
Sent: 25/10/2020 4:03:09 PM
Subject: Online Submission

25/10/2020

MR John and Pamela Hirst
86 / 80 Evans ST
Freshwater NSW 2096
johnwahirst@gmail.com

RE: DA2020/1233 - 68 - 90 Evans Street FRESHWATER NSW 2096

We wish to lodge an objection to this proposed development. The basis of our objection is as follows:

The change of use differs substantially from that which was verbally advised and confirmed with documentation during the sale and purchase process.

As stated in the proposal, Stage 2 of the works approved under DA2014/0875 has been completed. The new submission must then represent an afterthought. Residents have tolerated 2 years of living in a construction site and if the proposal had been contemplated and approved, there was ample time and opportunity to undertake it then. To now expect residents to tolerate a further say 3 months of noise, loss of privacy, inconvenience, danger, dirt, and dust, to achieve something that is unwanted by the great majority of residents, and for the benefit of very view, is unconscionable.

Reference is made to DA2015/0152 that gave approval not to proceed with the installation of green roofs which was planned to lift the landscaped area to 60%. There is a claim under clause 3.1.2 of the current DA, to the effect that this "is not to be of relevance to the subject DA". We disagree. It is clearly relevant. Should the new DA be approved, the last area of natural grass will disappear from the site as after the "green roof" concept was abandoned, so was the natural grass in the Ocean Terrace replaced with artificial plastic material, so called synthetic grass. This is hardly environmentally sensitive, and is clearly inconsistent with Council initiatives in environment and historical protection.

There are inconsistencies between the documents lodged, even on matters as simple as dimensions - so what to believe? But particularly concerning that within the Plan of Management, it merely would take a management decision to either overturn or allow any use of the space, and similarly, to change operational hours. Quite concerning.

The area is deemed to be "licensed" and as such is highly inappropriate within a residential complex. The prospect of a "pop-up bar" would be attractive to many, and given within the Plan of Management that "members can book", leaves it available to the 30,000 or so members who are eligible people to use the area under the proposal. Unacceptable loss of privacy and intrusion into a living area would be the consequence.

There has been no consultation. The planned changes would intrude substantially into daily lives of residents who generally were not expecting this. There would be loss of amenity and it may not be possible to continue playing croquet because hoops may not be able to be secured on a synthetic surface. There will likely be loss of views as shades or umbrellas would be required to provide sun protection for bowlers, and there will be additional noise, reduced

security, and most of all there will be uncertainty, and that will have a deleterious impact on the welfare and quiet enjoyment of residents. On balance, a high price to pay for so little benefit.

We urge you to not approve this DA.

Thank you for your consideration