



TREE MANAGEMENT CONSULTING ARBORICULTURISTS

ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

for

Susie and Robert Nugan
C/- BBF Planners
1/9 Narabang Way
BELROSE NSW 2085

SITE ADDRESS

32 THE STRAND
(235 WHALE BEACH ROAD)
WHALE BEACH

JUNE 2019

Prepared by
Catriona Mackenzie IACA ACM2003005

INSTITUTE OF AUSTRALIAN
CONSULTING ARBORICULTURISTS



CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	4
2	METHODOLOGY	5
3	OBSERVATIONS AND DISCUSSION	6
	3.1 Assessed Trees	6
	3.2 Proposed Removal of Prescribed Trees	7
	3.3 Proposed Tree Retention.	7
	3.4 Potential Impacts on Trees Proposed for Retention	8
4	CONCLUSIONS	11
5	RECOMMENDATIONS	12
	5.1 Tree Removal	12
	5.2 Project Arboriculturist	12
	5.3 Tree Protection – Specific Recommendations	12
	5.4 Tree Protection – General Recommendations	13
	5.5 Arboricultural advice	13
6	BIBLIOGRAPHY	16

APPENDIX A Terms and Definitions

APPENDIX B Tree Retention Value Assessment

APPENDIX C Photographs

APPENDIX D Tree Protection Devices

APPENDIX E Schedule of Assessed Trees

APPENDIX F Tree Location Plan

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Arboricultural Impact Assessment is an inventory of the existing tree assets on the land at 32 The Strand Whale Beach, with a categorisation of the trees into those which are permitted to be removed or likely to be so under Council's Tree Preservation Controls, and those which are not, and an analysis of the impacts upon the site trees and any adjoining trees that might occur as a result of the construction of a proposed new dwelling on the site.

A total of twelve (12) trees were assessed and accorded retention values based on their current health and condition (i.e. their Useful Life Expectancy) and their significance in the landscape. It should be noted that the tree survey is a general inspection of health and condition and is not an assessment of possible hazard or risk posed by any tree.

The site trees are a mixture of locally indigenous species, Australian native and exotic species of varying health and condition. Most of the trees are locally indigenous. All appear to be planted; none presented as being remnants of native forest. The majority of the trees are juvenile and semi-mature specimens.

The assessment found that four (4) of the assessed trees may be subject to an application for removal as, by virtue of species, size or location, they are not prescribed trees and therefore not protected under Council's Tree Preservation Controls.

Five of the eight (8) prescribed, assessed trees are proposed to be removed to facilitate development; none of these trees has a high retention value.

The remaining three (3) assessed trees are on the adjoining properties and it is possible one of these may be affected by the proposal and require some modification of a non-structural wall to avoid root disturbance.

It is intended to protect the assessed trees proposed for retention from direct impacts as much as possible, so they can tolerate and adapt to the site changes wrought by development.

1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 This Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) prepared by Urban Forestry Australia (UFA) was commissioned by BBF Planners, on behalf of the owners of the subject site. “The site” is identified as Lot 70 in D.P. 11067 and known as 32 The Strand (also as 235 Whale Beach Road), Whale Beach, New South Wales.
- 1.2 This AIA is to accompany a development application to Northern Beaches Council for the proposed demolition of an existing dwelling and construction of a new dwelling and swimming pool on the site.
- 1.3 The purpose of this report is to assess the *vigour* and *condition* of the surveyed trees, and identify the potential impacts the proposed development may have on those trees to be retained in proximity to the works.
- 1.4 This report gives recommendations for tree retention or removal, and provides guidelines for tree protection and maintenance.
- 1.5 Care has been taken to obtain all information from reliable sources. All data has been verified as far as possible; however, I can neither guarantee nor be responsible for the accuracy of information provided by others.
- 1.6 This AIA is not intended as an assessment of any impacts on trees by any proposed future development of the site, other than the current development application.
- 1.7 This report is not intended to be a comprehensive tree *risk* assessment; however, the report may make recommendations, where appropriate, for further assessment, treatment or testing of trees where potential structural problems have been identified, or where below ground investigation may be required.

2 METHODOLOGY

- 2.1** In preparation for this report, ground level, *visual tree assessments*¹ of twelve (12) trees or tree groups, including trees on adjoining properties, were undertaken by Mark Kokot for Urban Forestry Australia, on 18 June 2019. Inspection details of these trees are provided in Appendix E—*Schedule of Assessed Trees*.
- 2.2** This AIA takes account of prescribed trees pursuant to Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan DCP 2014 – Section B4.22 *Preservation of Trees and Bushland Vegetation*.
- 2.3** Tree heights and canopy spreads were visually estimated or measured using a Nikon ForestryPro Laser measurer. Unless otherwise noted in Appendix E, all trunk diameters were measured at approximately 1.4 metres above ground level (“the DBH”), using a Yamiyo diameter tape.
- 2.4** Field observations were written down, and photographs of the site and trees were taken using an iPhone 6 and/or Canon EOS SLR digital camera.
- 2.5** No *aerial inspections*, *root mapping* or woody tissue testing were undertaken as part of this tree assessment. Information contained in this tree report covers only the trees that were examined and reflects the condition of those trees at the time of inspection.
- 2.6** Plans and documents referenced for the preparation of this report include:
- Survey Plan, Ref. No. 10181/18, dated 01/06/2018, prepared by Stutchbury Jaques Surveyors.
 - Site, Floor & Roof Plans DA100.01 (B), 200.01 – 03 (B), DA210.01 (B), Elevations and Sections DA300.01 & 02, DA400.01 – 03 (B) & DA400.04 (A), dated 18/04/2019, prepared by Shaun Lockyer Architects.
 - Schedule 5 Environmental Heritage of the Pittwater Local Environment Plan 2014.
 - AS4970-2009 *Protection of trees on development sites*, Standards Australia.
- 2.7** No hydraulic service or landscape plans have been reviewed in preparation of this report.
- 2.8** The subject trees are shown on a marked-up excerpt of the survey plan. This marked-up plan is attached as Appendix F—Tree Location Plan.

¹ Visual Tree Assessment (VTA) is a procedure of defect analysis developed by Mattheck and Breloer (1994) that uses the growth response and form of trees to detect defects.

3 OBSERVATIONS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Assessed Trees

3.1.1 Twelve (12) trees (prescribed and non-prescribed) were assessed or identified and are included in this report. Details of these are included in the Schedule of Assessed Trees—Appendix E.

3.1.2 Tree numbers—of the 12 assessed trees, the following is noted:

- Four (4) site trees are exempt specimens or species and are not protected under the DCP—Trees 5, 9, 10 and 11.
- Three (3) trees are located on adjoining properties—Trees 1, 2 and 12.
- Five (5) prescribed trees are located within the subject site—Trees 3, 4, 6, 7 and 8.

3.1.3 Species assemblage—of the 8 prescribed, assessed trees, the following is noted:

- Seven (7) trees are locally indigenous—Trees 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7 and 8.
- One (1) is an introduced Australian native species—Tree 12.

3.1.4 The assessed, prescribed trees and their respective *Retention Value* (RV) are identified in Table 1, below. Note: Refer to Appendix B for the methodology used to assess the Retention Value of a tree.

Table 1—Tree Identification and Retention Value, where **L** = Low, **M** = Medium, **H** = High. Trees proposed to be removed shown thus **00**

Tree No.	Genus & species Common Name	On-Site (Y/N)	RV	Tree No.	Genus & species Common Name	On-Site (Y/N)	RV
1	<i>Banksia integrifolia</i> Coastal Banksia	N	H	6	<i>Melaleuca armillaria</i> Bracelet Honey Myrtle	Y	L
2	<i>Banksia integrifolia</i> Coastal Banksia	N	M	7	<i>Banksia integrifolia</i> Coastal Banksia	Y	M
3	<i>Banksia integrifolia</i> Coastal Banksia	Y	L	8	<i>Banksia integrifolia</i> Coastal Banksia	Y	M
4	<i>Banksia integrifolia</i> Coastal Banksia	Y	L	12	<i>Cupaniopsis anacardioides</i> Tuckeroo	N	L

3.1.5 No species of assessed tree is subject to threatened conservation status under Australian and/or State Government legislation (i.e. NSW *Biodiversity Conservation Act* 2016, and the Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act* 1999).

3.2 Proposed Removal of Prescribed Trees

3.2.1 It is not known whether the non-prescribed (exempt) Trees 9 and 10 (comprising 6 x small Kentia Palms) and Tree 11 (Giant Bird of Paradise) are proposed to be retained or relocated elsewhere on the site. It is recommended that Tree 11 is not retained within 3m of any pavement or structures as the base of this species enlarges significantly over time and can cause damage to nearby structures.

3.2.2 Five (5) prescribed site trees are proposed to be removed:

- Tree 3—Coastal Banksia of Low RV. This juvenile tree stands within the proposed works at the site frontage.
- Tree 4— Coastal Banksia of Low RV. This juvenile tree stands within the proposed driveway apron.
- Tree 6—Bracelet Honey Myrtle of Low RV. This tree has been poorly pruned to the south and within the site, leaving the tree with poor form, reduced amenity and exposed over pruned limbs. The proposed excavation will also substantially encroach into the tree's Structural Root Zone (SRZ)² which would result in tree decline in any event, so removal of this specimen is supported.
- Tree 7— Coastal Banksia of Medium RV. This early-mature tree is within the footprint of the proposed pool.
- Tree 8— Coastal Banksia of Medium RV. This early-mature tree is within the footprint of the proposed pool. A stem defect that has potential to become problematic with old age reduces this tree's RV even though it has a high visual significance in the landscape.

3.3 Proposed Tree Retention

3.3.1 No assessed, prescribed site trees are to be retained.

3.3.2 Adjoining assessed trees 1, 2 and 12 are to be retained and protected.

² The Structural Root Zone (SRZ) refers to the radial distance in metres, measured from the centre of the tree stem, which defines the critical area required to maintain stability of the tree. Only thorough investigation into the location of structural roots within this area can identify whether any minor incursions into this protection zone are feasible.

3.4 Potential Impacts on Trees Proposed for Retention

3.4.1 Under the Australian Standard 4970-2009 *Protection of trees on development sites* (AS4970), encroachments less than 10% of the Tree Protection Zone (TPZ)³ are considered to be minor. No specifications are provided in AS4970 for potential impacts of 10% or greater. This 10% is interpreted as the threshold figure, and the trigger where arboricultural investigations into TPZ encroachments beyond this figure need to be considered.

3.4.2 The potential extent of root zone impacts to protected trees to be retained can be generally rated using the *Impact Level Rating* ("ILR") Table 2, below.

Table 2: Guideline to the rating of impacts on trees to be retained.

Based on discussions with executive members of the Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists.

IMPACT LEVEL RATING	
0	0 – 0.9% of root zone impacted – no impact of significance
L	1 to 10% of root zone impacted – low (minor) level of impact
L - M	>10 to 15% of root zone impacted – low (minor) to moderate level of impact
M	>15 to 20% of root zone impacted – moderate level of impact
M – H	>20 to 25% of root zone impacted – moderate to high level of impact
H	>25 to 35% of root zone impacted – high level of impact
S	>35% of root zone impacted – significant level of impact

3.4.3 Tree 12

This tree is not impacted by the proposal. The tree is located on the adjoining north property but more than 20m downslope of the proposed works. Its TPZ offset is well outside the development footprint, although I am not aware of any hard landscaping that may be proposed for the site between the dwelling and the boundary facing The Strand.

3.4.4 Disturbance within the *Structural Root Zone* (SRZ), and extent of encroachments into the TPZ's of protected site trees to be retained are summarised in Table 3, below.

Table 3: *Estimated encroachments into the SRZ and TPZ of trees proposed for retention.*

Note 1: These figures are based on the *notional* SRZ and TPZ's offsets of the trees as calculated under AS4970 and do not necessarily reflect the actual root zones of the trees. Existing at or below ground structures, site topography and soil hydrology will influence the presence, spread and direction of tree root growth.

Tree No.	Tree	Tree located on site	SRZ affected	TPZ area (m ²)	TPZ encroachment (approx. m ²)	TPZ encroachment (approx. %)	ILR
1	Coastal Banksia	×	✓	64.0	13.5	21.1	M-H
2	Coastal Banksia	×	×	10.0	0	0	0

³ **Tree Protection Zone (TPZ).** Refers to the radial distance in metres, measured from the centre of the tree stem which defines the *tree protection zone* for a tree to be retained. This is generally the minimum distance from the center of the tree trunk where protective fencing or barriers are to be installed to create an exclusion zone.

3.4.5 **Tree 1**—Coastal Banksia (not plotted by survey, on adjoining land to the south)

Structural Root Zone impacts:

- Excavation would occur within the tree's notional 2.4m SRZ offset to support the proposed curved wall located well inside the tree's SRZ.
- There is an existing stone retaining wall and approximately 1m drop from this wall to the existing paved area (see Figure 1). Major structural roots are unlikely to be found within the lower paved area, however they may be found against the existing stone wall or to the west of the wall where the existing ground level ground is contiguous to that of Tree 1.
- Given the proposed curved wall does not appear to be crucial to structural support of the nearby proposed bin store, the wall could be modified to suit conditions as and when needed to respond to the presence (or not) of roots that cannot be cut.

Tree Protection Zone impacts:

- A notionally moderate to (barely) high level of TPZ encroachment is estimated for the tree, however the majority of this is located within existing structures such as the dwelling, paved landings and steps along the south side of the existing dwelling.

Pruning impacts:

- Pruning of the tree is unlikely, however, if required it may only be small material confined to the area closest to the proposed driveway.

3.4.6 **Tree 2**—Coastal Banksia (not plotted by survey, on adjoining land to the south)

Structural Root Zone impacts:

- No works are proposed within the SRZ, although possible future landscaping of the side setback will need to consider care during demolition of the paved areas and planting of stock within the SRZ offset.

Tree Protection Zone impacts:

- No works are proposed within the tree's TPZ offset. Removal of hard paved areas and new planting would assist with improving soil conditions.

Pruning impacts:

- Pruning of the tree is unlikely, however, if required it may only be small material confined to the area closest to the proposed driveway.




Figure 1

Illustrates the SRZ (dashed inner red circle) and TPZ (dashed blue outer circle) of Trees 1 and 2 on the adjoining property.

The pink shaded area depicts the notional TPZ encroachments from the proposed footprint.

Note presence of existing retaining wall (darker shaded area) and paved areas already within the TPZ.

Not to scale. Excerpt of second floor plan with excerpt of survey overlaid, marked up by C. Mackenzie.

4 CONCLUSIONS

- A total of twelve (12) trees are included in this Arboricultural Impact Assessment. Of these:
 - Three (3) trees are off-site and will be retained—Trees 1, 2 (Coastal Banksia) and 12 (Tuckeroo).
 - Four (4) trees within the subject site have been identified as exempt from protection under the DCP and Northern Beaches Tree Controls—Trees 5 (Cheese Tree < 2m from the dwelling), Trees 9 and 10 (Kentia Palms) and Tree 11 (Giant Bird of Paradise).
 - Five (5) prescribed site trees are proposed for removal—Trees 3, 4, 7 and 8 (Coastal Banksias), and Tree 6 (Bracelet Honey Myrtle).
 - None of the proposed tree removals has been identified with a *High* Retention Rating (RV).
- No assessed tree on the site or on adjoining properties was identified as an endangered species.
- No assessed tree on the site or on adjoining properties was identified as, or associated with, a heritage item.
- Excepting Tree 1, the trees to be retained on the adjoining properties have nil or low TPZ encroachments. Tree 1 has a moderate to high TPZ encroachment level calculated; however, this is also a situation where the impact level is likely to be less due to the existing structures already within this offset. An SRZ encroachment is also identified for Tree 1 and may require modification or removal of the proposed curved wing wall to avoid unnecessary disturbance or damage to structural roots of the tree.
- Provided the recommendations of this report are adopted, and a site arboriculturist provides appropriate supervision and management of the trees during development, adverse impacts on tree vigour and structural condition of trees to be retained will be managed as practically as possible and it is unlikely any tree decline or additional tree removal will result.

5 RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Tree Removal

- 5.1.1 Removal of prescribed site trees (Trees 3, 4, 6, 7 and 8) is subject to authority review of this report, and approval is to be obtained (e.g. by Consent) before any trees are removed.
- 5.1.2 Tree removals are to be undertaken in accordance with the NSW WorkCover Code of Practice for the Amenity Tree Industry (1998).

5.2 Project Arboriculturist

- 5.2.1 A Project Arboriculturist (PA) shall be engaged prior to works commencing on the site, including demolition of structures, site clearing and the like.
- 5.2.2 The PA must have a minimum Australian Qualification Framework Level 5 (AQF5) or above in Arboriculture.
- 5.2.3 Duties of the PA shall include, but not be limited to:
 - Liaising with the Project Manager/Head Contractor/Site Manager to confirm the tree protection fencing locations, construction access, and other specific tree protection requirements prior to site works commencing.
 - Inspection of Tree Protection Devices and supervision of works as recommended in this report or as specified in any Conditions of Consent associated with an approved development application.
 - Provision of Compliance Certification as and when required.

5.3 Tree Protection – Specific Recommendations

- 5.3.1 TREE 1 – adjoining tree
 - The PA is to supervise any works occurring within 3m of the tree.
 - The PA is to supervise demolition of the existing retaining wall and excavation for the proposed curved wing wall.
 - The PA is to provide advice in regard to appropriate construction methods such as pier and beam bridging to avoid all unnecessary disturbance to the tree.
 - Care must be taken to not cut roots greater than 30mm diameters during installation of new walls.
- 5.3.2 The temporary fencing generally used for securing the site for construction would be suitable for protecting the above ground parts of the adjoining trees, however some ground protection should be put in place, where practicable, and at the advice of the Project Arboriculturist.

5.4 Tree Protection – General Recommendations

5.4.1 The Tree Protection is to be in accordance with the following:

- Tree Protection Devices (TPD) may include mulching, tree guards and other devices other than fencing.
- The TPD must be in place prior to any site works commencing, including clearing, demolition or grading.
- The most appropriate fencing for tree protection is 1.8m chainlink with 50mm metal pole supports. During installation, care must be taken to avoid damage to significant roots. The practicality of providing this fencing on this site must be addressed by the arboriculturist.
- Locate large primary roots by careful removal of soil within the fencing area. **Do not drive any posts or pickets into tree roots.** Replace soil back over tree roots.
- It is recommended that the arboriculturist provide written certification that the TPD is/are installed and will satisfy tree protection requirements.
- Nothing should occur inside the tree protection fenced areas, so therefore all access to personnel and machinery, storage of fuel, chemicals, cement or site sheds is prohibited.
- Signage should explain exclusion from the area defined by TPD and carry a contact name for access or advice (see Appendix E – Tree Protection Devices).
- The TPD cannot be removed, altered, or relocated without the project arborists' prior assessment and approval.

5.5 Arboricultural advice

5.5.1 Tree and Root Pruning

- Any pruning required is to be assessed and approved by the PA, prior to undertaking any of this type of work
- Pruning shall not be undertaken by unqualified site personnel at any time.
- Pruning of branches must be undertaken by a minimum AQF Level 3 arborist in accordance with the Australian Standard AS4373-2007 *Pruning of amenity trees*,
- Unless otherwise approved by the Conditions of Development Consent, or by separate application and approval by the consent authority, pruning is to be limited to cutting of limbs less than 80mm diameters, and no more than 10% total live material removed.

5.5.2 Stockpiling and location of site sheds

- The project arboriculturist must be consulted prior to placing any items within a tree's TPZ.
- Where stockpiling must be located within the TPZ offset of trees to be retained, the existing/undisturbed natural ground must be covered with thick, coarse mulch to a minimum 75-100mm thickness.
- Large, or bulky materials (non-contaminating) can be stacked on wooden pallets or boards placed over the mulch.
- Tarpaulins (or similar) placed on boards or pallets on top of mulch shall be used to prevent loose or potentially contaminating materials from moving into the soil profile within the TPZ of trees or within 10m upslope of trees.
- Where site sheds must be located within the TPZ offset of a tree/s, the shed must be fully elevated on all sides with a minimum 300mm between existing ground and the floor/floor bearers. Isolated pad footings must be carefully dug by hand and not damage or sever any roots greater than 20mm diameters.

- Any conflict between footing locations and larger roots (i.e. 20mm Ø plus) must be brought to the attention of the project arboriculturist who is to provide practical alternatives that do not include unnecessary tree root removal.

5.5.3 Fill Material

- Placement of fill material within the TPZ of trees to be retained should be avoided where possible. Where placement of fill cannot be avoided, the material should be a coarse, gap graded material such as 20 — 50mm crushed basalt or equivalent to provide some aeration to the root zone. Note that roadbase or crushed sandstone or other material containing a high percentage of fines is unacceptable for this purpose.
- The fill material should be consolidated with a non-vibrating roller to minimise compaction of the underlying soil.
- Permeable geotextile may be used beneath the sub-base to prevent migration of the stone into the sub-grade. No fill material shall be placed in direct contact with the trunk.

5.5.4 Pavements

- Pavements should be avoided within the TPZ of trees to be retained where possible.
- Proposed paved areas within the TPZ of trees to be retained is to be placed above grade to minimise excavations within the root zone, avoiding root severance and damage.

5.5.5 Fencing and walls within the SRZ and TPZ of retained trees.

- Where fencing and/or masonry walls are to be constructed along site boundaries, they must provide for the presence of any living woody tree roots greater than 50mm diameter.
- Hand digging must occur within the SRZ of trees to be retained.
- For masonry walls/fences it may be acceptable to delete continuous concrete strip footings and replace with suspended in-fill panels (e.g. steel or timber pickets, lattice etc) fixed to pillars.

5.5.6 Landscaping within tree root zones.

- The level of introduced planting media into any proposed landscaped areas within the TPZ is not to be greater than 75mm depth, and be of a coarse, sandy material to avoid development of soil layers that may impede water infiltration.
- Appropriate container size of proposed plants within the SRZ of trees should be determined prior to purchase of plants. Otherwise, any proposed landscaping within the SRZ must consist of tubestock only. This is required to ensure that damage to tree roots is avoided.
- Mattocks and similar digging instruments must not be used within the TPZ of the trees. Planting holes should be dug carefully by hand with a garden trowel, or similar small tool.
- Where possible, do not plant canopy trees beneath, or within 6 - 8m of overhead lines.

5.5.7 Other

- No washing or rinsing of tools or other equipment, preparation of any mortars, cement mixing, or brick cutting is to occur within 8m upslope of any palms or trees to be retained.
- Regular monitoring of the trees during development works for unforeseen changes or decline will help maintain the trees in a healthy state.

Report prepared by Catriona Mackenzie

July, 2019



Catriona Mackenzie

Consulting arboriculturist, horticulturist and landscape designer.

Tree Risk Assessment Qualified 2014 (TRAQ) / Certificate of Horticulture *Honours*

Diploma of Horticulture (Arboriculture) *Distinction* / Associate Diploma of Applied Science (Landscape) *Distinction*

Member of the International Society of Arboriculture (ISA) / Founding Member of the Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists (IACA)
ACM0052003

6 BIBLIOGRAPHY

Australian Standard 4970-2009 *Protection of trees on development sites*.

Barrell, J (1995) *Pre-development Tree Assessment* from *Trees and Building Sites*, Eds. Watson & Neely, International Society of Arboriculture, Illinois.

Mattheck, C. & Breloer, H.(1999) *The Body Language of Trees*. Research for Amenity Trees No.4, The Stationary Office, London.

APPENDIX A

TERMS AND DEFINITIONS



TERMS AND DEFINITIONS

The following relates to terms or abbreviations that may have been used in this report and provides the reader with a detailed explanation of those terms.

Aerial inspection Where the subject tree is climbed by a professional tree worker or arborist specifically to inspect and assess the upper stem and crown of the tree for signs or symptoms of defects, disease, etc.

Age classes

Y	<i>Young</i> refers to a well-established but juvenile tree
SM	<i>Semi-mature</i> refers to a tree at growth stages between immaturity and full size
EM	<i>Early-mature</i> refers to a tree that is more or less full sized and vigourously growing.
M	<i>Mature</i> refers to a full sized tree with some capacity for further growth
LM	<i>Late Mature</i> refers to a full sized tree with little capacity for growth, not yet about to enter decline
OM	<i>Over-mature</i> refers to a tree about to enter decline or already declining.

Buttress A flange of adaptive wood occurring at a junction of a trunk and root or trunk and branch in response to loading.

Condition refers to the tree's form and growth habit, as modified by its environment (aspect, suppression by other trees, soils) and the state of the scaffold (i.e. trunk and major branches), including structural defects such as cavities, crooked trunks or weak trunk/branch junctions. These are not directly connected with health and it is possible for a tree to be healthy but in poor condition.

Crown All the parts of a tree arising above the trunk where it terminates by its division forming branches, e.g. the branches, leaves, flowers and fruit: or the total amount of foliage supported by branches.

Crown raise pruning Pruning technique where lower limbs are removed, thereby lifting the overall crown above the ground.

Deadwood refers to any whole limb that no longer contains living tissues (e.g. live leaves and/or bark). Some dead wood is common in a number of tree species.

Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) refers to the tree trunk diameter at breast height, i.e. measured at 1.4 m above ground level.

Dieback Death of growth tips/shoots and partial limbs, generally from tip to base. Dieback is often an indicator of stress and tree health.

Form refers to the crown shape of the tree as influenced by the availability or restriction of space and light, or other contributing factors within its environment. Crown form may be determined by tree shape, species and habit and described as Dominant, Codominant, Intermediate, Emergent, Forest and Suppressed, as well as Forest Form or Open Grown. May also be described qualitatively as Good Form or Poor Form.

Growth crack / split Longitudinal crack/split that may develop as a rupture in the bark from normal growth. Longitudinal crack/split that may develop in the trunk of some fast growing palms.

Habit The shape of a tree when its growth is unencumbered by constraints for space and light, e.g. idealized by an isolated field grown specimen with consideration of the species and the type of environment in which it evolved e.g. rainforest, open forest, etc.

Habitat A habitat is an ecological or environmental area that is inhabited by a particular species of animal, plant or other type of organism. It is the natural environment in which an organism lives, or the physical environment that

surrounds (influences and is utilised by) a species population. In restoration ecology of native plant communities or habitats, some invasive species create monotypic stands that replace and/or prevent other species, especially indigenous ones, from growing there.

Health (syn. vigour) refers to the tree's vigour as exhibited by the crown density, leaf colour, presence of epicormic shoots, ability to withstand disease invasion, and the degree of dieback.

Inclusion - the pattern of development at branch or stem junctions where bark is turned inward rather than pushed out. This fault is located at the point where the stems/branches meet. This is normally a genetic fault and potentially a weak point of attachment as the bark obstructs healthy tissue from joining together to strengthen the joint.

Indigenous Native to an area, and not introduced.

Impact Level Rating (ILR) refers to the estimated percentage of the Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) affected by development impacts. These figures may vary due to the specific conditions and constraints on a particular site, tree species tolerance to impacts, age, vigour, condition of the tree, etc.

IMPACT LEVEL RATING	
0	0 – 0.9% of root zone impacted – no impact of significance
L	1 to 10% of root zone impacted – low (minor) level of impact
L - M	>10 to 15% of root zone impacted – low (minor) to moderate level of impact
M	>15 to 20% of root zone impacted – moderate level of impact
M – H	>20 to 25% of root zone impacted – moderate to high level of impact
H	>25 to 35% of root zone impacted – high level of impact
S	>35% of root zone impacted – significant level of impact

Note: This is a general guide only. These figures may vary due to the specific conditions and constraints on a particular site, tree species tolerance to impacts, age, vigour, condition of the tree, etc.

Lopping Cutting between branch unions (not to branch collars), or at internodes on a tree, with the final cut leaving a stub. Lopping may result in dieback of the stub and can create infection courts for disease or pest attack.

Root Mapping The exploratory process of recording the location of roots usually in reference to a datum point where depth, root diameter, root orientation and distance from trunk to existing or proposed structures are measured. It may be slightly invasive (disturbs or displaces soil to locate but not damage roots, e.g. hand excavation, or use of air or water knife), or non-invasive (does not disturb soil, e.g. ground penetrating radar).

Scaffold branch/root A primary structural branch of the crown or primary structural root of the tree.

Structural Root Zone (SRZ) Refers to the radial distance in metres, measured from the centre of the tree stem, which defines the critical area required to maintain stability of the tree. Only thorough investigation into the location of structural roots within this area can identify whether any minor incursions into this protection zone are feasible. Note: The SRZ is calculated on the diameter measured immediately above the root/stem buttress (DAB). Where this measurement is not taken in the field, it is calculated by adding 12.5% to the stem diameter at breast height (DBH). Note: The SRZ may not be symmetrical in shape/area where there is existing obstruction or confinement to lateral root growth, e.g. structures such as walls, rocky outcrops, etc).

Snub-nosed rib Adaptive wood formed over a crack, included bark or enclosed bark and may be a round edged (snub-nosed) rib where a broad convex swelling is formed over the crack by the addition of new growth increments, and the cracking is slowed or prevented from developing further (Or, may be a sharp-edged rib as an elongated protuberance where a crack continues to develop).

Suppressed In crown class, trees which have been overtopped, whose crown development is restricted from above.

Sweep A curve in the trunk, generally near the ground. This usually occurs when a tree is partially wind thrown when young, but then stabilises itself and straightens due to reaction wood. Stem sweep can also be a naturally developed feature of some tree species. e.g. *Araucaria columnaris* (Cook Pine), that has no relationship to a defect or partial windthrow.

Tree Protection Zone (TPZ). Refers to the radial distance in metres, measured from the centre of the tree stem which defines the *tree protection zone* for a tree to be retained. This is generally the minimum distance from the center of the tree trunk where protective fencing or barriers are to be installed to create an exclusion zone. The **TPZ** surrounding a tree aids the tree's ability to cope with disturbances associated with construction works. Tree protection involves minimising root damage that is caused by activities such as construction. Tree protection also reduces the chance of a tree's decline in health or death and the possibly damage to structural stability of the tree from root damage.

To limit damage to the tree, protection within a specified distance of the tree's trunk must be maintained throughout the proposed development works. No excavation, stockpiling of building materials or the use of machinery is permitted within the TPZ. Note: In many circumstances the tree root zone does not occupy a symmetrically radial area from the trunk, but may be an irregular area due to the presence of obstructions to root spread or inhospitable growing conditions.

Tree Risk Assessment is the systematic process to identify, analyze, and evaluate tree risk. A tree risk rating of Low, Moderate, High or Extreme is derived by categorising or quantifying both the *likelihood* (probability) of tree or tree part(s) failure and impact on a target(s) and the severity of consequences of the impact on the target(s).

USEFUL LIFE EXPECTANCY (ULE) In a planning context, the time a tree can expect to be usefully retained is the most important long-term consideration. ULE i.e. a system designed to classify trees into a number of categories so that information regarding tree retention can be concisely communicated in a non-technical manner. ULE categories are easily verifiable by experienced personnel without great disparity. A tree's ULE category is the life expectancy of the tree modified first by its age, health, condition, safety and location (to give the life expectancy); then by economics (i.e. cost of maintenance - retaining trees at an excessive management cost is not normally acceptable); and finally, effects on better trees, and sustained amenity (i.e. establishing a range of age classes in a local population). ULE assessments are not static but may be modified as dictated by changes in tree health and environment. Trees with a short ULE may at present be making a contribution to the landscape, but their value to the local amenity will decrease rapidly towards the end of this period, prior to them being removed for safety or aesthetic reasons. For details of ULE categories see Appendix B, modified from Barrell 2001.

Vigour (syn. health) refers to the tree's health as exhibited by the crown density, leaf colour, presence of epicormic shoots, ability to withstand disease invasion, and the degree of dieback.

Woody roots usually used in reference to the first order roots i.e. structural (anchor) roots and woody lateral roots within the Structural Root Zone. Damage, disturbance to, or severing of these roots can compromise the stability of the tree.

APPENDIX B

TREE RETENTION VALUE ASSESSMENT



APPENDIX B—TREE RETENTION VALUE ASSESSMENT

Part 1 of 3—Useful Life Expectancy (ULE)

In a planning context, the time a tree can expect to be usefully retained is the most important long-term consideration. ULE i.e. a system designed to classify trees into a number of categories so that information regarding tree retention can be concisely communicated in a non-technical manner. ULE categories are easily verifiable by experienced personnel without great disparity. A tree's ULE category is the life expectancy of the tree modified first by its age, health, condition, safety and location (to give the life expectancy); then by economics (i.e. cost of maintenance - retaining trees at an excessive management cost is not normally acceptable); and finally, effects on better trees, and sustained amenity (i.e. establishing a range of age classes in a local population). ULE assessments are not static but may be modified as dictated by changes in tree health and environment. Trees with a short ULE may at present be making a contribution to the landscape, but their value to the local amenity will decrease rapidly towards the end of this period, prior to them being removed for safety or aesthetic reasons.

ULE categories (modified from Barrell 2001) The five categories and their sub-groups are as follows:

- 1. Long ULE** - tree appeared retainable at the time of assessment for over 40 years with an acceptable degree of risk, assuming reasonable maintenance:
 - A. structurally sound trees located in positions that can accommodate future growth
 - B. trees which could be made suitable for long term retention by remedial care
 - C. trees of special significance which would warrant extraordinary efforts to secure their long term retention
- 2. Medium ULE** - tree appeared to be retainable at the time of assessment for 15 to 40 years with an acceptable degree of risk, assuming reasonable maintenance:
 - A. trees which may only live from 15 to 40 years
 - B. trees which may live for more than 40 years but would be removed for safety or nuisance reasons
 - C. trees which may live for more than 15 years but would be removed to prevent interference with more suitable individuals or to provide space for new planting
 - D. trees which could be made suitable for retention in the medium term by remedial care
- 3. Short ULE** - tree appeared to be retainable at the time of assessment for 5 to 15 years with an acceptable degree of risk, assuming reasonable maintenance:
 - A. trees which may only live from 5 to 15 years
 - B. trees which may live for more than 15 years but would be removed for safety or nuisance reasons
 - C. trees which may live for more than 15 years but would be removed to prevent interference with more suitable individuals or to provide space for new planting
 - D. trees which require substantial remediation and are only suitable for retention in the short term
- 4. Removal** - trees which should be removed within the next 5 years.
 - A. dead, dying, suppressed or declining trees because of disease or inhospitable conditions.
 - B. dangerous trees through instability or recent loss of adjacent trees
 - C. dangerous trees because of structural defects including cavities, decay, included bark, wounds or poor form.
 - D. damaged trees that are clearly not safe to retain.
 - E. trees which may live for more than 5 years but would be removed to prevent interference with more suitable individuals or to provide space for new planting.
 - F. trees which are damaging or may cause damage to existing structures within the next 5 years.
 - G. trees that will become dangerous after removal of other trees for the reasons given in (a) to (f).
 - H. trees in categories (a) to (g) that have a high wildlife habitat value and, with appropriate treatment, could be retained subject to regular review.
- 5. Small, young or regularly pruned** - Trees that can be reliably moved or replaced.
 - A. small trees less than 5m in height.
 - B. young trees less than 15 years old but over 5m in height.
 - C. formal hedges and trees intended for regular pruning to artificially control growth

Part 2 of 3—IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)©

The landscape significance of a tree is an essential criterion to establish the importance that a particular tree may have on a site. However, rating the significance of a tree becomes subjective and difficult to ascertain in a consistent and repetitive fashion due to assessor bias. It is therefore necessary to have a rating system utilising structured qualitative criteria to assist in determining the retention value for a tree. To assist this process all definitions for terms used in the *Tree Significance - Assessment Criteria* and *Tree Retention Value - Priority Matrix*, are taken from the IACA Dictionary for Managing Trees in Urban Environments 2009.

The system uses a scale of *High*, *Medium* and *Low* significance in the landscape. Once the landscape significance of an individual tree has been defined, the retention value can be determined.



Tree Significance - Assessment Criteria

1. HIGH SIGNIFICANCE IN LANDSCAPE
The tree is in good condition and good vigour
The tree has a form typical for the species
The tree is a remnant or is a planted locally indigenous specimen and/or is rare or uncommon in the local area or of botanical interest or of substantial age
The tree is listed as a Heritage Item, Threatened Species or part of an Endangered Ecological Community, or listed on Councils Significant Tree Register
The tree is visually prominent and visible from a considerable distance when viewed from most directions within the landscape due to its size and scale and makes a positive contribution to the local amenity
The tree supports social and cultural sentiments or spiritual associations, reflected by the broader population or community group or has commemorative values
The tree's growth is unrestricted by above and below ground influences, supporting its ability to reach dimensions typical for the taxa <i>in situ</i> - tree is appropriate to the site conditions
2. MEDIUM SIGNIFICANCE IN LANDSCAPE
The tree is in fair-good condition and good or low vigour
The tree has a form typical or atypical for the species
The tree is a planted locally indigenous or a common species with its taxa commonly planted in the area
The tree is visible from surrounding properties, although not visually prominent as partially obstructed by other vegetation or buildings when viewed from the street.
The tree provides a fair contribution to the visual character and amenity of the local area.
The tree's growth is moderately restricted by above and/or below ground influences, reducing its ability to reach dimensions typical for the taxa <i>in situ</i> .
3. LOW SIGNIFICANCE IN LANDSCAPE
The tree is in fair-poor condition and good or low vigour
The tree has a form atypical for the species
The tree is not visible or is partly visible from surrounding properties as obstructed by other vegetation or buildings
The tree provides a minor contribution or has a negative impact on the visual character and amenity of the local area.
The tree is a young specimen which may or may not have reached dimension to be protected by local Tree Preservation orders or similar protection mechanisms and can easily be replaced with a suitable specimen
The tree's growth is severely restricted by above or below ground influences, unlikely to reach dimensions typical for the taxa <i>in situ</i> - tree is inappropriate to the site conditions
The tree is listed as exempt under the provisions of the local Council Tree Preservation Order or similar protection mechanisms
The tree has a wound or defect that has potential to become structurally unsound.
Environmental Pest / Noxious Weed Species
–The tree is an Environmental Pest Species due to its invasiveness or poisonous/ allergenic properties
–The tree is a declared noxious weed by legislation
Hazardous/Irreversible Decline
–The tree is structurally unsound and/or unstable and is considered potentially dangerous
–The tree is dead, or is in irreversible decline, or has the potential to fail or collapse in full or part in the immediate to short term


The tree is to have a minimum of three (3) criteria in a category to be classified in that group.

The assessment criteria are for individual trees only, however, can be applied to a monocultural stand in its entirety e.g. hedge. In the development of this document IACA acknowledges the contribution and original concept of the Tree Significance & Retention Value Matrix, developed by Footprint Green Pty Ltd and Andrew Morton in June 2001.


Part 3 of 3—Tree Retention Value Priority Matrix

		SIGNIFICANCE															
		1. High				2. Medium				3. Low							
		Significance in landscape				Significance in landscape				Significance in landscape				Environmental pest / Noxious weed species		Hazardous / Irreversible decline	
ESTIMATED LIFE EXPECTANCY	1. Long >40 years																
	2. Medium 15–40 years																
	3. Short <1–15 years																
	Dead																


LEGEND FOR MATRIX ASSESSMENT




Priority for Retention (High) -These trees are considered important for retention and should be retained and protected. Design modification or re-location of building/s should be considered to accommodate the setbacks as prescribed by AS4970 *Protection of trees on development sites*. Tree sensitive construction measures must be implemented e.g. pier and beam etc. if works are to proceed within the Tree Protection Zone.



Consider for Retention (Medium) -These trees may be retained and protected. These are considered less critical; however, their retention should remain priority with removal considered only if adversely affecting the proposed building/works and all other alternatives have been considered and exhausted.



Consider for Removal (Low) -These trees are not considered important for retention, nor require special works or design modification to be implemented for their retention.



Consider for Removal (Low) -These trees are not considered important for retention, nor require special works or design modification to be implemented for their retention.

INSTITUTE OF AUSTRALIAN
IACA
CONSULTING ARBORICULTURISTS

IACA, 2010, *IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)*, Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists, Australia, www.iaca.org.au

REFERENCES

Australia ICOMOS Inc. 1999, *The Burra Charter – The Australian ICOMOS Charter for Places of Cultural Significance*, International Council of Monuments and Sites, www.icomos.org/australia

Draper BD and Richards PA 2009, *Dictionary for Managing Trees in Urban Environments*, Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists (IACA), CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia.

Footprint Green Pty Ltd 2001, *Footprint Green Tree Significance & Retention Value Matrix*, Avalon, NSW Australia, www.footprintgreen.com.au

APPENDIX C

PHOTOGRAPHS





Plate 1 – left

View looking west towards the ocean facing part of the site.



Plate 2 and 3

Looking southeast and south into the site side that faces Whale Beach Road.





Plate 4 (left)
Trees 1 and 2 (partially obscured)



Plate 5 (right)
Trees 3 and 4




Plate 6 (left)
Tree 5 (base shown)



Plate 7 (right)
Trees 6 and 11



Plate 8 (upper left)
Trees 7, 8, 9 and 10

Plate 9 (upper right)
Cross view of garden area, showing adjoining Cabbage Tree palm.

Plate 10 (left)
Tree 12, on adjoining property

APPENDIX D

TREE PROTECTION DEVICES






Figure 1
A method of reducing risk of root damage and soil compaction within the tree's Structural Root Zone.




Figure 2
Example of tree trunk and tree branch protection.




Figure 3

TREE PROTECTIVE FENCING (TPF)

A. Fence Option 1 (TPF)

1.8 metre high chain wire mesh panels with shade cloth attached if required, to be held in place with concrete blocks.

B. Fence Option 2 (TPF)

1.8 metre high plywood or wooden panel/paling fence (prevents soil or building contaminants from coming under fence when panels are laid flush to ground).

C. Signs (TPZ)

Tree Protection Zone Signs


D. Mulch

50mm to 100mm thick layer of organic mulch, or aggregate, installed across surface area of TPZ.

E. Irrigation

Irrigation to arborist's advice.

© Drawing by Selena Hannan. Used with permission.



Include the Project Arboriculturist's details in the 'Contact' panel.

APPENDIX E

SCHEDULE OF ASSESSED TREES




Schedule of Assessed Trees—32 The Strand, Whale Beach—June 2019

Tree No.	Genus & species Common Name	Ht (m)	Sp (m)	DBH (mm)	Age	V	C	Comments	ULE	TSR	RV	SRZ (m)	TPZ (m)	TPZ (area)	ILR
1	<i>Banksia integrifolia</i> Coastal Banksia	9	8	375	EM	G	G	Neighbour's tree - limited inspection. Minor canopy extension within site by 2m @ 4m AGL - stems <50mm(Ø). No special problems observed at time of inspection.	2A?	H	H?	2.4	4.5	64.0	M?
2	<i>Banksia integrifolia</i> Coastal Banksia	8	3	150	SM	G	G	Neighbour's tree - limited inspection. Very minor canopy extension within site by 1.5m @ 4m above ground level - 1 x stem at 50mm(Ø). No special problems observed.	2A?	M	M?	1.6	1.8	10.0	0
3	<i>Banksia integrifolia</i> Coastal Banksia	5	2.5	100	J	G	G	Young emerging sapling with no significant defects noted.	2/5	L	L	1.5	1.6	8.0	NA
4	<i>Banksia integrifolia</i> Coastal Banksia	5	2.5	100	J	G	G	Young emerging sapling with no significant defects noted.	2/5	L	L	1.5	1.6	8.0	NA
5	<i>Glochidion ferdinandi</i> Cheese Tree	6	2.5	200 GL (175)	SM	G	F	Hard against existing building. Exempt tree species located within 2m of dwelling. Multi stemmed at base from past lopping practices.	3B	L	L	1.7	2.1	14.0	NA
6	<i>Melaleuca armillaris</i> Bracelet Honey Myrtle	6	3	100 + 200 (225)	SM	F-G	F-P	STH side canopy lopped for neighbouring building line clearance = poor form. Twin stems at 0.6m + lower branch scaffolds throughout with minor stem inclusion development = low retention value.	3B	L	L	1.9	2.7	23.0	NA
7	<i>Banksia integrifolia</i> Coastal Banksia	7	5	150 + 200 (250)	EM	G	G	Tree with no significant defects noted, located within terraced garden bed.	2A	M	M	2.0	3.0	28.0	NA
8	<i>Banksia integrifolia</i> Coastal Banksia	10	6	200 + 300 (375)	EM	G	F-G	Twin stemmed tree with stem inclusion development at ground level – likely to become problematic in the future.	2B	H	M	2.4	4.5	64.0	NA
9	<i>Howea forsteriana</i> Kentia Palm x 3	3 av.	3 av.	125 av.	SM	G	G	Exempt palm species with no significant defects noted.	3A	L	M	NA	2.5	19.6	NA
10	<i>Howea forsteriana</i> Kentia Palm x 3	3 av.	3 av.	150 av.	SM	G	G	Exempt palm species with no significant defects noted.	3A	L	M	NA	2.5	19.6	NA

Tree No.	Genus & species Common Name	Ht (m)	Sp (m)	DBH (mm)	Age	V	C	Comments	ULE	TSR	RV	SRZ (m)	TPZ (m)	TPZ (area)	ILR
11	<i>Strelitzia nicolai</i> Giant Bird of Paradise	9	3	150 av.	SM	G	G	Exempt monocot species with no significant defects noted.	2B	L	L	NA	2.5	19.6	NA
12	<i>Cupaniopsis anacardioides</i> Tuckeroo	5	6	200	EM	G	F-G	Neighbour's tree - limited inspection. Strong bowing lean STH due to suppressed canopy form, 4m within site at 2m above ground level.	3C	L	L	1.8	2.4	18.0	0

KEY



Prescribed trees to be retained



Prescribed trees proposed to be removed.




Non-prescribed trees exempt from preservation controls under Pittwater DCP and may be removed without authority approval (unless specifically identified for retention in any development consent).



LOW Retention Value-These trees are not considered important for retention.



MEDIUM Retention Value-These trees may be retained and protected.



HIGH Retention Value -These trees are considered important for retention and should be retained and protected.

DETAILS FOR HEADINGS AND SYMBOLS USED IN TREE SCHEDULE

* Denotes situations where the tree's Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) has been *visually* estimated (usually adjoining trees or those that are difficult to access and/or physically measure).
() The numerical figure in parentheses is the calculated DBH for a multiple stemmed tree, using the AS4970 formula, *or*, is the calculated DBH where the measurement cannot be made at the standard 1.4m above ground level, e.g. where the diameter of the stem is measured at ground level (DGL) or above the buttress (DAB). All calculated figures are rounded up to the nearest 25mm to determine the tree's TPZ offsets.

NOTE: According to clause 3.2 of AS4970, the TPZ of palms, other monocots, cycads and tree ferns should not be less than 1m outside the crown projection. The Tree Protection Zone is not based on the palm's trunk diameter. The AS4970 formula for calculating the SRZ of a tree does not apply to palms, other monocots, cycads and tree ferns.

DAB—The trunk/stem diameter measured *above the buttress* (i.e. root and trunk confluence), using a diameter tape

DGL—The trunk/stem diameter measured *at ground level*, using a diameter tape.

AGL—*above* ground level.

GL—*at* ground level.

? —a tentative result due to inspection limitations, e.g. limited visual access to an adjoining tree, very dense vegetation obscuring tree parts or preventing visual access, or a tree that requires more detailed assessment, such as an aerial inspection, decay diagnostic tests, pathology tests, etc.


sp. indet. = species indeterminate (not determined).

H	refers to the approximate height of a tree in metres, from base of stem to top of tree crown.
Sp	refers to the approximate and/or average diameter spread in metres of branches/canopy (the 'crown') of a tree.
DBH	refers to the approximate diameter of tree stem at breast height i.e. 1.4 metres above ground (unless otherwise noted) and expressed in millimetres.
Age	refer to Appendix A -Terms and Definitions for more detail.
V	refers to the tree's vigour (health) Refer to Appendix A -Terms and Definitions for more detail.
C	refers to the tree's structural condition. Refer to Appendix A -Terms and Definitions for more detail.
ULE	refers to the estimated <i>Useful Life Expectancy</i> of a tree. Refer to Appendices A and B for details.
TSR	The <i>Tree Significance Rating</i> considers the importance of the tree as a result of its prominence in the landscape and its amenity value, from the point of public benefit. Refer to Appendix B – Significance of a Tree Assessment Rating for more detail.
RV	Refers to the retention value of a tree, based on the tree's ULE <i>and</i> Tree Significance. Refer to Appendix B – Significance of a Tree Assessment Rating for more detail.
SRZ	Structural Root Zone (SRZ) refers to the critical area required to maintain stability of the tree. Refer to Appendix A -Terms and Definitions for more detail.
TPZ	Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) refers to the <i>tree protection zones</i> for trees to be retained. Refer to Appendix A -Terms and Definitions for more detail.
TPZ area	the calculated area within the TPZ radius.
ILR	Impact Level rating. Refer to Appendix A -Terms and Definitions for more detail.

APPENDIX G

TREE LOCATION PLAN





TREE LOCATION PLAN Not to scale (Excerpt of site survey by Stutchbury Jaques, marked up by C. Mackenzie)

Note: Trees 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 11 and 12 have not been plotted by survey. Locations are only approximate.