
Sent: 26/07/2021 9:12:06 AM
Subject: DA2021/1005 att Thomas Prosser

Submission DA 2021/1005

Attention Thomas Prosser

To whom it may concern

We are the owner of 57-59 Annam Road Bayview 2104 and share a driveway with lot 49-51 based on a Right of Carriageway as per the submitted survey plan for this DA.

Firstly, we would like to ask the council why we were not included in the submission plan of this DA, despite this proposal having the potential to significantly affecting access to our property during construction?

Can you please acknowledge that this submission has been received and if this is not the correct way of submitting advice how it has to be submitted before 28 July 2021.

Access during construction work

One of our main concerns is access to our house during construction. Despite a 25-page Construction Management plan, we cannot find anything that addresses the use of the shared driveway and how access to the neighbouring properties is maintained (53-55 and 57-59). Nor can we find an assessment if the current driveway is suitable and stable enough for the proposed heavy trucks/machinery for the proposed excavation, especially considering that most trees above and below the driveway are marked for removal. As per the proposal, the access driveway to 53-55 and 57-59 is passing through the building site. We are not even sure if the applicant is aware that there are two neighbouring properties using this driveway. It seems there is no consideration of contingencies if the driveway is blocked or not safe during construction.

It is unacceptable not to show how this problem will be managed.

Regarding the **Flora and Fauna** report (which is labelled as draft and not for submission). Dr Trevor J. Hawkeswood can only find two species of birds Kookaburras and Brush Turkeys on that lot and no other animals, but found droppings of feral dogs. Feral dogs in Bayview? More likely the cavoodle from up the road.

Meanwhile, part of our property has been **classified as littoral rainforest**. On our property, there are a significant number of water dragons of all ages and snakes. There were two goannas that seem to have been displaced/killed because of the destruction of their habitats on neighbouring properties. We also see Echidnas, Brushtail and Ringtail possums, Bandicoots, Wallabies, bats and at least six different species of birds. While these are not endangered species, they are native animals. I am not sure why none of these animals are found on a lot that has been vacant for years but are readily seen on our block.

The plans indicate a **three-storey house** and the geotechnical report describes it as such, too. This seems not to be in accordance with Pittwater DCP 21 A4.2. This significant fact has not been addressed in the statement of environmental effects. It also seems that the statement of environmental effect says numerous times 'complies' and 'easily achievable' without explanation on why and how it complies or the outcome is achieved. This seems a bit of a lacklustre approach for custodians of the land as mentioned in this same report.

The plans also show two kitchens indicating a **class 2 building**. The proposal does not follow class 2 submission guidelines.

Of the 158 trees assessed on the property about 112 (just over 70 %) seem to be recommended for removal, in addition to some smaller trees that have not been assessed. This seems like a lot of trees to be removed for a development that claims to be sympathetic to the natural environment and in line with the future desired character of the locality.

Thanks for the opportunity to provide feedback on this proposal.

Best regards

Barbara Hodel

Ueli Schmied

57-59 Annam Road Bayview 2104

--