

Heritage Referral Response

Application Number:	DA2022/1425
Date:	21/09/2022
To:	Anne-Marie Young
Land to be developed (Address):	Lot 1 DP 395093 , 132 A Queens Parade East NEWPORT NSW 2106

Officer comments

HERITAGE COMMENTS		
Discussion of reason for referral		
The proposal has been referred to Heritage as the subject site is within proximity to a heritage item		
Fink House - 153 Queens Parade East, Newport		
Details of heritage items affected		
Details of the item as contained in the Pittwater inventory is as follows:		
<p><u>Statement of Significance</u></p> <p>Completed in 1949 to a design by internationally recognised Sydney architect Harry Seidler, Fink House at 153 Queens Parade East in Newport, has historic and aesthetic significance as an early example of Late Twentieth-Century Sydney Regional architecture demonstrating key modernist features including almost flat skillion roof, bright coloured door panels, exposed concrete structure, horizontal emphasis and open plan.</p> <p><u>Physical Description</u></p> <p>Fink House is one-storey, flat roof, white painted weekender designed in a U-shape and located on a sloping site, it takes advantage of the land's topography. The front and back sections of the roof slope towards the centre of the house. The house is similar in plan to the Rose Seidler House with the exception of a broken or 'butterfly' roof form as its roof is closer to a flat roof with a shallow pitch.</p>		
Other relevant heritage listings		
Sydney Regional Environmental Plan (Sydney Harbour Catchment) 2005	No	
Australian Heritage Register	No	
NSW State Heritage Register	No	
National Trust of Aust (NSW) Register		
RAIA Register of 20th Century Buildings of Significance		
Other	N/A	
Consideration of Application		
The proposal seeks consent for a new dwelling on the subject site. Fink House is located to the south east across Queens Parade East. While the new large dwelling will be a noticeable addition to the street, it is considered to not impact upon the heritage item or its significance given the physical separation afforded by the road carriageway.		

Therefore Heritage raises no objections and requires no conditions.

Consider against the provisions of CL5.10 of PLEP.

Is a Conservation Management Plan (CMP) Required? No

Has a CMP been provided? No

Is a Heritage Impact Statement required? No

Has a Heritage Impact Statement been provided? No

The proposal is therefore supported.

Note: Should you have any concerns with the referral comments above, please discuss these with the Responsible Officer.

Recommended Heritage Advisor Conditions:

Nil.