

## PRELIMINARY GEOTECHNICAL ASSESSMENT:

### 11 Taylor Street, North Curl Curl

<b>1.0</b>	<b>LANDSLIP RISK CLASS</b> (Highlight indicates Landslip Risk Class of property)
<input type="checkbox"/>	A - Geotechnical Report not normally required
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	B - Geotechnical Engineer (Under Council Guidelines) to decide if Geotechnical Report is required
<input type="checkbox"/>	C - Geotechnical Report is required
<input type="checkbox"/>	D - Geotechnical Engineer (Under Council Guidelines) to decide if Geotechnical Report is required
<input type="checkbox"/>	E - Geotechnical Report required

## 2.0 Proposed Development

- 2.1 Construct a new upper floor addition.
- 2.2 Extend the W side of the house.
- 2.3 Construct a new pool in the NW corner of the property by excavating to a maximum depth of ~2.0m.
- 2.4 Various other minor internal and external alterations.
- 2.5 Apart from those for footings, no excavations are required. No fills are shown on the plans.
- 2.6 Details of the proposed development are shown on 14 drawings prepared by Scope Architects, Project number 02111, drawings numbered A01 to A14, Revision 1, dated 10/5/24.

## 3.0 Site Location

- 3.1 The site was inspected on the 25<sup>th</sup> February, 2022.

**3.2** This battle-axe-shaped residential property is on the high side of the road and has a S aspect. It is located on the gentle to moderately graded lower middle reaches of a hillslope. Medium Strength Hawkesbury Sandstone bedrock outcrops on the uphill side of the property. Where sandstone is not exposed, it is expected to underlie the surface at relatively shallow depths. The natural surface of the block has been altered with an excavation for the house. The proposed development will require an excavation to a maximum depth of ~2.0m for the proposed pool.

**3.3** The site shows no indications of historical movement in the natural surface that could have occurred since the property was developed. We are aware of no history of instability on the property.

#### **4.0 Site Description**

The natural slope rises across the site at an average angle of ~10°. At the road frontage, a concrete driveway runs up the slope to a garage on the lower ground floor of the house. An excavation has been made in the slope to create a level platform for the lower ground floor of the house. The cut is supported by a stable concrete block retaining wall reaching ~3.5m high. The part two-storey rendered masonry house is supported on masonry walls. The supporting walls display no significant signs of movement. A tile-paved patio and small lawn area extends off the uphill side of the house to the upper common boundary. Competent Medium Strength Sandstone outcrops at the upper common boundary. The area surrounding the house and driveway is mostly lawn-covered with some paved areas. No significant signs of movement associated with slope instability were observed on the grounds. The adjoining neighbouring properties were observed to be in good order as seen from the road and the subject property.

#### **5.0 Recommendations**

The proposed development and site conditions were considered and applied to the current council requirements. See the required inspection below that is to be carried out during

construction and is a requirement for the final geotechnical certification. Apart from the inspection, it is not expected additional geotechnical input will be required provided good design and building practices are followed.

## 6.0 Inspection

The client and builder are to familiarise themselves with the following required inspection as well as council geotechnical policy. We cannot provide geotechnical certification for the owners or the regulating authorities if the following inspection has not been carried out during the construction process.

- All footings are to be inspected and approved by the geotechnical consultant while the excavation equipment and contractors are still onsite and before steel reinforcing is placed or concrete is poured.

White Geotechnical Group Pty Ltd.



Nathan Gardner B.Sc. (Geol. & Geophys. & Env. Stud.)  
AIG., RPGeo Geotechnical & Engineering.  
No. 10307  
Engineering Geologist & Environmental Scientist.

Reviewed By:



Tyler Jay Johns  
BEng (Civil)(Hons),  
Geotechnical Engineer.



## *Information about your Preliminary Assessment*

This Preliminary Assessment relies on visual observations of the surface features observed during the site inspection. Where reference is made to subsurface features (e.g., the depth to rock) these are interpretations based on the surface features present and previous experience in the area. No ground testing was conducted as part of this assessment and it is possible subsurface conditions will vary from those interpreted in the assessment.

In some cases, we will recommend no further geotechnical assessment is necessary despite the presence of existing fill or a rock face on the property that exceed the heights that would normally trigger a full geotechnical report, according to the Preliminary Assessment Flow Chart. Where this is the case, if it is an existing fill, it is either supported by a retaining wall that we consider stable, or is battered at a stable angle and situated in a suitable position on the slope. If it is a rock face that exceeds the flow chart limit height, the face has been deemed to be competent rock that is considered stable. These judgements are backed by the inspection of over 5000 properties on Geotechnical related matters.

The proposed excavation heights referred to in section 2.0 of this assessment are estimated by review of the plans we have been given for the job. Although we make every reasonable effort to provide accurate information excavation heights should be checked by the owner or person lodging the DA. If the excavation heights referred to in in section 2.0 of this assessment are incorrect, we are to be informed immediately and before this assessment is lodged with the DA.

---