have previously received and replied to correspondence from our client's husband Tom Webster.

Our client has been seeking town planning and engineering advice in relation to the proposal and received the engineer's report shortly before the closing date for submissions. It is respectfully requested, having regard to the significance of the matters set out in our client's engineering advice, that it and this letter be taken into account in finalising the Council's response to the application.

We attach a copy of the report dated 16th May, 2024 provided by MTK Consulting. As you will see, the report raises significant concerns regarding the risks to our client's property, non-compliance with the Council's Development Control Plan and the engineer requirements necessary to protect our client's property having regard, inter alia, to the proximity of the works and the nature of the soil on both properties.

Our client asks in respect of the report that particular attention be given to the following matters:-

1. The statement on page 2 paragraph 5 that “there is an unacceptable risk to the driveway of 141 Lagoon Street with the possibility of shoring due to the zero-offset proposed in the plans”;
2. The comment on page 4 paragraph 1 that the Council should dismiss the sediment and stormwater management plan;
3. The concern raised on page 5 paragraph 1 that “the survey does not include the ground surface levels of the neighbouring site”;
4. The concern raised on page 7 that on the engineer’s understanding the proposal does not conform with the Council’s Development Control Plan;
5. The concern raised in paragraph 1 page 8 that “the estimation of the depth for the excavation is not an accurate quantity”;
6. The recommendation on page 9 paragraph 4 that a working design to ensure stability of our client’s property be included in the documents and compliance made a condition of approval;
7. The reference on page 10 that the Council should refuse approval until such time as a full submission with full details to ensure the integrity and safety of our client’s property has been provided;
8. The comment in the final dot point on page 11 that adequate care has not been taken to ensure the integrity of our client’s property.

It is asked that, if the application is otherwise approved, the issues raised by MTK Consulting be included as conditions of approval. In addition, it is asked that a requirement for before and after dilapidation reports be included to be prepared by a suitably qualified expert and that the issue of an occupation certificate be made conditional upon a satisfactory final report.


Our client is concerned that the proposed works will greatly hinder and threaten the enjoyment of their property and impact on access to it.

As the road is narrow, it is a cul-de-sac and there is no parking adjacent to the property, it is asked that appropriate conditions be included.

Our client has drawn attention in previous correspondence to the fact that at least some of the owners within the notification map area say that they have not received advice of the Development Application. It is asked that a further notification be sent allowing an opportunity for response before a determination of the application is made.

Kindly let us know if anything further is required to support this submission.

Yours faithfully
WMW Lawyers

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a vertical line on the left that curves into a horizontal line with a small loop and a wavy tail extending to the right.

Peter Wood