
Sent: 24/11/2021 12:27:44 PM

Subject: Application Number DA2021/1912 — Seniors Housing & Boarding & Application Number DA2021/1914 — Subdivision of 6 lots into 4 Torrens

I am a resident of number 12 Lakeside Crescent, object strongly to the proposed subdivision of 389 Pittwater Road which formed part of the former Queenscliff Health Centre Car Park.

Having lived in Lakeside Crescent for 40 years I have witnessed the area change mostly for the good of the community. As a resident I recognise the need to redevelop the site to deliver a practical solution for the site. There are many considerations to be undertaken by the council to enable sensible decisions to be made which will serve the current residents and for future generations. The proposed developments do not appear to be in the interest of the current community nor the additional residents who will become part of this area under the DA's proposed.

Car Parking - Currently the street parking around Lakeside Crescent and Palm Avenue is overcrowded due to existing demand by residents, large families, adjacent businesses, commuters parking their vehicles weekdays to commute by public transport to the city and boat owners from out of the immediate area leaving their boats/trailers for extended periods. Landcom has advised that they are intending to build between 35 —38 units within the existing structure. They are only intending to provide a maximum 9 car parking spaces. Extremely inadequate for the number of units proposed despite the boarding house approach residents will have cars in a large number of cases.

I strongly object to the Subdivision, and the non-compliant nature of these 2 specific DA's. Neither DA 2021 /1914 or DA 2021/1912 should be presented (or granted approval) in isolation, as both DA's significantly impact on the outcome of the other. If the Subdivision is approved it substantially decreases the suitable ways many of the outstanding detrimental issues of DA2021/1912 can be resolved (eg. parking, traffic, waste, greenspace). Both DA's should be processed in conjunction with one another or as one DA. Additionally, either DA may be administered perhaps months/years apart, not providing a holistic overview of the lasting consequences for our neighbourhood.

I am not opposed to the former Queenscliff Community Health Centre being developed for long term affordable housing, especially for women over 55 living in a healthy neighbourhood. I do not believe however the present development applications offer a suitable fit for our neighbourhood.

Regards

Stephen Papp