

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

Application Number:	DA2021/0333
----------------------------	-------------

Responsible Officer:	Thomas Prosser
Land to be developed (Address):	Lot X DP 420819, 78 Powderworks Road NORTH NARRABEEN NSW 2101
Proposed Development:	Demolition works and construction of a dwelling house including swimming pool
Zoning:	C4 Environmental Living
Development Permissible:	Yes
Existing Use Rights:	No
Consent Authority:	Northern Beaches Council
Land and Environment Court Action:	No
Owner:	Kristina Nedeljkovic
Applicant:	Kristina Nedeljkovic

Application Lodged:	14/04/2021
Integrated Development:	No
Designated Development:	No
State Reporting Category:	Residential - Single new detached dwelling
Notified:	23/04/2021 to 07/05/2021
Advertised:	Not Advertised
Submissions Received:	3
Clause 4.6 Variation:	Nil
Recommendation:	Approval

Estimated Cost of Works:	\$ 685,500.00
---------------------------------	---------------

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IN DETAIL

The proposal involves demolition and the construction of a new dwelling. In detail, this involves:

Ground Floor

- Garage
- Guest
- Study
- Play room
- Dining/Living area
- Deck area
- Bathroom

- Laundry

First Floor

- Four bedrooms
- Ensuite
- Bathroom
- Tv Room
- Balcony

External

- Swimming pool area
- Landscaping

LETTER TO THE APPLICANT

A letter was sent to the applicant dated 16 June 2021 which detailed the following issues:

Engineering

1. *The stormwater drainage plan detailing the provision of on-site stormwater detention is to be supported by hydraulic calculations detailing the the permissible site discharge and storage volume in accordance with the Councils -Water management for development.*
2. *The development details inclusion of a vehicle turning platform, no details of this turntable have been provided to Council. It is suggested that the garage apron area feature a turning area to negate the need for a turntable.*

View Sharing

An alternate design can be provided with the same development potential and amenity, and reduce the impact on views to neighbours.

AMENDED PLANS AND DOCUMENTATION

On 18 May 2021 height poles were erected upon request by Council and a survey report was submitted confirming the location and height of each pole.

On 23 June 2021, information addressing the issues raised by Council's Development Engineer were submitted.

On 16 September 2021 amended plans were submitted to Council in response to the issue of view sharing as raised in Council's letter. The amended plans were notified to affected adjoining properties via email on 29 September 2021. The renotification attracted one submission which was received on 11 October 2021.

On 19 October 2021 a meeting was held with the applicant to further discuss the issue of view sharing whereby it was recommended that the northern side of the upper floor be cut back to better open up the view corridor for the property to the west.

On 24 November 2021 amended plans were received in response to the meeting held by Council. The affected neighbouring properties were notified of the amended plans via telephone and/or email.

ASSESSMENT INTRODUCTION

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared (the subject of this report) taking into account all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, and the associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon the subject site and adjoining, surrounding and nearby properties;
- Notification to adjoining and surrounding properties, advertisement (where required) and referral to relevant internal and external bodies in accordance with the Act, Regulations and relevant Development Control Plan;
- A review and consideration of all submissions made by the public and community interest groups in relation to the application;
- A review and consideration of all documentation provided with the application (up to the time of determination);
- A review and consideration of all referral comments provided by the relevant Council Officers, State Government Authorities/Agencies and Federal Government Authorities/Agencies on the proposal.

SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT ISSUES

Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan - C1.3 View Sharing

Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan - C1.5 Visual Privacy

SITE DESCRIPTION

Property Description:	Lot X DP 420819 , 78 Powderworks Road NORTH NARRABEEN NSW 2101
Detailed Site Description:	<p>The subject site consists of an allotment located on the southern side of Powderworks Road.</p> <p>The site is irregular in shape with a frontage of 18.925m along Powderworks Road and a depth of 65.5m. The site has a surveyed area of 1185m².</p> <p>The site is located within the Environmental Living zone and accommodates a dwelling house.</p> <p>The site has a large rock face adjacent to Powderworks road. As such, the siting of the existing dwelling is above Powderworks Road.</p> <p>Detailed Description of Adjoining/Surrounding Development</p>

Adjoining and surrounding development is characterised by residential development.

Map:



SITE HISTORY

A search of Council's records has revealed that there are no recent or relevant applications for this site.

The land has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT, 1979 (EPAA)

The relevant matters for consideration under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Section 4.15 Matters for Consideration	Comments
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(i) – Provisions of any environmental planning instrument	See discussion on “Environmental Planning Instruments” in this report.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(ii) – Provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	Draft State Environmental Planning Policy (Remediation of Land) seeks to replace the existing SEPP No. 55 (Remediation of Land). Public consultation on the draft policy was completed on 13 April 2018. The subject site has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. The proposed development retains the residential use of the site, and is not considered a contamination risk.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iii) – Provisions of any development control plan	Pittwater Development Control Plan applies to this proposal.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iia) – Provisions of any planning agreement	None applicable.

Section 4.15 Matters for Consideration	Comments
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iv) – Provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 (EP&A Regulation 2000)	<p><u>Division 8A</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider "Prescribed conditions" of development consent. These matters have been addressed via a condition of consent.</p> <p><u>Clause 92</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider AS 2601 - 1991: The Demolition of Structures. This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent.</p> <p><u>Clause 98</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the provisions of the Building Code of Australia (BCA). This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent.</p>
Section 4.15 (1) (b) – the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality	<p>(i) Environmental Impact The environmental impacts of the proposed development on the natural and built environment are addressed under the Warringah Development Control Plan section in this report.</p> <p>(ii) Social Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental social impact in the locality considering the character of the proposal.</p> <p>(iii) Economic Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental economic impact on the locality considering the nature of the existing and proposed land use.</p>
Section 4.15 (1) (c) – the suitability of the site for the development	The site is considered suitable for the proposed development.
Section 4.15 (1) (d) – any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs	See discussion on "Notification & Submissions Received" in this report.
Section 4.15 (1) (e) – the public interest	No matters have arisen in this assessment that would justify the refusal of the application in the public interest.

EXISTING USE RIGHTS

Existing Use Rights are not applicable to this application.

BUSHFIRE PRONE LAND

The site is not classified as bush fire prone land.

NOTIFICATION & SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

The subject development application has been publicly exhibited from 23/04/2021 to 07/05/2021 in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 and the Community Participation Plan.

As a result of the public exhibition process council is in receipt of 3 submission/s from:

Name:	Address:
Mr Andrew Craig Scales Mrs Leanne Margaret Scales	7 Amelia Place NORTH NARRABEEN NSW 2101
BBF Town Planners	1 / 9 Narabang Way BELROSE NSW 2085
Mr Martin Warwick Varney	8 Amelia Place NORTH NARRABEEN NSW 2101

The matters raised within the submissions are addressed as follows:

- **View loss**

Submissions raise concerns with view loss or 'visual access' toward Narrabeen Lake, North Narrabeen Beach, Long Reef Headland and the ocean.

A suggestion is made for the building height to be lowered, and for the for the second storey to remain south of the existing antenna.

Concern is also raised with regard to the lack of skillful design to allow a view corridor to the north of the proposed dwelling.

Concern is also raised with regard to the view impact caused by existing palms on the subject site and proposed plantings.

Comment:

An assessment in this report has been made with regard to the Land and Environment Court established planning principle.

This assessment of the most recent amended plans has found the view sharing outcome tis now considered to be reasonable, not only due to the compliance with all relevant built form controls but also due to the more sensitive response given to the upper level which involves a greater stepping of the upper level to improve view corridors through the north and south of the subject site.

With respect to the issue of proposed plantings, a condition has been imposed to restrict the height of the plantings so that new trees do not have an unreasonable impact on views. The existing trees do not form a part of works proposed and as such Council cannot require removal of these.

- **Bulk and scale**

Concern is raised regarding the bulk and scale impact of the second storey.

Comment:

The proposal complies with all built form controls relevant to bulk and scale. Along with the stepping and articulation provided in the design, this ensures a sufficient minimisation of impact.

- **Termite concerns**

A request is made for a pest inspection prior to demolition.

Comment:

A condition is provided to require an inspection for termites, and the implementation of a Termite Risk Management System if any evidence of termites is found.

- **Excavation**

Concern is raised with regard to driveway extension and turntable (given it is not considered in Geotechnical report).

Comment:

A condition is imposed to require that driveway design be submitted to a geotechnical engineer, and that any recommendations provided by this Geotechnical engineer be complied with.

- **Stormwater concerns**

Concern is raised with regard to Stormwater impact.

Comment:

Council's Engineer has reviewed the application and is satisfied with the outcome for stormwater, subject to conditions.

- **Issues with plans**

Request for the Landscape Plan to be updated in accordance with amended architectural plans

Comment:

A condition is imposed for the Landscape plan to be updated so as to be consistent with architectural plans.

The plans have sufficient detail to allow for assessment and determination in accordance with the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979*.

REFERRALS

Internal Referral Body	Comments
Landscape Officer	<p>This application is for the demolition of an existing residential dwelling, and the construction of a new residential dwelling with swimming pool, double garage and new landscape works.</p> <p>Councils Landscape Referral section has considered the application against the Pittwater Local Environment Plan, and the following Pittwater 21 DCP controls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • B4.22 Preservation of Trees and Bushland Vegetation • C1.1 Landscaping • D11.11 Landscaped Area - Environmentally Sensitive Land

Internal Referral Body	Comments
	<p>A Landscape Plan is provided with the application and proposed works include the in-ground planting of trees, shrubs and groundcovers.</p> <p>The Architectural and Landscape Plans provided with the application do not indicate the removal of any existing trees on site as a result of the proposed works. It is noted that the Site Survey provided indicates additional existing trees on site when compared to the Architectural and Landscape Plans, however upon further review these have been previously removed some years ago. It is also worth noting prior approval has been given for the removal of a large Eucalyptus tree as this showed evidence of decay and failure, which has since been removed. Two trees are proposed for retention within the site, one is located adjacent to the southern boundary, and the other located towards the northern boundary. These trees appear to be clear of proposed works, hence no detrimental impacts to the health of these trees is expected subject to typical tree protection measures. The retention of these trees is vital to satisfy control B4.22 and D11.11, as key objectives of these controls include "to protect and enhance the urban forest of the Northern Beaches", "to protect and enhance the scenic value and character that trees and/or bushland provide", as well as "to preserve and enhance the rural and bushland character of the area".</p> <p>The current landscape works provide a variety of trees, shrubs and groundcovers, all aiding in the creation of privacy, as well as softening of the proposed built form. The completion of these landscape works is necessary to satisfy control C1.1, as key outcomes of this control seek to ensure the proposed built form is softened and mitigated by landscaping.</p> <p>The landscape component of the proposal is therefore supported subject to the protection of existing trees and completion of landscape works as proposed on the Landscape Plans.</p>
NECC (Development Engineering)	<p>Updated comments 25/7/21</p> <p>The applicant has submitted DRAINS model to determine the on site stormwater detention requirements , however this is not in accordance with Councils water management policy for region one. (Ref CI 9.3.1 Table 7).</p> <p>As such the storage volume and discharge requirements for the OSD will be conditioned.</p> <p>Original comments 21/5/21</p> <p>The proposed new dwelling is not supported for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The stormwater drainage plan detailing the provision of on site stormwater detention is to be supported by hydraulic

Internal Referral Body	Comments
	<p>calculations detailing the the permissible site discharge and storage volume in accordance with the Councils -Water management for development policy.</p> <p>2. The development details inclusion of a vehicle turning platform , no details of this turntable have been provided to Council . It is suggested that the garage apron area feature a turning area to negate the need for a turntable.</p>

External Referral Body	Comments
Ausgrid: (SEPP Infra.)	The proposal was referred to Ausgrid who provided a response stating that the proposal is acceptable subject to compliance with the relevant Ausgrid Network Standards and SafeWork NSW Codes of Practice. These recommendations will be included as a condition of consent.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS (EPIs)*

All, Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the merit assessment of this application.

In this regard, whilst all provisions of each Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the assessment, many provisions contained within the document are not relevant or are enacting, definitions and operational provisions which the proposal is considered to be acceptable against.

As such, an assessment is provided against the controls relevant to the merit consideration of the application hereunder.

State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) and State Regional Environmental Plans (SREPs)

SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land

Clause 7 (1) (a) of SEPP 55 requires the Consent Authority to consider whether land is contaminated. Council records indicate that the subject site has been used for residential purposes for a significant period of time with no prior land uses. In this regard it is considered that the site poses no risk of contamination and therefore, no further consideration is required under Clause 7 (1) (b) and (c) of SEPP 55 and the land is considered to be suitable for the residential land use.

SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004

An amended BASIX certificate has been submitted with the application (see Certificate No. 1179199S_03 dated 4 January 2022).

The BASIX Certificate indicates that the development will achieve the following:

Commitment	Required Target	Proposed

Water	40	40
Thermal Comfort	Pass	Pass
Energy	50	52

A condition has been included in the recommendation of this report requiring compliance with the commitments indicated in the BASIX Certificate.

SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007

Ausgrid

Clause 45 of the SEPP requires the Consent Authority to consider any development application (or an application for modification of consent) for any development carried out:

- within or immediately adjacent to an easement for electricity purposes (whether or not the electricity infrastructure exists).
- immediately adjacent to an electricity substation.
- within 5.0m of an overhead power line.
- includes installation of a swimming pool any part of which is: within 30m of a structure supporting an overhead electricity transmission line and/or within 5.0m of an overhead electricity power line.

Comment:

The proposal was referred to Ausgrid who provided a response stating that the proposal is acceptable subject to compliance with the relevant Ausgrid Network Standards and SafeWork NSW Codes of Practice. These recommendations will be included as a condition of consent.

Pittwater Local Environmental Plan 2014

Is the development permissible?	Yes
After consideration of the merits of the proposal, is the development consistent with:	
aims of the LEP?	Yes
zone objectives of the LEP?	Yes

Principal Development Standards

Standard	Requirement	Proposed	% Variation	Complies
Height of Buildings:	8.5m	7.4m	N/A	Yes

Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements
1.9A Suspension of covenants, agreements and instruments	Yes
2.7 Demolition requires development consent	Yes

Clause	Compliance with Requirements
4.3 Height of buildings	Yes
5.10 Heritage conservation	Yes
7.1 Acid sulfate soils	Yes
7.2 Earthworks	Yes
7.7 Geotechnical hazards	Yes
7.10 Essential services	Yes

Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan

Built Form Controls

Built Form Control	Requirement	Proposed	% Variation*	Complies
Front building line	6.5m	15.7m	N/A	Yes
Rear building line	6.5m	6.5m	N/A	Yes
Side building line	2.5m	4.1m	N/A	Yes
	1m	1m-1.4m	N/A	Yes
Building envelope	3.5m	Within	N/A	Yes
	3.5m	Within	N/A	Yes
Landscaped area	60% (711sqm)	62.6% (742.7sqm)	N/A	Yes

Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
A1.7 Considerations before consent is granted	Yes	Yes
A4.11 North Narrabeen Locality	Yes	Yes
B1.3 Heritage Conservation - General	Yes	Yes
B1.4 Aboriginal Heritage Significance	Yes	Yes
B3.1 Landslip Hazard	Yes	Yes
B3.6 Contaminated Land and Potentially Contaminated Land	Yes	Yes
B4.5 Landscape and Flora and Fauna Enhancement Category 3 Land	Yes	Yes
B5.13 Development on Waterfront Land	Yes	Yes
B5.15 Stormwater	Yes	Yes
B6.1 Access driveways and Works on the Public Road Reserve	Yes	Yes
B6.2 Internal Driveways	Yes	Yes
B6.3 Off-Street Vehicle Parking Requirements	Yes	Yes
B6.6 On-Street Parking Facilities	Yes	Yes
B6.7 Transport and Traffic Management	Yes	Yes
B8.1 Construction and Demolition - Excavation and Landfill	Yes	Yes
B8.3 Construction and Demolition - Waste Minimisation	Yes	Yes

Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
B8.4 Construction and Demolition - Site Fencing and Security	Yes	Yes
B8.5 Construction and Demolition - Works in the Public Domain	Yes	Yes
B8.6 Construction and Demolition - Traffic Management Plan	Yes	Yes
C1.1 Landscaping	Yes	Yes
C1.2 Safety and Security	Yes	Yes
C1.3 View Sharing	Yes	Yes
C1.4 Solar Access	Yes	Yes
C1.5 Visual Privacy	Yes	Yes
C1.6 Acoustic Privacy	Yes	Yes
C1.7 Private Open Space	Yes	Yes
C1.9 Adaptable Housing and Accessibility	Yes	Yes
C1.12 Waste and Recycling Facilities	Yes	Yes
C1.13 Pollution Control	Yes	Yes
C1.14 Separately Accessible Structures	Yes	Yes
C1.17 Swimming Pool Safety	Yes	Yes
C1.19 Incline Passenger Lifts and Stairways	Yes	Yes
C1.23 Eaves	Yes	Yes
C1.24 Public Road Reserve - Landscaping and Infrastructure	Yes	Yes
C1.25 Plant, Equipment Boxes and Lift Over-Run	Yes	Yes
D11.1 Character as viewed from a public place	Yes	Yes
D11.3 Building colours and materials	Yes	Yes
D11.6 Front building line	Yes	Yes
D11.7 Side and rear building line	Yes	Yes
D11.9 Building envelope	Yes	Yes
D11.11 Landscaped Area - Environmentally Sensitive Land	Yes	Yes
D11.12 Fences - General	Yes	Yes
D11.14 Construction, Retaining walls, terracing and undercroft areas	Yes	Yes
D11.15 Scenic Protection Category One Areas	Yes	Yes

Detailed Assessment

C1.3 View Sharing

Merit consideration

The development is considered against the underlying Outcomes of the Control as follows:

- ***A reasonable sharing of views amongst dwellings.***

Comment:

In determining the extent of potential view loss to adjoining and nearby properties, the four (4)

planning principles outlined within the Land and Environment Court Case of *Tenacity Consulting Pty Ltd Vs Warringah Council (2004) NSWLEC 140*, are applied to the proposal.

7 Amelia Place

1. Nature of the views affected

"The first step is the assessment of the views to be affected. Water views are valued more highly than land views. Iconic views (e.g. of the Opera House, the Harbour Bridge or North Head) are valued more highly than views without icons. Whole views are valued more highly than partial views, e.g. a water view in which the interface between land and water is visible is more valuable than one in which it is obscured".

Comment to Principle 1:

This property has views toward the ocean, the land water interface at beach, and toward the Narrabeen Lagoon entrance. The view toward the Narrabeen Lagoon entrance is generally over the top of the existing dwelling.





Photos 1 & 2: Taken from the rear of 7 Amelia Place

2. What part of the affected property are the views obtained

“The second step is to consider from what part of the property the views are obtained. For example the protection of views across side boundaries is more difficult than the protection of views from front and rear boundaries. In addition, whether the view is enjoyed from a standing or sitting position may also be relevant. Sitting views are more difficult to protect than standing

views. The expectation to retain side views and sitting views is often unrealistic”.

Comment to Principle 2:

The views are obtained from the rear of the house and over the rear boundary. This includes views from a rear deck area, a living area, a kitchen, and a bedroom. The views can be obtained from both a standing and sitting position.

3. Extent of impact

“The third step is to assess the extent of the impact. This should be done for the whole of the property, not just for the view that is affected. The impact on views from living areas is more significant than from bedrooms or service areas (though views from kitchens are highly valued because people spend so much time in them). The impact may be assessed quantitatively, but in many cases this can be meaningless. For example, it is unhelpful to say that the view loss is 20% if it includes one of the sails of the Opera House. It is usually more useful to assess the view loss qualitatively as negligible, minor, moderate, severe or devastating”.

Comment to Principle 3:

Views toward the beach and the entrance to the lagoon are maintained from the kitchen area. This view would no longer be obtained from the living area/lounge room, however, views to the north toward the ocean would be retained from this area. Given the opportunity to retain ocean views as well as views toward the beach from the kitchen and deck, the overall impact is considered to be moderate.

4. Reasonableness of the proposal that is causing the impact

“The fourth step is to assess the reasonableness of the proposal that is causing the impact. A development that complies with all planning controls would be considered more reasonable than one that breaches them. Where an impact on views arises as a result of non-compliance with one or more planning controls, even a moderate impact may be considered unreasonable. With a complying proposal, the question should be asked whether a more skilful design could provide the applicant with the same development potential and amenity and reduce the impact on the views of neighbours. If the answer to that question is no, then the view impact of a complying development would probably be considered acceptable and the view sharing reasonable.”

Comment to Principle 4:

The proposal complies with all of the relevant planning controls with regard to built form. Further to this, the building has been designed so as to provide a setback at the upper level to allow for a view corridor through the north of the site. This setback is much greater than the numerical control for front setback. Overall, a reasonable view sharing outcome is found due to the numerically compliant nature of the proposal and the stepped design of the upper level.

8 Amelia Place

1. Nature of the views affected

“The first step is the assessment of the views to be affected. Water views are valued more highly than land views. Iconic views (e.g. of the Opera House, the Harbour Bridge or North Head) are valued more highly than views without icons. Whole views are valued more highly than partial views, e.g. a water view in which the interface between land and water is visible is more valuable than one in which it is obscured”.

Comment to Principle 1:

This property has views toward the ocean, the land water interface at beach, and toward the Narrabeen Lagoon entrance. The view toward the Narrabeen Lagoon entrance is generally through the rear of the subject site.





Photos 3 & 4: Taken from the rear of 8 Amelia Place

2. What part of the affected property are the views obtained

“The second step is to consider from what part of the property the views are obtained. For example the protection of views across side boundaries is more difficult than the protection of views from front and rear boundaries. In addition, whether the view is enjoyed from a standing or sitting position may also be relevant. Sitting views are more difficult to protect than standing

views. *The expectation to retain side views and sitting views is often unrealistic*".

Comment to Principle 2:

The views are obtained from the rear of the house and over the rear boundary. This includes views from a rear deck area, a living area, a kitchen, and a bedroom. The views can be obtained from both a standing and sitting position.

3. Extent of impact

"The third step is to assess the extent of the impact. This should be done for the whole of the property, not just for the view that is affected. The impact on views from living areas is more significant than from bedrooms or service areas (though views from kitchens are highly valued because people spend so much time in them). The impact may be assessed quantitatively, but in many cases this can be meaningless. For example, it is unhelpful to say that the view loss is 20% if it includes one of the sails of the Opera House. It is usually more useful to assess the view loss qualitatively as negligible, minor, moderate, severe or devastating".

Comment to Principle 3:

Views toward the beach and the entrance to the lagoon are maintained from the living and kitchen area. This view would no longer be obtained from the bedroom or the northern end of the deck. As a result of the view corridor that is maintained through the rear of the site from living areas, the overall impact is considered to be moderate.

4. Reasonableness of the proposal that is causing the impact

"The fourth step is to assess the reasonableness of the proposal that is causing the impact. A development that complies with all planning controls would be considered more reasonable than one that breaches them. Where an impact on views arises as a result of non-compliance with one or more planning controls, even a moderate impact may be considered unreasonable. With a complying proposal, the question should be asked whether a more skilful design could provide the applicant with the same development potential and amenity and reduce the impact on the views of neighbours. If the answer to that question is no, then the view impact of a complying development would probably be considered acceptable and the view sharing reasonable."

Comment to Principle 4:

The proposal complies with all of the relevant planning controls with regard to built form. Further to this, the building has been designed so as to provide a setback at the upper level to allow for a view corridor through the south of the site. This setback is greater than the numerical control for rear setback. Overall, a reasonable view sharing outcome is found due to the numerically compliant nature of the proposal and the stepped design of the upper level.

82 Powderworks Road

1. Nature of the views affected

"The first step is the assessment of the views to be affected. Water views are valued more highly than land views. Iconic views (e.g. of the Opera House, the Harbour Bridge or North Head) are valued more highly than views without icons. Whole views are valued more highly than partial views, e.g. a water view in which the interface between land and water is visible is more valuable than one in which it is obscured".

Comment to Principle 1:

This site has views toward the ocean, and a distant view toward Long Reef Headland.



Photo 5: Taken from the terrace of 82 Powderworks Road.

2. What part of the affected property are the views obtained

"The second step is to consider from what part of the property the views are obtained. For

example the protection of views across side boundaries is more difficult than the protection of views from front and rear boundaries. In addition, whether the view is enjoyed from a standing or sitting position may also be relevant. Sitting views are more difficult to protect than standing views. The expectation to retain side views and sitting views is often unrealistic”.

Comment to Principle 2:

The views are obtained mainly from a deck area but also from living spaces within 82 Powderworks Road. Views can be obtained from a standing and sitting position.

3. Extent of impact

“The third step is to assess the extent of the impact. This should be done for the whole of the property, not just for the view that is affected. The impact on views from living areas is more significant than from bedrooms or service areas (though views from kitchens are highly valued because people spend so much time in them). The impact may be assessed quantitatively, but in many cases this can be meaningless. For example, it is unhelpful to say that the view loss is 20% if it includes one of the sails of the Opera House. It is usually more useful to assess the view loss qualitatively as negligible, minor, moderate, severe or devastating”.

Comment to Principle 3:

The site maintains a large corridor for ocean views through the front of the subject site. However, the loss of the distant view to Long Reef Headland results in an impact that is minor to moderate.

4. Reasonableness of the proposal that is causing the impact

“The fourth step is to assess the reasonableness of the proposal that is causing the impact. A development that complies with all planning controls would be considered more reasonable than one that breaches them. Where an impact on views arises as a result of non-compliance with one or more planning controls, even a moderate impact may be considered unreasonable. With a complying proposal, the question should be asked whether a more skillful design could provide the applicant with the same development potential and amenity and reduce the impact on the views of neighbours. If the answer to that question is no, then the view impact of a complying development would probably be considered acceptable and the view sharing reasonable.”

Comment to Principle 4:

The proposal complies with all of the relevant planning controls with regard to built form. Further to this, the building has been designed so as to provide a setback at the upper level to allow for a view corridor through the north of the site. This setback is much greater than the numerical control for the front setback. Overall, a reasonable view sharing outcome is found due to the numerically compliant nature of the proposal and the stepped design of the upper level.

- **Views and vistas from roads and public places to water, headland, beach and/or bush views are to be protected, maintained and where possible, enhanced.**

Comment:

The proposal complies with all relevant built form controls under the Development Control Plan and Local Environmental Plan. This provides a situation in which views and vistas are sufficiently maintained.

- **Canopy trees take priority over views.**

Comment:

The proposal complies with the development standard for building height, and as such canopy trees will take priority over views.

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the relevant objectives of PLEP 2014 / P21DCP and the objectives specified in s1.3 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported, in this particular circumstance.

C1.5 Visual Privacy

Due to the proximity of the western elevation windows to neighbouring private open space, a condition is imposed to require the treatment to west facing windows to minimise opportunity for overlooking.

THREATENED SPECIES, POPULATIONS OR ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES

The proposal will not significantly affect threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.

CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN

The proposal is inconsistent with the principles of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design.

POLICY CONTROLS

Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2021

The proposal is subject to the application of Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2021.

A monetary contribution of \$6,855 is required for the provision of new and augmented public infrastructure. The contribution is calculated as 1% of the total development cost of \$685,500.

CONCLUSION

The site has been inspected and the application assessed having regard to all documentation submitted by the applicant and the provisions of:

- Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;
- Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000;
- All relevant and draft Environmental Planning Instruments;
- Pittwater Local Environment Plan;
- Pittwater Development Control Plan; and
- Codes and Policies of Council.

This assessment has taken into consideration the submitted plans, Statement of Environmental Effects, all other documentation supporting the application and public submissions, and does not result in any unreasonable impacts on surrounding, adjoining, adjacent and nearby properties subject to the conditions contained within the recommendation.

In consideration of the proposal and the merit consideration of the development, the proposal is considered to be:

- Consistent with the objectives of the DCP
- Consistent with the zone objectives of the LEP
- Consistent with the aims of the LEP
- Consistent with the objectives of the relevant EPIs
- Consistent with the objects of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

It is considered that the proposed development satisfies the appropriate controls and that all processes and assessments have been satisfactorily addressed.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council as the consent authority grant Development Consent to DA2021/0333 for Demolition works and construction of a dwelling house including swimming pool on land at Lot X DP 420819, 78 Powderworks Road, NORTH NARRABEEN, subject to the conditions printed below:

DEVELOPMENT CONSENT OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS

1. Approved Plans and Supporting Documentation

The development must be carried out in compliance (except as amended by any other condition of consent) with the following:

a) Approved Plans

Architectural Plans - Endorsed with Council's stamp		
Drawing No.	Dated	Prepared By
1-3 910 20 - Site and Site Analysis Plan - Issue 4	18.11.21	High Design
2-3 910 20 - Ground Floor Plan + First Floor Plan - Issue 4	18.11.21	High Design
3-3 910 20 -Elevations and Sections - Issue 4	18.11.21	High Design

Reports / Documentation – All recommendations and requirements contained within:		
Report No. / Page No. / Section No.	Dated	Prepared By
Geotechnical Investigation	26 February 2021	White Geotechnical Group
BASIX Certificate (1179199S-03)	04 January 2022	Sustainability-Z Pty Ltd

b) Any plans and / or documentation submitted to satisfy the Conditions of this consent.

c) The development is to be undertaken generally in accordance with the following:

Landscape Plans		
Drawing No.	Dated	Prepared By

Landscape Plan	1 March 2021	Cabarita Landscapes
----------------	--------------	---------------------

Waste Management Plan		
Drawing No.	Dated	Prepared By
Waste Management Plan	24/12/2021	Stanko Draca

In the event of any inconsistency between conditions of this consent and the drawings/documents referred to above, the conditions of this consent will prevail.

Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination of Council and approved plans.

2. Compliance with Other Department, Authority or Service Requirements

The development must be carried out in compliance with all recommendations and requirements, excluding general advice, within the following:

Other Department, Authority or Service	EDMS Reference	Dated
Ausgrid	Response Ausgrid Referral	26/04/21

(NOTE: For a copy of the above referenced document/s, please see Application Tracking on Council's website www.northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au)

Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination and the statutory requirements of other departments, authorities or bodies.

3. Prescribed Conditions

- (a) All building works must be carried out in accordance with the requirements of the Building Code of Australia (BCA).
- (b) BASIX affected development must comply with the schedule of BASIX commitments specified within the submitted BASIX Certificate (demonstrated compliance upon plans/specifications is required prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate);
- (c) A sign must be erected in a prominent position on any site on which building work, subdivision work or demolition work is being carried out:
 - (i) showing the name, address and telephone number of the Principal Certifying Authority for the work, and
 - (ii) showing the name of the principal contractor (if any) for any building work and a telephone number on which that person may be contacted outside working hours, and
 - (iii) stating that unauthorised entry to the work site is prohibited.

Any such sign is to be maintained while the building work, subdivision work or demolition work is being carried out, but must be removed when the work has been completed.
- (d) Residential building work within the meaning of the Home Building Act 1989 must not be carried out unless the Principal Certifying Authority for the development to which the work relates (not being the Council) has given the Council written notice of the following information:
 - (i) in the case of work for which a principal contractor is required to be appointed:
 - A. the name and licence number of the principal contractor, and

- B. the name of the insurer by which the work is insured under Part 6 of that Act,
- (ii) in the case of work to be done by an owner-builder:
 - A. the name of the owner-builder, and
 - B. if the owner-builder is required to hold an owner-builder permit under that Act, the number of the owner-builder permit.

If arrangements for doing the residential building work are changed while the work is in progress so that the information notified under becomes out of date, further work must not be carried out unless the Principal Certifying Authority for the development to which the work relates (not being the Council) has given the Council written notice of the updated information.

- (e) Development that involves an excavation that extends below the level of the base of the footings of a building on adjoining land, the person having the benefit of the development consent must, at the person's own expense:
 - (i) protect and support the adjoining premises from possible damage from the excavation, and
 - (ii) where necessary, underpin the adjoining premises to prevent any such damage.
 - (iii) must, at least 7 days before excavating below the level of the base of the footings of a building on an adjoining allotment of land, give notice of intention to do so to the owner of the adjoining allotment of land and furnish particulars of the excavation to the owner of the building being erected or demolished.
 - (iv) the owner of the adjoining allotment of land is not liable for any part of the cost of work carried out for the purposes of this clause, whether carried out on the allotment of land being excavated or on the adjoining allotment of land.

In this clause, allotment of land includes a public road and any other public place.

Reason: Legislative requirement.

4. **General Requirements**

- (a) Unless authorised by Council:
Building construction and delivery of material hours are restricted to:
 - 7.00 am to 5.00 pm inclusive Monday to Friday,
 - 8.00 am to 1.00 pm inclusive on Saturday,
 - No work on Sundays and Public Holidays.

Demolition and excavation works are restricted to:

- 8.00 am to 5.00 pm Monday to Friday only.

(Excavation work includes the use of any excavation machinery and the use of jackhammers, rock breakers, excavators, loaders and the like, regardless of whether the activities disturb or alter the natural state of the existing ground stratum or are breaking up/removing materials from the site).

- (b) Should any asbestos be uncovered on site, its demolition and removal must be carried out in accordance with WorkCover requirements and the relevant Australian Standards.

- (c) At all times after the submission the Notice of Commencement to Council, a copy of the Development Consent and Construction Certificate is to remain onsite at all times until the issue of a final Occupation Certificate. The consent shall be available for perusal of any Authorised Officer.
- (d) Where demolition works have been completed and new construction works have not commenced within 4 weeks of the completion of the demolition works that area affected by the demolition works shall be fully stabilised and the site must be maintained in a safe and clean state until such time as new construction works commence.
- (e) Onsite toilet facilities (being either connected to the sewer or an accredited sewer management facility) for workers are to be provided for construction sites at a rate of 1 per 20 persons.
- (f) Prior to the release of the Construction Certificate, payment of the Long Service Levy is required. This payment can be made at Council or to the Long Services Payments Corporation. Payment is not required where the value of the works is less than \$25,000. The Long Service Levy is calculated on 0.35% of the building and construction work. The levy rate and level in which it applies is subject to legislative change. The applicable fee at the time of payment of the Long Service Levy will apply.
- (g) The applicant shall bear the cost of all works associated with the development that occurs on Council's property.
- (h) No skip bins, building materials, demolition or excavation waste of any nature, and no hoist, plant or machinery (crane, concrete pump or lift) shall be placed on Council's footpaths, roadways, parks or grass verges without Council Approval.
- (i) Demolition materials and builders' wastes are to be removed to approved waste/recycling centres.
- (j) No trees or native shrubs or understorey vegetation on public property (footpaths, roads, reserves, etc.) or on the land to be developed shall be removed or damaged during construction unless specifically approved in this consent including for the erection of any fences, hoardings or other temporary works.
- (k) Prior to the commencement of any development onsite for:
 - i) Building/s that are to be erected
 - ii) Building/s that are situated in the immediate vicinity of a public place and is dangerous to persons or property on or in the public place
 - iii) Building/s that are to be demolished
 - iv) For any work/s that is to be carried out
 - v) For any work/s that is to be demolished

The person responsible for the development site is to erect or install on or around the development area such temporary structures or appliances (wholly within the development site) as are necessary to protect persons or property and to prevent unauthorised access to the site in order for the land or premises to be maintained in a safe or healthy condition. Upon completion of the development, such temporary structures or appliances are to be removed within 7 days.
- (l) A "Road Opening Permit" must be obtained from Council, and all appropriate charges paid, prior to commencement of any work on Council property. The owner/applicant shall be responsible for all public utilities and services in the area of the work, shall notify all relevant Authorities, and bear all costs associated with any repairs and/or adjustments as those Authorities may deem necessary.
- (m) The works must comply with the relevant Ausgrid Network Standards and SafeWork NSW Codes of Practice.

- (n) Requirements for new swimming pools/spas or existing swimming pools/spas affected by building works.
- (1) Child resistant fencing is to be provided to any swimming pool or lockable cover to any spa containing water and is to be consistent with the following;
- Relevant legislative requirements and relevant Australian Standards (including but not limited) to:
- (i) Swimming Pools Act 1992
 - (ii) Swimming Pools Amendment Act 2009
 - (iii) Swimming Pools Regulation 2018
 - (iv) Australian Standard AS1926 Swimming Pool Safety
 - (v) Australian Standard AS1926.1 Part 1: Safety barriers for swimming pools
 - (vi) Australian Standard AS1926.2 Part 2: Location of safety barriers for swimming pools.
- (2) A 'KEEP WATCH' pool safety and aquatic based emergency sign, issued by Royal Life Saving is to be displayed in a prominent position within the pool/spa area.
- (3) Filter backwash waters shall be conveyed to the Sydney Water sewerage system in sewered areas or managed on-site in unsewered areas in a manner that does not cause pollution, erosion or run off, is separate from the irrigation area for any wastewater system and is separate from any onsite stormwater management system.
- (4) Swimming pools and spas must be registered with the Division of Local Government.

Reason: To ensure that works do not interfere with reasonable amenity expectations of residents and the community.

FEES / CHARGES / CONTRIBUTIONS

5. **Policy Controls**

Northern Beaches 7.12 Contributions Plan 2021

A monetary contribution of \$6,855.00 is payable to Northern Beaches Council for the provision of local infrastructure and services pursuant to section 7.12 of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979 and the Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2021. The monetary contribution is based on a development cost of \$685,500.00.

The monetary contribution is to be paid prior to the issue of the first Construction Certificate or Subdivision Certificate whichever occurs first, or prior to the issue of the Subdivision Certificate where no Construction Certificate is required. If the monetary contribution (total or in part) remains unpaid after the financial quarter that the development consent is issued, the amount unpaid (whether it be the full cash contribution or part thereof) will be adjusted on a quarterly basis in accordance with the applicable Consumer Price Index. If this situation applies, the cash contribution payable for this development will be the total unpaid monetary contribution as adjusted.

The proponent shall provide to the Certifying Authority written evidence (receipt/s) from Council that the total monetary contribution has been paid.

The Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2021 may be inspected at 725 Pittwater Rd, Dee Why and at Council's Customer Service Centres or alternatively, on Council's website at www.northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au

This fee must be paid prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate. Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority.

Reason: To provide for contributions in accordance with the Contribution Plan to fund the provision of new or augmented local infrastructure and services.

6. Security Bond

A bond (determined from cost of works) of \$2,000 and an inspection fee in accordance with Council's Fees and Charges paid as security are required to ensure the rectification of any damage that may occur to the Council infrastructure contained within the road reserve adjoining the site as a result of construction or the transportation of materials and equipment to and from the development site.

An inspection fee in accordance with Council adopted fees and charges (at the time of payment) is payable for each kerb inspection as determined by Council (minimum (1) one inspection).

All bonds and fees shall be deposited with Council prior to Construction Certificate or demolition work commencing, and details demonstrating payment are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

To process the inspection fee and bond payment a Bond Lodgement Form must be completed with the payments (a copy of the form is attached to this consent and alternatively a copy is located on Council's website at www.northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au).

Reason: To ensure adequate protection of Council's infrastructure.

CONDITIONS TO BE SATISFIED PRIOR TO THE ISSUE OF THE CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATE

7. Traffic Management and Control

The Applicant is to submit an application for Traffic Management Plan to Council for approval prior to issue of the Construction Certificate. The Traffic Management Plan shall be prepared to RMS standards by an appropriately certified person.

Reason: To ensure appropriate measures have been considered for site access, storage and the operation of the site during all phases of the construction process.

8. Amendments to the approved plans

The following amendments are to be made to the approved plans:

- The Landscape Plan is to be amended to show a building footprint that is consistent with the approved architectural plans. The Landscape Plan is also to be amended to delete all proposed palm trees and replace with native vegetation with a height of no greater than 3m at maturity.
- The western facing windows on the first floor to the ensuite and bathroom are to be fitted with obscure glazing.
- A louvered privacy screen is to be installed across the area of the west facing window to

Bedroom 3. The louvres are to be fixed and positioned to avoid any overlooking into the rear yards of the neighbouring properties to the west.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the construction certificate.

Reason: To ensure development minimises unreasonable impacts upon surrounding land.

9. **Boundary Identification Survey**

A boundary identification survey, prepared by a Registered Surveyor, is to be prepared in respect of the subject site.

The plans submitted for the Construction Certificate are to accurately reflect the property boundaries as shown on the boundary identification survey, with setbacks between the property boundaries and the approved works consistent with those nominated on the Approved Plans of this consent.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of any Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure all approved works are constructed within the subject site and in a manner anticipated by the development consent.

10. **On-site Stormwater Detention Details**

The Applicant is to provide stormwater drainage plans detailing the provision of on-site stormwater detention in accordance with Northern Beaches Council's **WATER MANAGEMENT POLICY for DEVELOPMENT Section 9.3.1 Table 7**. Detailed drainage plans are to be prepared by a suitably qualified Civil Engineer, who has membership to the Institution of Engineers Australia, National Professional Engineers Register (NER) or RPENG (Professionals Australia) and registered in the General Area of Practice for civil engineering.

The drainage plans must address the following:

- i. The provision of a minimum 9 cumecs of stormwater detention storage.
- ii. Detailed drainage plans, including engineering certification, are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority for approval prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure appropriate provision for the disposal of stormwater and stormwater management arising from the development.

11. **Sydney Water "Tap In"**

The approved plans must be submitted to the Sydney Water Tap in service, prior to works commencing, to determine whether the development will affect any Sydney Water assets and/or easements. The appropriately stamped plans must then be submitted to the Certifying Authority demonstrating the works are in compliance with Sydney Water requirements.

Please refer to the website www.sydneywater.com.au for:

- "Tap in" details - see <http://www.sydneywater.com.au/tapin>
- Guidelines for Building Over/Adjacent to Sydney Water Assets.

Or telephone 13 000 TAP IN (1300 082 746).

Reason: To ensure compliance with the statutory requirements of Sydney Water.

12. Geotechnical Recommendations for driveway

Driveway excavation plans are to be submitted to a suitably qualified engineer prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate for recommendations (if any are required by the engineer).

Any recommendations are to be satisfied to the satisfaction of the certifying authority.

Reason: To ensure suitable driveway excavation and design.

13. Inspection for Termites

An inspection for Termites is to take place prior to demolition.

If there is any evidence of termites, a Termite Risk Management System is to be implemented.

Details of compliance are to be submitted to the certifying authority.

Reason: To implement appropriate risk management for termites.

CONDITIONS TO BE COMPLIED WITH DURING DEMOLITION AND BUILDING WORK

14. Protection of Rock and Sites of Significance

All rock outcrops outside of the area of approved works are to be preserved and protected at all times during demolition excavation and construction works.

Should any Aboriginal sites be uncovered during the carrying out of works, those works are to cease and Council, the NSW Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH) and the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council are to be contacted.

Reason: Preservation of significant environmental features.

15. Tree and Vegetation Protection

a) Existing trees and vegetation shall be retained and protected, including:

- i) all trees and vegetation within the site not approved for removal, excluding exempt trees and vegetation under the relevant planning instruments of legislation,
- ii) all trees and vegetation located on adjoining properties,
- iii) all road reserve trees and vegetation.

b) Tree protection shall be undertaken as follows:

- i) tree protection shall be in accordance with Australian Standard 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites, including the provision of temporary fencing to protect existing trees within 5 metres of development,
- ii) existing ground levels shall be maintained within the tree protection zone of trees to be retained, unless authorised by an Arborist with minimum AQF Level 5 in arboriculture,
- iii) removal of existing tree roots at or >25mm (Ø) diameter is not permitted without consultation with an Arborist with minimum AQF Level 5 in arboriculture,
- iv) no excavated material, building material storage, site facilities, nor landscape materials are to be placed within the canopy dripline of trees and other vegetation required to be retained,
- v) structures are to bridge tree roots at or >25mm (Ø) diameter unless directed by an Arborist with minimum AQF Level 5 in arboriculture on site,
- vi) excavation for stormwater lines and all other utility services is not permitted within the tree protection zone, without consultation with an Arborist with minimum AQF Level 5 in arboriculture including advice on root protection measures,
- vii) should either or all of v), vi) and vii) occur during site establishment and construction works, an Arborist with minimum AQF Level 5 in arboriculture shall provide recommendations for tree

protection measures. Details including photographic evidence of works undertaken shall be submitted by the Arborist to the Certifying Authority,

- viii) any temporary access to, or location of scaffolding within the tree protection zone of a protected tree or any other tree to be retained during the construction works is to be undertaken using the protection measures specified in sections 4.5.3 and 4.5.6 of Australian Standard 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites,
- ix) the activities listed in section 4.2 of Australian Standard 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites shall not occur within the tree protection zone of any tree on the lot or any tree on an adjoining site,
- x) tree pruning from within the site to enable approved works shall not exceed 10% of any tree canopy, and shall be in accordance with Australian Standard 4373-2007 Pruning of Amenity Trees,
- xi) the tree protection measures specified in this clause must: i) be in place before work commences on the site, and ii) be maintained in good condition during the construction period, and iii) remain in place for the duration of the construction works.

The Certifying Authority must ensure that:

- c) The activities listed in section 4.2 of Australian Standard 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites, do not occur within the tree protection zone of any tree, and any temporary access to, or location of scaffolding within the tree protection zone of a protected tree, or any other tree to be retained on the site during the construction, is undertaken using the protection measures specified in sections 4.5.3 and 4.5.6 of that standard.

Note: All street trees within the road verge and trees within private property are protected under Northern Beaches Council development control plans, except where Council's written consent for removal has been obtained. The felling, lopping, topping, ringbarking, or removal of any tree (s) is prohibited.

Reason: Tree and vegetation protection.

16. **Condition of Trees**

During the construction period the applicant is responsible for ensuring all existing trees required to be retained are maintained in a healthy and vigorous condition. This is to be done by ensuring that all identified tree protection measures are adhered to, or by seeking arboricultural advice from an Arborist with minimum AQF Level 5 in arboriculture during the works. In this regard all protected trees shall not exhibit:

- i) a general decline in health and vigour,
- ii) damaged, crushed or dying roots due to poor pruning techniques,
- iii) more than 10% loss or dieback of roots, branches and foliage,
- iv) mechanical damage or bruising of bark and timber of roots, trunk and branches,
- v) yellowing of foliage or a thinning of the canopy untypical of its species,
- vi) an increase in the amount of deadwood not associated with normal growth,
- vii) an increase in kino or gum exudation,
- viii) inappropriate increases in epicormic growth that may indicate that the plants are in a stressed condition,
- ix) branch drop, torn branches and stripped bark not associated with natural climatic conditions.

Any mitigating measures and recommendations required by the Arborist are to be implemented.

The owner of the adjoining allotment of land is not liable for the cost of work carried out for the purpose of this clause.

Reason: Protection of trees.

17. **Survey Certificate**

A survey certificate prepared by a Registered Surveyor is to be provided demonstrating all perimeter walls columns and or other structural elements, floor levels and the finished roof/ridge height are in accordance with the approved plans.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority.

Reason: To demonstrate the proposal complies with the approved plans.

18. **Traffic Control During Road Works**

Lighting, fencing, traffic control and advanced warning signs shall be provided for the protection of the works and for the safety and convenience of the public and others in accordance with RMS Traffic Control At Work Sites Manual (<http://www.rms.nsw.gov.au/business-industry/partners-suppliers/documents/technical-manuals/tcws-version-4/tcwsv4i2.pdf>) and to the satisfaction of the Roads Authority. Traffic movement in both directions on public roads, and vehicular access to private properties is to be maintained at all times during the works

Reason: Public Safety.

**CONDITIONS WHICH MUST BE COMPLIED WITH PRIOR TO THE ISSUE OF THE
OCCUPATION CERTIFICATE**

19. **Landscape Completion**

Landscaping is to be implemented in accordance with the approved Landscape Plan.

Prior to the issue of an Occupation Certificate, details (from a landscape architect or landscape designer) shall be submitted to the Certifying Authority, certifying that the landscape works have been completed in accordance with any conditions of consent.

Reason: Environmental amenity.

20. **Stormwater Disposal**

The stormwater drainage works shall be certified as compliant with Councils Water Management for Development policy and all relevant Australian Standards and Codes by the design engineer. Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Occupation Certificate.

Reason: To ensure appropriate provision for the disposal of stormwater arising from the development.

21. **Positive Covenant and Restriction as to User for On-site Stormwater Disposal Structures**

The Applicant shall lodge the Legal Documents Authorisation Application with the original completed request forms (NSW Land Registry standard forms 13PC and/or 13RPA) to Council and a copy of the Works-as-Executed plan (details overdrawn on a copy of the approved drainage plan), hydraulic engineers' certification.

The Applicant shall create on the Title a restriction on the use of land and a positive covenant in respect to the ongoing maintenance and restriction of the on-site stormwater disposal structures within this development consent. The terms of the positive covenant and restriction are to be prepared to Council's standard requirements at the applicant's expense and endorsed by Northern Beaches Council's delegate prior to lodgement with the NSW Land Registry Services. Northern Beaches Council shall be nominated as the party to release, vary or modify such

covenant.

A copy of the certificate of title demonstrating the creation of the positive covenant and restriction for on-site storm water detention as to user is to be submitted.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority prior to the issue of final Occupation Certificate.

Reason: To ensure the on-site stormwater disposal system is maintained to an appropriate operational standard.

22. **Post-Construction Road Reserve Dilapidation Report**

The applicant must bear the cost of all restoration works to Council's property damaged during the course of this development. All building work must have been carried out in accordance with the provisions of the Building Code of Australia

Note: Where by Council is not the Principal Certifying Authority, Refund of the trust fund deposit will also be dependent upon receipt of a final Occupation Certificate by the Principal Certifying Authority, Post Construction Dilapidation Report and infrastructure inspection by Council.

Reason: To ensure security against possible damage to Council property.

23. **Swimming Pool Requirements**

The Swimming Pool shall not be filled with water nor be permitted to retain water until:

(a) All required safety fencing has been erected in accordance with and all other requirements have been fulfilled with regard to the relevant legislative requirements and relevant Australian Standards (including but not limited) to:

- (i) Swimming Pools Act 1992;
- (ii) Swimming Pools Amendment Act 2009;
- (iii) Swimming Pools Regulation 2008
- (iv) Australian Standard AS1926 Swimming Pool Safety
- (v) Australian Standard AS1926.1 Part 1: Safety barriers for swimming pools
- (vi) Australian Standard AS1926.2 Part 2: Location of safety barriers for swimming pools

(b) A certificate of compliance prepared by the manufacturer of the pool safety fencing, shall be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority, certifying compliance with Australian Standard 1926.

(c) Filter backwash waters shall be discharged to the Sydney Water sewer mains in accordance with Sydney Water's requirements. Where Sydney Water mains are not available in rural areas, the backwash waters shall be managed onsite in a manner that does not cause pollution, erosion or run off, is separate from the irrigation area for any wastewater system and is separate from any onsite stormwater management system. Appropriate instructions of artificial resuscitation methods.

(d) A warning sign stating '**YOUNG CHILDREN SHOULD BE SUPERVISED WHEN USING THIS POOL**' has been installed.

(e) Signage showing resuscitation methods and emergency contact

(f) All signage shall be located in a prominent position within the pool area.

(g) Swimming pools and spas must be registered with the *Division of Local Government*.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of an Interim / Final Occupation Certificate.

Reason: To protect human life (DACPLF09)

ON-GOING CONDITIONS THAT MUST BE COMPLIED WITH AT ALL TIMES

24. **Landscape Maintenance**

If any landscape materials/components or planting under this consent fails, they are to be replaced with similar materials/components. Trees, shrubs and groundcovers required to be planted under this consent are to be mulched, watered and fertilised as required at the time of planting.

If any tree, shrub or groundcover required to be planted under this consent fails, they are to be replaced with similar species to maintain the landscape theme and be generally in accordance with the approved Landscape Plan and any conditions of consent.

All weeds are to be removed and controlled in accordance with the NSW Biosecurity Act 2015.

Reason: To maintain local environmental amenity.

25. **Swimming Pool/Spa Motor Noise**

The swimming pool / spa motor shall not produce noise levels that exceed 5dBA above the background noise when measured from the nearest property boundary.

Reason: To ensure that the development does not impact on the acoustic privacy of surrounding residential properties.

In signing this report, I declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest.

Signed



Thomas Prosser, Planner

The application is determined on 11/01/2022, under the delegated authority of:



Tony Collier, Acting Development Assessment Manager