

---

**Sent:** 12/12/2018 3:50:19 PM  
**Subject:** DA 2018/1514: Submission [ADDISONS-iManage.FID143057]  
**Attachments:** 2978378\_1.pdf;

Attn: Luke Perry

Dear Mr Perry

Please refer to the attached letter.

Regards

**Penny Murray | Partner**  
**ADDISONS**

D +61 2 8915 1031 | M +61 415 505 568 | F +61 2 8916 2000

E [penny.murray@addisonslawyers.com.au](mailto:penny.murray@addisonslawyers.com.au)

Level 12, 60 Carrington Street, Sydney NSW 2000

[www.addisonslawyers.com.au](http://www.addisonslawyers.com.au)

Liability limited by a scheme approved under Professional Standards Legislation.

Important: This email and the attachments are confidential and subject to copyright. They may be subject to legal professional privilege. If you receive this email by mistake, please immediately advise the sender by return email and then delete this email and destroy all printed copies.



12 December 2018

Our Ref: PLM:HAR035/4001

Northern Beaches Council  
725 Pittwater Road  
Dee Why NSW 2099

Attention: Luke Perry

Dear Mr Perry

**DA 2018/1514 Warringah Mall**

We refer to the above DA and our letter dated 23 October 2018 containing a submission on the DA.

We note that by letter dated 19 November 2018 Cardno, on behalf of the applicant for the DA, responded to the contents of our submission (**Cardno Response**).

It is apparent that the development and flooding history in this locality is very complicated with numerous modifications, numerical models and physical models examining the impact of various works, on different land and at different times. It is difficult for our client, to penetrate and analyse the DA documents (including the Cardno Response) and obtain comfort that the development sought to be approved in the DA and to be assessed by Council will not have a material adverse impact on the existing flood regime or velocities of water within Brookvale Creek, part of which is owned by our client and Council.

Whilst on its face Table A5 2 indicates that for the Harrison property the estimated flood levels post the Stage 2 development will be equal to or less than the existing estimated flood levels, our client is totally relying on Cardno and cannot independently verify these assessments and conclusions.

In relation to flood velocities, we submit that the Cardno response is not adequate because it does not explain why the results quoted would be relevant to the present DA and if they are, they need to provide a copy of the Addendum with a full explanation of how it should be applied to the present DA.

We note Council's recent internal referral responses on the DA recommending additional information on flooding and velocity from the applicant and support this request. However, upon provision of additional information by the applicant we submit that Council should engage an independent peer review of the Cardno assessments and consider the need for an updated assessment of impact on flood velocities.

Kind regards

**Penny Murray**  
**Partner**

Direct Line: +61 2 8915 1031

Direct Fax: +61 2 8916 2000

Email: penny.murray@addisonslawyers.com.au