
Sent: 28/01/2021 10:29:35 AM
Subject: Objection Submission DA2020/1597
Attachments: Online Objection DA20201576 - HOLDER.pdf;

Please find attached my objection submission for application DA2020/1597. I have submitted an online submission today however I couldn't see the information on the document, so please find attached.

Regards
Clare



Clare Holder
Executive Manager – Branch Fraud, Originations & Scams
Group Fraud Management Services
Level 7, Axle, 5-7 Central Avenue
South Eveleigh, NSW 2015

Mobile 0418 804 731
Email clare.holder@cba.com.au

Our purpose is to improve the financial wellbeing of our customers and communities.

***** IMPORTANT MESSAGE *****

This e-mail message is intended only for the addressee(s) and contains information which may be confidential.

If you are not the intended recipient please advise the sender by return email, do not use or disclose the contents, and delete the message and any attachments from your system. Unless specifically indicated, this email does not constitute formal advice or commitment by the sender or the Commonwealth Bank of Australia (ABN 48 123 123 124 AFSL and Australian credit licence 234945) or its subsidiaries.

We can be contacted through our web site: commbank.com.au.

If you no longer wish to receive commercial electronic messages from us, please reply to this e-mail by typing Unsubscribe in the subject line.

28 January 2021

OBJECTION SUBMISSION TO PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

Attention: Anne-Marie Young
Application No: DA2020/1597
Address: Lot 25 DP 7002, 67 Pacifica Parade, DEE WHY

I am writing to you as the owner of Unit 5/65 Pacific Parade to strongly OBJECT to the proposed development application DA2020/1597.

As an owner in the building next to this proposed construction, I have serious concerns over the planning requirements and negative impact it is going to cause on our community. I object to the proposal for the following for the following reasons:

1. Building Compliance (Size)

This site is far too small to accommodate a construction of 30 units. We are one a similar size block of land and have only 9 units on our block and this comfortable. With 30 units within a close proximity will cause over usage of the communal areas and lack of social distancing will be applied. Give that we are in a pandemic this is not ideal. The proposed height of the building is not keeping in line with other surrounding buildings in the area.

2. Excavation Work

Significant demolishing and excavation work will be required as part of this construction into the rock on the site. There is a significant risk of that the excavation has the propensity to create damage from the vibrations and digging to neighbouring construction such as our unit block next door.

3. Privacy / Light

The proposal and the size of constriction will impinge on the boundaries of my property, impacting a significant amount of light which I currently get from the eastern boundary. I have a balcony which is East, South and West facing and therefore I am concerned on the lack of privacy I will have as the construction will on look onto my balcony. As a single female I wish to feel safe within my unit and do not wish to feel as if I can no longer use the courtyard on looking onto the proposed construction.

4. Parking

Parking is not sufficient or compliant with the classification of a boarding house. We live on an extremely busy street in Dee Why, on a hill and with very close proximity to the traffic lights. The high density building with limited spaces for residents and for visitors is only going to exacerbate the parking and congestion issues we already face as a community today. Proposal of having a go/stop light system in a car park can only indicate one thing which is a car park underground at an extreme lower level which again causes me concerns with the excavation required underground to dig to the level underground required. No space for visitors or building manager either.

5. Noise

Due to the common area and open terrace at the rear of level 1 causes be great concerns of noise on our neighbouring building. Noise travels and with an over populated number of residents in communal areas this is only going to be an ongoing issue which is difficult to manage by the council and law enforcement for neighbouring community.

6. Environment

Concerned over the environmental impact of knocking down many trees and foliage in the neighbouring property, increasing the pollution building an oversized property in a very small restricted area.

In summary, this construction proposal is not compliant nor is it suitable for this locality. The council should be encouraged to address the existing parking and road safety issues prior to any consideration to high density contraction which will exacerbate to the problem.

Thank you for considering my objections to the proposed development.

Regards

Miss Clare Holder
Unit 5 / 65 Pacific Parade
clareholder@hotmail.com