morrow

ABN 42 605 892 126 PO Box 4069 Carlton NSW 2218 T: 0405 843 933 E: info@morrowgeo.com.au

Geotechnical Investigation Report 5 Mulawa Place, Frenchs Forest NSW

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Morrow Geotechnics Pty Ltd conducted geotechnical investigations at 5 Mulawa Place, Frenchs Forest NSW (the site). The purpose of this investigation was to provide geotechnical advice and recommendations for proposed development at the site based on project details available at the time of the investigation. Morrow Geotechnics understands that the proposed development will comprise alterations and additions to the existing residence with no proposed changes to the existing ground surface profile.

2.0 OBSERVATIONS

A senior engineering geologist inspected the site on 24 October 2017. Two hand auger boreholes were drilled during the investigation. Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) tests were carried out adjacent to borehole locations to assess soil consistency and density. The approximate boreholes locations are shown on the attached plan.

A summary of the subsurface conditions encountered within the boreholes is presented in **Table 1**. More detailed descriptions of subsurface conditions at the test locations are available in the borehole logs attached to this report. The details of the method of soil and rock classification, explanatory notes and abbreviations adopted in the borehole logs are also presented attached.

	Unit	Depth	(mBGL)	Comments
		BH1	BH2	
1	Fill	0.0 to 0.75	0.0 to 0.2	Fine to coarse grained Silty SAND with sandstone gravel. Unit 1 is of very loose consistency. Fill is inferred to be uncontrolled and poorly compacted.
2	Residual Soil	0.75 to 0.85	-	Fine to coarse grained SAND. Generally very loose to loose consistency.
3	Bedrock	0.85 +	0.2 +	Inferred extremely weathered, extremely low strength sandstone grading stronger with depth.

TABLE 1 SUMMARY OF INFERRED SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

Notes:

1 Approximate depth below ground level at the investigation locations. More detailed descriptions of subsurface conditions are available in the borehole logs attached to this report. Depths may vary across the site.

Minor seepage water was encountered above the rock level in both boreholes, inferred to be aresult of surface water infiltration across the relatively impermeable rock surface.

3.0 Advice and Recommendations

3.1 Reuse of Foundations and Foundation Design

The parameters given in **Table 2** may be used for the design of additional loading to existing footings and for any proposed new footings. Morrow Geotechnics recommends that a Preliminary Geotechnical Strength Reduction Factor (GSRF) of 0.4 is used for the design of piles in accordance with AS 2159:2009 if no allowance is made for pile testing during construction. Should pile testing be nominated, the GSRF may be reviewed and a value of 0.55 to 0.6 may be expected.

All new footings must found on Unit 3 Bedrock in order to minimise the potential for differential settlement between new and existing footings. Shallow footings and slabs on Unit 3 material should be designed in accordance with AS2870:2011 based on a Site Classification of 'A.' The site classification has been provided on the basis that the performance expectations set out in Appendix B of AS2870–2011 are acceptable and that future site maintenance will be undertaken in accordance with CSIRO BTF 18.

Ultimate geotechnical strengths are provided for use in limit state design. Allowable or serviceability bearing pressures adopted in **Table 2** are intended to limit settlements to an acceptable level for conventional building structures, typically less than 1% of the minimum footing width.

To adopt these parameters we have assumed that the bases of all footing and pile excavations are cleaned of loose debris and water and inspected by a suitably qualified Geotechnical Engineer prior to pile construction to verify that ground conditions meet design assumptions. Where groundwater ingress is encountered during pile excavation, concrete is to be placed as soon as possible upon completion of pile excavation. Pile excavations should be pumped dry of water prior to pouring concrete, or alternatively a tremmie system could be used.

Ν	Naterial	Unit 1 Topsoil	Unit 2 Residual Soil	Unit 3 Bedrock
Allowable Bearir	ng Pressure (kPa)	-	N/A	500
Ultimate Vertica (kPa)	l End Bearing Pressure	-	1500	
Elastic Modulus	(MPa)	5	12	70
Ultimate Shaft	In Compression	-	10	120
Adhesion (kPa)	In Tension	-	5	60
Susceptibility to Earthquake	Liquefaction during an	High	Medium	Low

TABLE 2 PAD FOOTING AND PILE DESIGN PARAMETERS

Notes:

1 Foundations on Unit 1 and Unit 2 material are not recommended due to the potential for differentials settlement based on the shallow bedrock level at the site.

- 2 Side adhesion values given assume there is intimate contact between the pile and foundation material. Design engineer to check both 'piston' pull-out and 'cone' pull-out mechanics in accordance with AS4678-2002 Earth Retaining Structures.
- 3 Susceptibility to liquefaction during an earthquake is based on the following definition:
 - Low Medium to very dense sands, stiff to hard clays, and rock
 - Medium-Loose to medium dense sands, soft to firm clays, or uncontrolled fill below the water tableHigh-Very loose sands or very soft clays below the water table

3.2 AS1170 Earthquake Site Risk Classification

Assessment of the material encountered during the investigation in accordance with the guidelines provided in AS1170.4-2007 indicates:

- an earthquake subsoil class of Class B_e Rock for the site; and
- a hazard factor (z) of 0.08 for Sydney.

3.3 Preliminary Landslip Risk Checklist

Northern Beaches Council's landslide hazard maps for the subject area show the site to be within Landslip Risk Area B. Clause E10 of the Warringah Council DCP 2011 (WDCP 2011) requires that sites within Area B receive a preliminary risk assessment of site conditions by a suitably qualified geotechnical engineer. Site conditions and development conditions observed during the inspection were as follows:

- Does the site or adjacent properties have history of slope instability? No evidence of ongoing or past instability observed during inspection.
- Are excavations or fills greater than 2 m depth proposed? No.
- Is fill greater than 1 m depth present? No.
- Are cuts / excavations greater than 2 m high present? No.

On the basis of this checklist in accordance with Clause E10 of the WDCP 2011 a full geotechnical slope risk assessment of the site is not required.

4.0 CLOSURE

Your attention is drawn to the attached document titled "Important Information." The statements presented in this document are intended to advise you of what your realistic expectations of this report should be. The document is not intended to reduce the level of responsibility accepted by Morrow Geotechnics, but rather to ensure that all parties who may rely on this report are aware of the responsibilities each assumes in so doing.

Please do not hesitate to contact Morrow Geotechnics if you have any questions about the contents of this report.

For and on behalf of Morrow Geotechnics Pty Ltd,

Alan Morrow Senior Geotechnical Engineer BE (Civil) BSc MIEAust CPEng NPER (2474672)

Attached: Borehole Location Plan Borehole Logs Explanatory Notes Important Information



Project No: Client: Project:		ct No : ct:	D: P13 Stephan & Dea Residential D 5 Mulaw	P1355 Stephan & Dearne Cameron Residential Development 5 Mulawa Place Eronche Forget NSW		Contractor: МНК on Drill Rig: Hand Auger ht Sheet 1 of 1	1	(brrow
			Frenchs Fo	rest	NSW	Logged: MK Date: 24-10-17			BH1
Drilling Method	Resistance	Water	Sampling	uscs	Depth	Stratigraphy (Additional Observations)	Consistency/ Density	Moisture	DCP (blows per 100 mm) 5 10 15 20
					-	Silty SAND, brown, fine to coarse grained with sandstone gravel and cobbles (FILL)			
					0.25				-
					E		VL	М	-
ΗA	-				0.50				-
					E				
					0.75	Silty SAND, black, fine to coarse grained, roots (TOPSOIL)	\vdash	M-	
		7				SOIL)	L	w	
					1.00	End BH1 at 0.85 m Practical Auger Refusal			-
									-
					1.25				
									-
					1.50				-
					E				-
					1.75				-
					E				
					2.00				-
					E				-
					2.25				-
									-
					2.50				-
									-
					2.75				
					E				
					3.00				-
									-
					3.25				
					Ē				
					3 50				
					275				
					3./5				
					4.00				

Project No: Client: Project:		rt No : rt:	D: P13 Stephan & Dea Residential D 5 Mulaw Frenchs Fo	55 rne C evelc va Pla prest I	Camer opmer ce NSW	Contractor: МНК on Drill Rig: Hand Auger tt Sheet 1 of 1 Logged: МК Date: 24-10-17	1	(BH2
Drilling Method	Resistance	Water	Sampling	uscs	Depth	Stratigraphy (Additional Observations)	Consistency/ Density	Moisture	DCP (blows per 100 mm) 5 10 15 20
ЧA	L	GWNE			E	Silty SAND, dark brown, fine to coarse grained	L	M- W	-
		0			0.25	End BH2 at 0.2 m Practical Auger Refusal			
									-
					0.50				-
					0.75				-
									-
					1.00				-
					1.25				-
									-
					1.50				-
					1.75				-
									-
					2.00				-
									-
					2.25				-
					2.50				-
									-
					2.75				-
					3.00				-
									-
					3.25				
					2 50				-
					3.50				
					3.75				-
					E				

Soil and Rock Logging Explanatory Notes

GENERAL

Information obtained from site investigations is recorded on log sheets. The "Cored Drill Hole Log" presents data from an operation where a core barrel has been used to recover material - commonly rock. The "Non-Core Drill Hole - Geological Log" presents data from an operation where coring has not been used and information is based on a combination of regular sampling and insitu testing. The material penetrated in non-core drilling is commonly soil but may include rock. The "Excavation - Geological Log" presents data and drawings from exposures of soil and rock resulting from excavation of pits, trenches, etc.

The heading of the log sheets contains information on Project Identification, Hole or Pit Identification, Location and Elevation. The main section of the logs contains information on methods and conditions, material substance description and structure presented as a series of columns in relation to depth below the ground surface which is plotted on the left side of the log sheet. The common depth scale is 8m per drill log sheet and about 3-5m for excavation logs sheets.

As far as is practicable the data contained on the log sheets is factual. Some interpretation is inevitable in the identification of material boundaries in areas of partial sampling, the location of areas of core loss, description and classification of material, estimation of strength and identification of drilling induced fractures. Material description and classifications are based on SAA Site Investigation Code AS 1726 - 1993 with some modifications as defined below.

These notes contain an explanation of the terms and abbreviations commonly used on the log sheets.

DRILLING

Drilling & Casing

ADV	Auger Drilling with V-Bit
ADT	Auger Drilling with TC Bit
WB	Wash-bore drilling
RR	Rock Roller
NMLC	NMLC core barrel
NQ	NQ core barrel
HMLC	HMLC core barrel
HQ	HQ core barrel

Drilling Fluid/Water

The drilling fluid used is identified and loss of return to the surface estimated as a percentage.

Drilling Penetration/Drill Depth

Core lifts are identified by a line and depth with core loss per run as a percentage. Ease of penetration in non-core drilling is abbreviated as follows:

VE	Very Easy
E	Easy
М	Medium
н	High
VH	Very High

Groundwater Levels

Date of measurement is shown.

Standing water level measured in completed borehole

Level taken during or immediately after drilling

D	Disturbed
В	Bulk
U	Undisturbed
SPT	Standard Penetration Test
Ν	Result of SPT (sample taken)
PBT	Plate Bearing Test
PZ	Piezometer Installation
HP	Hand Penetrometer Test

EXCAVATION LOGS

Explanatory notes are provided at the bottom of drill log sheets. Information about the origin, geology and pedology may be entered in the "Structure and other Observations" column. The depth of the base of excavation (for the logged section) at the appropriate depth in the "Material Description" column. Refusal of excavation plant is noted should it occur. A sketch of the exposure may be added.

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION - SOIL

Classification Symbol - In accordance with the Unified Classification System (AS 1726-1993, Appendix A, Table A1)

Material Description - In accordance with AS 1726-1993, Appendix A2.3

Moisture Condition

D	Dry, looks and feels dry
М	Moist, No free water on remoulding
W	Wet, free water on remoulding

Consistency - In accordance with AS 1726-1993, Appendix A2.5

VS	Very Soft	< 12.5 kPa
S	Soft	12.5 – 25 kPa
F	Firm	25 – 50 kPa
St	Stiff	50 – 100 kPa
VSt	Very Stiff	100 – 200 kPa
Н	Hard	> 200 kPa

Strength figures quoted are the approximate range of undrained shear strength for each class.

Density Index. (%) is estimated or is based on SPT results.

VL	Very Loose	< 15 %
L	Loose	15 – 35 %
MD	Medium Dense	35 – 65 %
D	Dense	65 – 85 %
VD	Very Dense	> 85 %

Soil and Rock Logging Explanatory Notes

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION - ROCK

Material Description

Identification of rock type, composition and texture based on visual features in accordance with AS 1726-1993, Appendix A3.1-A3.3 and Tables A6a, A6b and A7.

Core Loss

Is shown at the bottom of the run unless otherwise indicated.

Bedding

Thinly Laminated	< 6 mm
Laminated	6 - 20
Very Thinly Bedded	20 - 60
Thinly Bedded	60 - 200
Medium Bedded	200 – 600
Thickly Bedded	600 – 2000
Very Thickly Bedded	> 2000

Weathering - No distinction is made between weathering and alteration. Weathering classification assists in identification but does not imply engineering properties.

Fresh (F)	Rock substance unaffected by weathering
Slightly Weathered	Rock substance partly stained or
(SW)	discoloured. Colour and texture of fresh
	rock recognisable.
Moderately	Staining or discolouration extends
Weathered (MW)	throughout rock substance. Fresh rock
	colour not recognisable.
Highly Weathered	Stained or discoloured throughout. Signs of
(HW)	chemical or physical alteration. Rock texture
	retained.
Extremely	Rock texture evident but material has soil
Weathered (EW)	properties and can be remoulded.

Strength - The following terms are used to described rock strength:

Rock Strength	Abbreviation	Point Load Strength
Class		Index, Is(50)
		(MPa)
Extremely Low	EL	< 0.03
Very Low	VL	0.03 to 0.1
Low	L	0.1 to 0.3
Medium	М	0.3 to 1
High	Н	1 to 3
Very High	VH	3 to 10
Extremely High	EH	≥ 10

Strengths are estimated and where possible supported by Point Load Index Testing of representative samples. Test results are plotted on the graphical estimated strength by using:

° Diametral Point Load Test

Axial Point Load Test

Where the estimated strength log covers more than one range it indicates the rock strength varies between the limits shown.

MATERIALS STRUCTURE/FRACTURES

ROCK

Natural Fracture Spacing - A plot of average fracture spacing excluding defects known or suspected to be due to drilling, core boxing or testing. Closed or cemented joints, drilling breaks and handling breaks are not included in the Natural Fracture Spacing.

Visual Log - A diagrammatic plot of defects showing type, spacing and orientation in relation to core axis.

Defects	 Defects open in-situ or clay sealed
	 Defects closed in-situ
	 Breaks through rock substance

Additional Data - Description of individual defects by type, orientation, in-filling, shape and roughness in accordance with AS 1726-1993, Appendix A Table A10, notes and Figure A2.

Orientation - angle relative to the plane normal to the core axis.

Туре	BP	Bedding Parting
	TL	Joint
	SM	Seam
	FZ	Fracture Zone
	SZ	Shear Zone
	VN	Vein
	FL	Foliation
	CL	Cleavage
	DL	Drill Lift
	НВ	Handling Break
	DB	Drilling Break
Infilling	CN	Clean
	х	Carbonaceous
	Clay	Clay
	кт	Chlorite
	CA	Calcite
	Fe	Iron Oxide
	Qz	Quartz
	MS	Secondary Mineral
	MU	Unidentified Mineral
Shape	PR	Planar
	CU	Curved
	UN	Undulose
	ST	Stepped
	IR	Irregular
	DIS	Discontinuous
Rougness	POL	Polished
	SL	Slickensided
	S	Smooth
	RF	Rough
	VR	Very Rough

SOIL

Structures - Fissuring and other defects are described in accordance with AS 1726-1993, Appendix A2.6, using the terminology for rock defects.

Origin - Where practicable an assessment is provided of the probable origin of the soil, eg fill, topsoil, alluvium, colluvium, residual soil.

morrow

This Document has been provided by Morrow Geotechnics Pty Ltd subject to the following limitations:

This Document has been prepared for the particular purpose outlined in Morrow Geotechnics' proposal and no responsibility is accepted for the use of this Document, in whole or in part, in other contexts or for any other purpose.

The scope and the period of Morrow Geotechnics' Services are as described in Morrow Geotechnics' proposal, and are subject to restrictions and limitations. Morrow Geotechnics did not perform a complete assessment of all possible conditions or circumstances that may exist at the site referenced in the Document. The scope of services may have been limited by such factors as time, budget, site access or other site conditions. If a service is not expressly indicated, do not assume it has been provided. If a matter is not addressed, do not assume that any determination has been made by Morrow Geotechnics in regards to it. Any advice given within this document is limited to geotechnical considerations only. Other constraints particular to the project, including but not limited to architectural, environment, heritage and planning matters may apply and should be assessed independently of this advice.

Conditions may exist which were undetectable given the limited nature of the enquiry Morrow Geotechnics was retained to undertake with respect to the site. Variations in conditions may occur between investigatory locations, and there may be special conditions pertaining to the site which have not been revealed by the investigation and which have not therefore been taken into account in the Document. Accordingly, additional studies and actions may be required. No geotechnical investigation can provide a full understanding of all possible subsurface details and anomalies at a site.

In addition, it is recognised that the passage of time affects the information and assessment provided in this Document. Morrow Geotechnics' opinions are based upon information that existed at the time of the production of the Document. It is understood that the Services provided allowed Morrow Geotechnics to form no more than an opinion of the actual conditions of the site at the time the site was visited and cannot be used to assess the effect of any subsequent changes in the quality of the site, or its surroundings, or any laws or regulations.

Any assessments made in this Document are based on the conditions indicated from published sources and the investigation described. No warranty is included, either express or implied, that the actual conditions will conform exactly to the assessments contained in this Document.

Where data supplied by the client or other external sources, including previous site investigation data, have been used, it has been assumed that the information is correct unless otherwise stated. No responsibility is accepted by Morrow Geotechnics for incomplete or inaccurate data supplied by others.

Where ground conditions encountered at the site differ significantly from those anticipated in the report, either due to natural variability of subsurface conditions or construction activities, it is a condition of the report that Morrow Geotechnics be notified of any variations and be provided with an opportunity to review the recommendations of this report.

This Document is provided for sole use by the Client and is confidential to it and its professional advisers. No responsibility whatsoever for the contents of this Document will be accepted to any person other than the Client. Any use which a third party makes of this Document, or any reliance on or decisions to be made based on it, is the responsibility of such third parties. Morrow Geotechnics accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this Document.