**Sent:** 12/07/2020 11:21:14 AM

Subject: Online Submission

12/07/2020

MR Richard Thomas 2 / 2 - 10 Hawkesbury AVE Dee Why NSW 2099 rickyt1978@hotmail.com

RE: DA2020/0514 - 1 B Bolingbroke Parade FAIRLIGHT NSW 2094

I wish to formally record my objection to this DA.

I lived in close proximity to the Boatshed for many years, and return regularly with my wife and 6 year old daughter to walk, picnic and swim along the beautiful foreshore.

It was therefore with considerable annoyance that I saw the proposal for the Boatshed to be expanded by more than 200%, and new decking overlooking the lovely foreshore area to the east of the site installed, together with outdoor seating for 50 patrons of a new 'kiosk', and indoor seating for at least 20 patrons, and probably more. The proposed hours of operation of the 'kiosk' start at 5am and extend to 10pm.

The storage of watercraft which presently occurs does not appear to have been approved, and it is difficult to see from the papers the extent of storage sought. I note the new 7x3m shed to be installed on the new eastern decking, and the very considerable space set aside on the ground floor. Plainly room for very many more watercraft is intended. Perhaps rental of watercraft is also intended?

This DA would allow overdevelopment of this very fragile and important site, which will be detract from the amenity enjoyed by people like my family who regularly use the area. Public views will be lost. Parking is already difficult, but it will become utterly impossible. While living on Bolingbroke parking on the weekend was a nightmare. If we went out anywhere when we returned home there would be zero car spaces and we would have to park blocks away or drive around in circles praying for someone to leave. The noise generated by the kiosk will intrude upon park and foreshore users. There will be light spill at night which will fundamentally alter the evening environment.

I am also concerned about the extensive new footprint of the building overshadowing the seabed, and negatively affecting seagrass growth, as noted in the submission of Judy Lambert.

I strongly oppose this DA and ask Council to reject it.

Yours Sincerely

**Richard Thomas**