

---

**Sent:** 11/10/2024 5:50:57 PM  
**Subject:** Anne-Marie Young DA2024/0675 Submission

Hello Anne-Marie,

Following is a subsequent submission on the above development application in response to the latest amendments to the Statement of Environmental Effects and accompanying responses from the Acoustic Consultant to the council's RFI.

1. Limiting the evening time of use to 8pm instead of 9pm does not address the noise levels during daytime when residents may be working-from-home during the week or wishing to enjoy the amenity of their residences during the day on weekends.
2. Though he acknowledges pickleball noise is different from tennis noise, there is still no information in the Acoustic Report Correspondence of 13th September from Lachlan Mackay of the pickleball average noise level measurements taken, being updated with peak noise level measurements to account for the impulsive nature of the sound as detailed in Standard ISO 1996-2:2016 in regards to outdoor ball games, nor of the average noise level measurements adjusted as detailed in Standard ISO 1996-3:2022 in regards to such impulsive noises.
3. The submission from Paynter Dixon of the response by Lachlan Mackay from Acoustic Dynamics on 27th August to Council's RFI states in note 2) of Item 3 that Amenity Adjustment has been made in accordance with Table 2.3 of the Noise Policy for Industry, however that policy states in section 1.5 that noise from sporting activities has been excluded from the policy. This is another example of misleading information by the Acoustic Consultant. This development is not industrial noise, rather impulsive sports noise and accordingly should be re-evaluated.
4. Though the development is located in the Warriewood locality, its effect is largely on residences in the Mona Vale locality to which there is no reference in the Statement of Environmental Effects submitted by Andrew Martin Planning in May 2024.
5. What recourse do residents have if development is approved and the emitted noise from the courts is excessively louder than the acoustic report has everyone believe? Will council have the authority to protect residents' amenity in the vicinity and close the courts down until the noise levels can be reduced? How often will council monitor the noise being emitted once in operation to ensure it complies with the acoustic report recommendations on weekdays, evenings and weekends?

Accordingly we OPPOSE the application as it stands for outdoor pickleball courts and instead suggest that the courts be fully enclosed with no openings facing residences along Mona Vale Rd.

Regards,  
Kevin Lee  
89 Mona Vale Rd