

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

Application Number:	DA2020/1152		
Responsible Officer:	Maxwell Duncan		
Land to be developed (Address):	Lot A DP 102459, 15 Dress Circle Road AVALON BEACH NSW 2107		
Proposed Development:	Alterations and additions to a dwelling house		
Zoning:	E4 Environmental Living		
Development Permissible:	Yes		
Existing Use Rights:	No		
Consent Authority:	Northern Beaches Council		
Land and Environment Court Action:	n: No		
Owner:	David Earl Tory Wendy Tory		
Applicant:	David Earl Tory		
Application Lodged:	23/09/2020		
Integrated Development:	No		
Designated Development:	No		
State Reporting Category:	Residential - Alterations and additions		
Notified:	30/09/2020 to 14/10/2020		
Advertised:	Not Advertised		
Submissions Received:	2		

Estimated Cost of Works:	\$ 774,000.00

Nil

Approval

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IN DETAIL

The development application seeks consent for alterations and additions to the existing dwelling house.

Specifically, the works incorporate the following:

- Ground floor extension;
- Ground floor and lower ground floor internal alterations;
- Window and door alterations;
- Double garage;

Clause 4.6 Variation:

Recommendation:

- Driveway alterations;
- New verandah structure;
- Demolitions works

DA2020/1152 Page 1 of 27



Associated landscaping.

Additional Information

Additional information was requested by Council on 13 October 2020 in relation to extent of demolition proposed. The applicant provided detailed demolition plans and a report addressing the planning principle in *Coorey v Municipality of Hunters Hill [2013]* NSWLEC 790, which concerns whether a development involving demolition and redevelopment should be considered to be for a new building or merely for alterations and additions. Council's assessment of this planning principle is contained within this report. In summary, having consideration for the qualitative and quantitative issues questions it can be concluded that the level of demolition proposed is suitable characterised as alterations and additions the existing dwelling house

ASSESSMENT INTRODUCTION

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared (the subject of this report) taking into account all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, and the associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon the subject site and adjoining, surrounding and nearby properties;
- Notification to adjoining and surrounding properties, advertisement (where required) and referral
 to relevant internal and external bodies in accordance with the Act, Regulations and relevant
 Development Control Plan;
- A review and consideration of all submissions made by the public and community interest groups in relation to the application;
- A review and consideration of all documentation provided with the application (up to the time of determination);
- A review and consideration of all referral comments provided by the relevant Council Officers, State Government Authorities/Agencies and Federal Government Authorities/Agencies on the proposal.

SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT ISSUES

Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan - C1.3 View Sharing

SITE DESCRIPTION

Property Description:	Lot A DP 102459 , 15 Dress Circle Road AVALON BEACH NSW 2107
Detailed Site Description:	The subject site consists of one (1) allotment located on the south-west side of 15 Dress Circle Road.
	The site is irregular in shape with a frontage of 20.115m along Dress Circle Road and a depth of 60.96m. The site has a surveyed area of 1088m².
	The site is located within the E4 Environmental Living zone and accommodates a dwelling house.

DA2020/1152 Page 2 of 27



The site slopes up from the front boundary to the rear boundary approximately 16m

The site is in a Biodiversity zone and a geotechnical zone. It is not subject to bushfire hazard.

Detailed Description of Adjoining/Surrounding Development

Adjoining and surrounding development is characterised by residential development typically dwelling houses.



SITE HISTORY

The land has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. A search of Council's records has revealed the following relevant history:

EPA2019/0253 - Encroachment on the road reserve.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT, 1979 (EPAA)

The relevant matters for consideration under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Section 4.15 Matters for Consideration'	Comments
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(i) – Provisions of any environmental planning instrument	See discussion on "Environmental Planning Instruments" in this report.
` , ` , ` ,	Draft State Environmental Planning Policy (Remediation of Land) seeks to replace the existing SEPP No. 55 (Remediation of

DA2020/1152 Page 3 of 27



Section 4.15 Matters for Consideration'	Comments
instrument	Land). Public consultation on the draft policy was completed on 13 April 2018. The subject site has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. The proposed development retains the residential use of the site, and is not considered a contamination risk.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iii) – Provisions of any development control plan	Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan applies to this proposal.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iiia) – Provisions of any planning agreement	None applicable.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iv) – Provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 (EP&A Regulation 2000)	<u>Division 8A</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider "Prescribed conditions" of development consent. These matters have been addressed via a condition of consent.
	Clause 50(1A) of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building designer at lodgement of the development application. This clause is not relevant to this application.
	Clauses 54 and 109 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 allow Council to request additional information. Additional information was requested in relation to extent of demolition.
	Clause 92 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider AS 2601 - 1991: The Demolition of Structures. This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent.
	Clauses 93 and/or 94 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the upgrading of a building (including fire safety upgrade of development). This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent.
	Clause 98 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider insurance requirements under the Home Building Act 1989. This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent.
	Clause 98 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the provisions of the Building Code of Australia (BCA). This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent.
	Clause 143A of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building designer prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate. This clause is not relevant to this application.
Section 4.15 (1) (b) – the likely impacts of the development,	(i) Environmental Impact The environmental impacts of the proposed development on the

DA2020/1152 Page 4 of 27



Section 4.15 Matters for Consideration'	Comments
including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality	natural and built environment are addressed under the Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan section in this report. (ii) Social Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental social impact in the locality considering the character of the proposal. (iii) Economic Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental economic impact on the locality considering the nature of the existing and
	proposed land use.
Section 4.15 (1) (c) – the suitability of the site for the development	The site is considered suitable for the proposed development.
Section 4.15 (1) (d) – any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs	See discussion on "Notification & Submissions Received" in this report.
Section 4.15 (1) (e) – the public interest	No matters have arisen in this assessment that would justify the refusal of the application in the public interest.

EXISTING USE RIGHTS

Existing Use Rights are not applicable to this application.

BUSHFIRE PRONE LAND

The site is not classified as bush fire prone land.

NOTIFICATION & SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

The subject development application has been publicly exhibited from 30/09/2020 to 14/10/2020 in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 and the relevant Development Control Plan.

As a result of the public exhibition process council is in receipt of 2 submission/s from:

Name:	Address:
Mrs Judith Merryn Laidlaw	11 Dress Circle Road AVALON BEACH NSW 2107
Mr Roland Edgar McAdam	17 Dress Circle Road AVALON BEACH NSW 2107
Ms Christine Susanne Camp	

The following issues were raised in the submissions and each have been addressed below:

- Unauthorised works on road reserve.
- Bulk and Scale
- View Loss

The matters raised within the submissions are addressed as follows:

DA2020/1152 Page 5 of 27



Unauthorised works on road reserve.

Comment:

Concern is raised that unauthorised landscaping works that have been undertaken to the front of the property. Particular concern is raised to works on the Council road reserve. This matter is currently being investigated by Council's compliance department. Consent granted under this application does not include approval for any existing works to the front of the property and road reserve, except for the driveway which is subject to a section 138 application with Council. A condition of consent has been imposed as part of this application to ensure no retrospective approval is provided for these works.

Bulk and Scale

Comment:

Concern is raised in regards to the proposed west side setback and front setback of the dwelling house and the resulting undesirable presentation to the streetscape as well as amenity impacts (including solar access) to adjoining properties. The amended plans provided to Council on 4 December 2020 are compliant with the side and front setback controls. This does not warrant the refusal of the application.

View Loss

Comment:

Concern was raised in regards to the loss of an existing outlook from the adjoining property to the west No. 17 Dress Circle Road. The proposal has been assessed against the view loss provisions under Part C1.3 of the Pittwater 21 DCP and the Land and Environment Court Case of '*Tenacity Consulting Pty Ltd v Warringah Council (2004) NSWLEC 140*' in this report (refer to Part C1.3 of the PDCP section of this report). In summary, the amended proposal does not cause unreasonable view loss to and from private and public open space. Adequate view sharing is achieved.

REFERRALS

Internal Referral Body	Comments
Landscape Officer	The development application is for alterations and additions to an existing dwelling, and associated landscape works
	Council's Landscape Referral is assessed against the Pittwater Local Environment Plan clause E4 Environmental Living zone, and the following Pittwater 21 DCP Controls: • B4.22 Preservation of Trees and Bushland Vegetation • C1.1 Landscaping • D1 Avalon Beach Locality, including D1.14 Landscaped Area - Environmentally Sensitive Land
	The site is located in the E4 Environmental Living Zone, requiring development to achieve a scale integrated with the landform and landscape, and to minimise impact on the natural environment, including the retention of natural landscape features and existing trees. The existing site contains existing Spotted Gums typical of the natural environment of the locality, and a garden rejuvenated with native planting. The proposed development works do not impact upon

DA2020/1152 Page 6 of 27



Internal Referral Body	Comments
	this landscape character. No Landscape Plan is provided nor required in this instance as the works do not require the removal of existing trees and the existing gardens are retained or able to be re-established following the works. A Arboricultural Impact Assessment is provided with the application, providing recommendations for tree protection measures for existing trees within 5 metres of development works. Landscape Referral raise no objections to the proposal, subject to undertaking the recommendations of the Arboricultural Impact
NECC (Bushland and Biodiversity)	Assessment. The proposed development has been assessed against the following biodiversity controls: - Pittwater LEP Clause 7.6 (Biodiversity Protection) - Pittwater DCP Clause B4.7 (Pittwater Spotted Gum Forest - Endangered Ecological Community) The submitted arboricultural report assesses three prescribed trees potentially impacted by the development, and provides targeted tree protection measures to enable their safe retention. Subject to implementation of arborist's recommendations, the proposal is considered to comply with relevant biodiversity controls.
NECC (Development Engineering)	The submitted Geotechnical report certifies that an acceptable risk is achievable for the development. No objection to approval, subject to conditions.

External Referral Body	Comments
	The proposal was referred to Ausgrid. No response has been received within the 21 day statutory period and therefore, it is assumed that no objections are raised and no conditions are recommended.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS (EPIS)*

All, Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the merit assessment of this application.

In this regard, whilst all provisions of each Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the assessment, many provisions contained within the document are not relevant or are enacting, definitions and operational provisions which the proposal is considered to be acceptable against.

As such, an assessment is provided against the controls relevant to the merit consideration of the application hereunder.

State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) and State Regional Environmental Plans (SREPs)

DA2020/1152 Page 7 of 27



SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land

Clause 7 (1) (a) of SEPP 55 requires the Consent Authority to consider whether land is contaminated. Council records indicate that the subject site has been used for residential purposes for a significant period of time with no prior land uses. In this regard it is considered that the site poses no risk of contamination and therefore, no further consideration is required under Clause 7 (1) (b) and (c) of SEPP 55 and the land is considered to be suitable for the residential land use.

SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004

A BASIX certificate has been submitted with the application (see Certificate No. A381419 dated 1 September 2020).

A condition has been included in the recommendation of this report requiring compliance with the commitments indicated in the BASIX Certificate.

SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007

Ausgrid

Clause 45 of the SEPP requires the Consent Authority to consider any development application (or an application for modification of consent) for any development carried out:

- within or immediately adjacent to an easement for electricity purposes (whether or not the electricity infrastructure exists).
- immediately adjacent to an electricity substation.
- within 5.0m of an overhead power line.
- includes installation of a swimming pool any part of which is: within 30m of a structure supporting an overhead electricity transmission line and/or within 5.0m of an overhead electricity power line.

Comment:

The proposal was referred to Ausgrid. No response has been received within the 21 day statutory period and therefore, it is assumed that no objections are raised and no conditions are recommended.

Pittwater Local Environmental Plan 2014

Is the development permissible?	Yes	
After consideration of the merits of the proposal, is the development consistent with:		
aims of the LEP?	Yes	
zone objectives of the LEP?	Yes	

Principal Development Standards

Standard	Requirement	Proposed	% Variation	Complies

DA2020/1152 Page 8 of 27



Height of Buildings:	8.5m	6.6m	-	Yes	
----------------------	------	------	---	-----	--

Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements
1.9A Suspension of covenants, agreements and instruments	Yes
2.7 Demolition requires development consent	Yes
4.3 Height of buildings	Yes
5.10 Heritage conservation	Yes
7.1 Acid sulfate soils	Yes
7.2 Earthworks	Yes
7.6 Biodiversity protection	Yes
7.7 Geotechnical hazards	Yes
7.10 Essential services	Yes

Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan

Built Form Controls

Built Form Control	Requirement	Proposed	% Variation*	Complies
Front building line	6.5m	15.2m	-	Yes
Rear building line	6.5m	31.5m	-	Yes
Side building line	2.5m	2.5m (east)	-	Yes
	1m	1m (West)	-	Yes
Building envelope	3.5m	Within envelope	-	Yes
	3.5m	Within envelope	-	Yes
Landscaped area	60% (652.8sqm)	64.6% (703.2sqm)	-	Yes

^{*}Note: The percentage variation is calculated on the *overall* numerical variation (ie: for Landscaped area - Divide the proposed area by the numerical requirement then multiply the proposed area by 100 to equal X, then 100 minus X will equal the percentage variation. Example: 38/40 x 100 = 95 then 100 - 95 = 5% variation)

Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
A1.7 Considerations before consent is granted	Yes	Yes
A4.1 Avalon Beach Locality	Yes	Yes
B1.3 Heritage Conservation - General	Yes	Yes
B1.4 Aboriginal Heritage Significance	Yes	Yes
B3.1 Landslip Hazard	Yes	Yes
B4.7 Pittwater Spotted Gum Forest - Endangered Ecological Community	Yes	Yes
B5.15 Stormwater	Yes	Yes
B6.1 Access driveways and Works on the Public Road Reserve	Yes	Yes

DA2020/1152 Page 9 of 27



Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
B6.2 Internal Driveways	Yes	Yes
B6.3 Off-Street Vehicle Parking Requirements	Yes	Yes
B6.7 Transport and Traffic Management	Yes	Yes
B8.1 Construction and Demolition - Excavation and Landfill	Yes	Yes
B8.2 Construction and Demolition - Erosion and Sediment Management	Yes	Yes
B8.3 Construction and Demolition - Waste Minimisation	Yes	Yes
B8.4 Construction and Demolition - Site Fencing and Security	Yes	Yes
B8.5 Construction and Demolition - Works in the Public Domain	Yes	Yes
B8.6 Construction and Demolition - Traffic Management Plan	Yes	Yes
C1.1 Landscaping	Yes	Yes
C1.2 Safety and Security	Yes	Yes
C1.3 View Sharing	Yes	Yes
C1.4 Solar Access	Yes	Yes
C1.5 Visual Privacy	Yes	Yes
C1.6 Acoustic Privacy	Yes	Yes
C1.7 Private Open Space	Yes	Yes
C1.9 Adaptable Housing and Accessibility	Yes	Yes
C1.12 Waste and Recycling Facilities	Yes	Yes
C1.13 Pollution Control	Yes	Yes
C1.14 Separately Accessible Structures	Yes	Yes
C1.23 Eaves	Yes	Yes
C5.1 Landscaping	Yes	Yes
C5.17 Pollution control	Yes	Yes
C5.21 Plant, Equipment Boxes and Lift Over-Run	Yes	Yes
D1.1 Character as viewed from a public place	Yes	Yes
D1.4 Scenic protection - General	Yes	Yes
D1.5 Building colours and materials	Yes	Yes
D1.8 Front building line	Yes	Yes
D1.9 Side and rear building line	Yes	Yes
D1.11 Building envelope	Yes	Yes
D1.14 Landscaped Area - Environmentally Sensitive Land	Yes	Yes
D1.16 Fences - Flora and Fauna Conservation Areas	Yes	Yes
D1.17 Construction, Retaining walls, terracing and undercroft areas	Yes	Yes

Detailed Assessment

C1.3 View Sharing

Merit consideration

DA2020/1152 Page 10 of 27



The development is considered against the underlying Outcomes of the Control as follows:

A reasonable sharing of views amongst dwellings.

Comment:

In determining the extent of potential view loss to adjoining and nearby properties, the four (4) planning principles outlined within the Land and Environment Court Case of Tenacity Consulting Pty Ltd Vs Warringah Council (2004) NSWLEC 140, are applied to the proposal.

1. Nature of the views affected

"The first step is the assessment of the views to be affected. Water views are valued more highly than land views. Iconic views (e.g. of the Opera House, the Harbour Bridge or North Head) are valued more highly than views without icons. Whole views are valued more highly than partial views, e.g. a water view in which the interface between land and water is visible is more valuable than one in which it is obscured".

Comment to Principle 1:

No. 17 Dress Circle Road, Avalon Beach

The nature of the views affected from this property would be a outlook to canopy trees on public places and private property to the east. The views of concern are not valued as highly as water views. The views proposed to be lost are not iconic.

2. What part of the affected property are the views obtained

"The second step is to consider from what part of the property the views are obtained. For example the protection of views across side boundaries is more difficult than the protection of views from front and rear boundaries. In addition, whether the view is enjoyed from a standing or sitting position may also be relevant. Sitting views are more difficult to protect than standing views. The expectation to retain side views and sitting views is often unrealistic".

Comment to Principle 2:

No. 17 Dress Circle Road, Avalon Beach

The views from this property are obtained from a side boundary (east), which are difficult and unsustainable to protect. Views are obtained from a standing and sitting position from the first floor living room and balcony. Views are also taken from the front yard.

DA2020/1152 Page 11 of 27





Photo 1 - View from living room of No. 17 Dress Circle Road. (looking east)



Photo 2 - View from balcony of No. 17 Dress Circle Road. (looking east)

DA2020/1152 Page 12 of 27





Photo 3 - View from front year of No. 17 Dress Circle Road. (looking east)

3. Extent of impact

"The third step is to assess the extent of the impact. This should be done for the whole of the property, not just for the view that is affected. The impact on views from living areas is more significant than from bedrooms or service areas (though views from kitchens are highly valued because people spend so much time in them). The impact may be assessed quantitatively, but in many cases this can be meaningless. For example, it is unhelpful to say that the view loss is 20% if it includes one of the sails of the Opera House. It is usually more useful to assess the view loss qualitatively as negligible, minor, moderate, severe or devastating".

Comment to Principle 3:

No. 17 Dress Circle Road, Avalon Beach

Views from the first floor will be impacted to a minor extent.

4. Reasonableness of the proposal that is causing the impact

"The fourth step is to assess the reasonableness of the proposal that is causing the impact. A development that complies with all planning controls would be considered more reasonable than one that breaches them. Where an impact on views arises as a result of non-compliance with one or more planning controls, even a moderate impact may be considered unreasonable. With a complying proposal, the question should be asked whether a more skilful design could provide the applicant with the same development potential and amenity and reduce the impact on the views of neighbours. If the answer to that question is no, then the view impact of a complying development would probably be considered acceptable and the view sharing reasonable."

Comment to Principle 4:

No. 17 Dress Circle Road, Avalon Beach

The subject proposal is compliant with the Pittwater LEP 2014 development standards (Height of Buildings) as well all relevant Pittwater 21 DCP controls (Building envelope, setbacks and

DA2020/1152 Page 13 of 27



landscaped open space). The current view lines from No. 17 Dress Circle Road, over the eastern side boundary are extremely vulnerable to any form of development on the subject property. The view impact on the existing view is minor. While it is acknowledged that this property has benefited from views across the subject site based on existing conditions, the area through which the views are likely to be lost are highly vulnerable to any future development on the subject site. In consideration of this and having regard to the level of compliance with the Pittwater LEP and DCP built from controls the application is supported on merit.

• Views and vistas from roads and public places to water, headland, beach and/or bush views are to be protected, maintained and where possible, enhanced.

Comment:

The proposed development will not impact upon views and vistas from roads and public places.

• Canopy trees take priority over views.

Comment:

No canopy trees are proposed for removal.

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the relevant objectives of PLEP 2014 / P21DCP and the objectives specified in s1.3 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported, in this particular circumstance.

THREATENED SPECIES, POPULATIONS OR ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES

The proposal will not significantly affect threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.

CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN

The proposal is consistent with the principles of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design.

POLICY CONTROLS

Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2019

The proposal is subject to the application of Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2019.

A monetary contribution of \$7,740 is required for the provision of new and augmented public infrastructure. The contribution is calculated as 1% of the total development cost of \$774,000.

Planning Principle

In a merit consideration of the proposal, consideration of the development has been given against the Land and Environment Court Planning Principle in relation to determining if a development application should be described as being for additions and alterations rather than a new development detailed under Coorey v Municipality of Hunters Hill [2013] NSWLEC 1187- as follows:

Qualitative issues

DA2020/1152 Page 14 of 27



How is the appearance of the existing building to be changed when viewed from public places?	The proposed dwelling house will appear higher then the existing dwelling, with a minor change to the existing envelope.
To what extent, if any, will existing landscaping be	There is no major impact upon
removed and how will that affect the setting of the	existing landscaped open space.
building when viewed from public places?	
To what extent, if any, will the proposal impact on a heritage item, the curtilage of a heritage item or a heritage conservation area?	The subject site is not a heritage item.
What additional structures, if any, in the curtilage	The portion of the existing western
of the existing building will be demolished or	side wall is to be removed as part of
altered if the proposal is approved?	the works.
What is the extent, if any, of any proposed change to the use of the building?	The building retains a residential use.
To what extent, if any, will the proposed	There will be no major change to the
development result in any change to the	streetscape of Dress Circle Raod .
streetscape in which the building is located?	The dwelling house is readily visible from the streetscape.
To what extent, if any, are the existing access	No significant change is proposed for
arrangements for the building proposed to be altered	access to the dwelling house.
To what extent, if any, will the outlook from within	The proposal will not have any
the existing building be altered as a consequence	unreasonable impact upon outlooks
the proposed development?	from neighbouring properties.
Is the proposed demolition so extensive to cause that which remains to lose the characteristics of	Demolition is proposed, however the
the form of the existing structure?	characteristics of the existing
and term of the existing of detaile.	structure man largely the same.
Quantitative issues	
To what extent is the site coverage proposed to be changed?	The works are largely within the existing building footprint.
To what extent are any existing non-compliances	The height of buildings numeric non-
with numerical controls either increased or diminished by the proposal?	compliance will be increased by the proposed works.
To what extent is the building envelope proposed to be changed?	The building envelope will not be significantly altered.
To what extent are boundary setbacks proposed	The side boundary setback are increased to
to be changed?	the eastern and western side of the dwelling house.
To what extent will the present numerical degree	The numeric change to landscaping is
of landscaping on the site be changed	minimal.
To what extent will the existing floor space ratio be altered?	The floor space ratio will increase under this proposal. There is no FSR control under the Pittwater LEP/
To what extent will there be changes in the roof form?	The roof form of the dwelling house will not be changed
To what extent will there be alterations to car parking/garaging on the site and/or within the	No reduction to parking is proposed.

DA2020/1152 Page 15 of 27



building?	
To what extent is the existing landform proposed	No significant levels of cut or fill are proposed.
to be changed by cut and/or fill to give effect to	
the proposed development?	
What relationship does the proportion of the	While substantial works are proposed, the
retained building bear to the proposed new	existing structure and overall shape of the
development?	existing building is mostly retained.

Having regard to the extent of the works proposed, consideration was given to the planning principle *Coorey v Municipality of Hunters Hill [2013]* NSWLEC 1187. An assessment against the matters set out in *Coorey v Municipality of Hunters Hill [2013]* NSWLEC 1187 determined that the application is correctly characterized as alterations and additions.

CONCLUSION

The site has been inspected and the application assessed having regard to all documentation submitted by the applicant and the provisions of:

- Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;
- Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000;
- All relevant and draft Environmental Planning Instruments;
- Pittwater Local Environment Plan;
- Pittwater Development Control Plan; and
- Codes and Policies of Council.

This assessment has taken into consideration the submitted plans, Statement of Environmental Effects, all other documentation supporting the application and public submissions, and does not result in any unreasonable impacts on surrounding, adjoining, adjacent and nearby properties subject to the conditions contained within the recommendation.

In consideration of the proposal and the merit consideration of the development, the proposal is considered to be:

- Consistent with the objectives of the DCP
- Consistent with the zone objectives of the LEP
- Consistent with the aims of the LEP
- Consistent with the objectives of the relevant EPIs
- Consistent with the objects of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

It is considered that the proposed development satisfies the appropriate controls and that all processes and assessments have been satisfactorily addressed.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council as the consent authority grant Development Consent to DA2020/1152 for Alterations and additions to a dwelling house on land at Lot A DP 102459, 15 Dress Circle Road, AVALON BEACH, subject to the conditions printed below:

DA2020/1152 Page 16 of 27



DEVELOPMENT CONSENT OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS

1. Approved Plans and Supporting Documentation

The development must be carried out in compliance (except as amended by any other condition of consent) with the following:

a) Approved Plans

Architectural Plans - Endorsed with Council's stamp			
Drawing No.	Dated	Prepared By	
D 03	3 December 2020	David Tory	
D 04	3 December 2020	David Tory	
D 05	3 December 2020	David Tory	
D 06	3 December 2020	David Tory	
D 07	3 December 2020	David Tory	
D 08	3 December 2020	David Tory	
D 09	3 December 2020	David Tory	
D 10C	20 November 2020	David Tory	
D 11	3 December 2020	David Tory	
D 21	11 September 2020	David Tory	
D 22	11 September 2020	David Tory	

Reports / Documentation – All recommendations and requirements contained within:			
Report No. / Page No. / Section No. Dated Prepared By			
Arboricultural Impact Assessment	July 2020	Alex Austin	
Geotechnical Report	December 2019	Crozier Geotechnical Consultants	
BASIX Certificate No. A381419	1 September 2020	David Tory & Associates Architects	

- b) Any plans and / or documentation submitted to satisfy the Conditions of this consent.
- c) The development is to be undertaken generally in accordance with the following:

Landscape Plans		
Drawing No.	Dated	Prepared By
D16(a)	3 December 2020	David Tory

Waste Management Plan		
Drawing No/Title.	Dated	Prepared By
Waste Management Plan	13 September 2020	David Tory

In the event of any inconsistency between conditions of this consent and the drawings/documents referred to above, the conditions of this consent will prevail.

DA2020/1152 Page 17 of 27



Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination of Council and approved plans.

2. Prescribed Conditions

- (a) All building works must be carried out in accordance with the requirements of the Building Code of Australia (BCA).
- (b) BASIX affected development must comply with the schedule of BASIX commitments specified within the submitted BASIX Certificate (demonstrated compliance upon plans/specifications is required prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate);
- (c) A sign must be erected in a prominent position on any site on which building work, subdivision work or demolition work is being carried out:
 - (i) showing the name, address and telephone number of the Principal Certifying Authority for the work, and
 - (ii) showing the name of the principal contractor (if any) for any building work and a telephone number on which that person may be contacted outside working hours, and
 - (iii) stating that unauthorised entry to the work site is prohibited.

Any such sign is to be maintained while the building work, subdivision work or demolition work is being carried out, but must be removed when the work has been completed.

- (d) Residential building work within the meaning of the Home Building Act 1989 must not be carried out unless the Principal Certifying Authority for the development to which the work relates (not being the Council) has given the Council written notice of the following information:
 - (i) in the case of work for which a principal contractor is required to be appointed:
 - A. the name and licence number of the principal contractor, and
 - B. the name of the insurer by which the work is insured under Part 6 of that Act,
 - (ii) in the case of work to be done by an owner-builder:
 - A. the name of the owner-builder, and
 - B. if the owner-builder is required to hold an owner-builder permit under that Act, the number of the owner-builder permit.

If arrangements for doing the residential building work are changed while the work is in progress so that the information notified under becomes out of date, further work must not be carried out unless the Principal Certifying Authority for the development to which the work relates (not being the Council) has given the Council written notice of the updated information.

- (e) Development that involves an excavation that extends below the level of the base of the footings of a building on adjoining land, the person having the benefit of the development consent must, at the person's own expense:
 - (i) protect and support the adjoining premises from possible damage from the excavation, and
 - (ii) where necessary, underpin the adjoining premises to prevent any such damage.
 - (iii) must, at least 7 days before excavating below the level of the base of the footings of a building on an adjoining allotment of land, give notice of intention to do so to the owner of the adjoining allotment of land and furnish particulars of the excavation to the owner of the building being erected or demolished.

DA2020/1152 Page 18 of 27



(iv) the owner of the adjoining allotment of land is not liable for any part of the cost of work carried out for the purposes of this clause, whether carried out on the allotment of land being excavated or on the adjoining allotment of land.

In this clause, allotment of land includes a public road and any other public place.

Reason: Legislative requirement.

3. General Requirements

(a) Unless authorised by Council:
Building construction and delivery of material hours are restricted to:

- 7.00 am to 5.00 pm inclusive Monday to Friday,
- 8.00 am to 1.00 pm inclusive on Saturday,
- No work on Sundays and Public Holidays.

Demolition and excavation works are restricted to:

8.00 am to 5.00 pm Monday to Friday only.

(Excavation work includes the use of any excavation machinery and the use of jackhammers, rock breakers, excavators, loaders and the like, regardless of whether the activities disturb or alter the natural state of the existing ground stratum or are breaking up/removing materials from the site).

- (b) Should any asbestos be uncovered on site, its demolition and removal must be carried out in accordance with WorkCover requirements and the relevant Australian Standards.
- (c) At all times after the submission the Notice of Commencement to Council, a copy of the Development Consent and Construction Certificate is to remain onsite at all times until the issue of a final Occupation Certificate. The consent shall be available for perusal of any Authorised Officer.
- (d) Where demolition works have been completed and new construction works have not commenced within 4 weeks of the completion of the demolition works that area affected by the demolition works shall be fully stabilised and the site must be maintained in a safe and clean state until such time as new construction works commence
- (e) Onsite toilet facilities (being either connected to the sewer or an accredited sewer management facility) for workers are to be provided for construction sites at a rate of 1 per 20 persons.
- (f) Prior to the release of the Construction Certificate, payment of the Long Service Levy is required. This payment can be made at Council or to the Long Services Payments Corporation. Payment is not required where the value of the works is less than \$25,000. The Long Service Levy is calculated on 0.35% of the building and construction work. The levy rate and level in which it applies is subject to legislative change. The applicable fee at the time of payment of the Long Service Levy will apply.
- (g) The applicant shall bear the cost of all works associated with the development that occurs on Council's property.
- (h) No skip bins, building materials, demolition or excavation waste of any nature, and no hoist, plant or machinery (crane, concrete pump or lift) shall be placed on Council's footpaths, roadways, parks or grass verges without Council Approval.

DA2020/1152 Page 19 of 27



- (i) Demolition materials and builders' wastes are to be removed to approved waste/recycling centres.
- (j) No trees or native shrubs or understorey vegetation on public property (footpaths, roads, reserves, etc.) or on the land to be developed shall be removed or damaged during construction unless specifically approved in this consent including for the erection of any fences, hoardings or other temporary works.
- (k) Prior to the commencement of any development onsite for:
 - i) Building/s that are to be erected
 - ii) Building/s that are situated in the immediate vicinity of a public place and is dangerous to persons or property on or in the public place
 - iii) Building/s that are to be demolished
 - iv) For any work/s that is to be carried out
 - v) For any work/s that is to be demolished

The person responsible for the development site is to erect or install on or around the development area such temporary structures or appliances (wholly within the development site) as are necessary to protect persons or property and to prevent unauthorised access to the site in order for the land or premises to be maintained in a safe or healthy condition. Upon completion of the development, such temporary structures or appliances are to be removed within 7 days.

- (I) A "Road Opening Permit" must be obtained from Council, and all appropriate charges paid, prior to commencement of any work on Council property. The owner/applicant shall be responsible for all public utilities and services in the area of the work, shall notify all relevant Authorities, and bear all costs associated with any repairs and/or adjustments as those Authorities may deem necessary.
- (m) The works must comply with the relevant Ausgrid Network Standards and SafeWork NSW Codes of Practice.
- (n) Requirements for new swimming pools/spas or existing swimming pools/spas affected by building works.
 - (1) Child resistant fencing is to be provided to any swimming pool or lockable cover to any spa containing water and is to be consistent with the following;

Relevant legislative requirements and relevant Australian Standards (including but not limited) to:

- (i) Swimming Pools Act 1992
- (ii) Swimming Pools Amendment Act 2009
- (iii) Swimming Pools Regulation 2018
- (iv) Australian Standard AS1926 Swimming Pool Safety
- (v) Australian Standard AS1926.1 Part 1: Safety barriers for swimming pools
- (vi) Australian Standard AS1926.2 Part 2: Location of safety barriers for swimming pools.
- (2) A 'KEEP WATCH' pool safety and aquatic based emergency sign, issued by Royal Life Saving is to be displayed in a prominent position within the pool/spa area.
- (3) Filter backwash waters shall be conveyed to the Sydney Water sewerage system in sewered areas or managed on-site in unsewered areas in a manner that does not cause pollution, erosion or run off, is separate from the irrigation area for any wastewater system and is separate from any onsite stormwater

DA2020/1152 Page 20 of 27



management system.

(4) Swimming pools and spas must be registered with the Division of Local Government.

Reason: To ensure that works do not interfere with reasonable amenity expectations of residents and the community.

4. Unauthorised works

No consent is given for any works within the Council road reserve.

Reason: To ensure compliance with the terms of this consent.

FEES / CHARGES / CONTRIBUTIONS

5. **Policy Controls**

Northern Beaches 7.12 Contributions Plan 2019

A monetary contribution of \$7,740.00 is payable to Northern Beaches Council for the provision of local infrastructure and services pursuant to section 7.12 of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979 and the Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2019. The monetary contribution is based on a development cost of \$774,000.00.

The monetary contribution is to be paid prior to the issue of the first Construction Certificate or Subdivision Certificate whichever occurs first, or prior to the issue of the Subdivision Certificate where no Construction Certificate is required. If the monetary contribution (total or in part) remains unpaid after the financial quarter that the development consent is issued, the amount unpaid (whether it be the full cash contribution or part thereof) will be adjusted on a quarterly basis in accordance with the applicable Consumer Price Index. If this situation applies, the cash contribution payable for this development will be the total unpaid monetary contribution as adjusted.

The proponent shall provide to the Certifying Authority written evidence (receipt/s) from Council that the total monetary contribution has been paid.

The Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2019 may be inspected at 725 Pittwater Rd, Dee Why and at Council's Customer Service Centres or alternatively, on Council's website at www.northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au

This fee must be paid prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate. Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority.

Reason: To provide for contributions in accordance with the Contribution Plan to fund the provision of new or augmented local infrastructure and services.

6. Security Bond

A bond (determined from cost of works) of \$2,000 and an inspection fee in accordance with Council's Fees and Charges paid as security are required to ensure the rectification of any damage that may occur to the Council infrastructure contained within the road reserve adjoining the site as a result of construction or the transportation of materials and equipment to and from the development site.

DA2020/1152 Page 21 of 27



An inspection fee in accordance with Council adopted fees and charges (at the time of payment) is payable for each kerb inspection as determined by Council (minimum (1) one inspection).

All bonds and fees shall be deposited with Council prior to Construction Certificate or demolition work commencing, and details demonstrating payment are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

To process the inspection fee and bond payment a Bond Lodgement Form must be completed with the payments (a copy of the form is attached to this consent and alternatively a copy is located on Council's website at www.northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au).

Reason: To ensure adequate protection of Council's infrastructure.

CONDITIONS TO BE SATISFIED PRIOR TO THE ISSUE OF THE CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATE

7. Geotechnical Report Recommendations have been Incorporated into Designs and Structural Plans

The recommendations of the risk assessment required to manage the hazards as identified in the Geotechnical Report prepared by Crozier geotechnical consultants dated December 2019 are to be incorporated into the construction plans. Prior to issue of the Construction Certificate, Form 2 of the Geotechnical Risk Management Policy for Pittwater (Appendix 5 of P21 DCP) is to be completed and submitted to the Accredited Certifier. Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure geotechnical risk is mitigated appropriately.

8. **Boundary Identification Survey**

A boundary identification survey, prepared by a Registered Surveyor, is to be prepared in respect of the subject site.

The plans submitted for the Construction Certificate are to accurately reflect the property boundaries as shown on the boundary identification survey, with setbacks between the property boundaries and the approved works consistent with those nominated on the Approved Plans of this consent.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of any Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure all approved works are constructed within the subject site and in a manner anticipated by the development consent.

9. Vehicle Driveway Gradients

The Applicant is to ensure driveway gradients within the private property are not to exceed a gradient of 1 in 4 (25%) with a transition gradient of 1 in 10 (10%) for 1.5 metres prior to a level parking facility and shall comply with AS/NZS 28901:2004. The existing driveway within the road reserve (footpath area) shall not be altered.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority for approval prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure suitable vehicular access to private property.

DA2020/1152 Page 22 of 27



10. Vehicle Crossings Application

The Applicant is to submit an application for driveway levels with Council in accordance with Section 138 of the Roads Act 1993. The fee associated with the assessment and approval of the application is to be in accordance with Council's Fee and Charges.

An approval is to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To facilitate suitable vehicular access to private property.

11. External Finishes to Roof

The external finish to any new roof shall have a medium to dark range (BCA classification M and D) in order to minimise solar reflections to neighbouring properties. Any roof with a metallic steel finish is not permitted.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure that excessive glare or reflectivity nuisance does not occur as a result of the development. (DACPLC03)

12. Sydney Water "Tap In"

The approved plans must be submitted to the Sydney Water Tap in service, prior to works commencing, to determine whether the development will affect any Sydney Water assets and/or easements. The appropriately stamped plans must then be submitted to the Certifying Authority demonstrating the works are in compliance with Sydney Water requirements.

Please refer to the website www.sydneywater.com.au for:

- "Tap in" details see http://www.sydneywater.com.au/tapin
- Guidelines for Building Over/Adjacent to Sydney Water Assets.

Or telephone 13 000 TAP IN (1300 082 746).

Reason: To ensure compliance with the statutory requirements of Sydney Water.

CONDITIONS TO BE COMPLIED WITH DURING DEMOLITION AND BUILDING WORK

13. Road Reserve

The applicant shall ensure the public footways and roadways adjacent to the site are maintained in a safe condition at all times during the course of the work.

Reason: Public safety.

14. Removing, Handling and Disposing of Asbestos

Any asbestos material arising from the demolition process shall be removed and disposed of in accordance with the following requirements:

- Work Health and Safety Act;
- Work Health and Safety Regulation;
- o Code of Practice for the Safe Removal of Asbestos [NOHSC:2002 (1998)];
- Guide to the Control of Asbestos Hazards in Buildings and Structures [NOHSC: 3002 (1998);
- Clause 42 of the Protection of the Environment Operations (Waste) Regulation 2005;

DA2020/1152 Page 23 of 27



and

The demolition must be undertaken in accordance with Australian Standard AS2601 –
 The Demolition of Structures.

Reason: For the protection of the environment and human health.

15. **Demolition Works - Asbestos**

Demolition works must be carried out in compliance with WorkCover Short Guide to Working with Asbestos Cement and Australian Standard AS 2601 2001 The Demolition of Structures.

The site must be provided with a sign containing the words DANGER ASBESTOS REMOVAL IN PROGRESS measuring not less than 400 mm x 300 mm and be erected in a prominent visible position on the site. The sign is to be erected prior to demolition work commencing and is to remain in place until such time as all asbestos cement has been removed from the site and disposed to a lawful waste disposal facility.

All asbestos laden waste, including flat, corrugated or profiled asbestos cement sheets must be disposed of at a lawful waste disposal facility. Upon completion of tipping operations the applicant must lodge to the Principal Certifying Authority, all receipts issued by the receiving tip as evidence of proper disposal.

Adjoining property owners are to be given at least seven (7) days' notice in writing of the intention to disturb and remove asbestos from the development site.

Reason: To ensure the long term health of workers on site and occupants of the building is not put at risk unnecessarily.

16. Survey Certificate

A survey certificate prepared by a Registered Surveyor at the following stages of construction:

- (a) Commencement of perimeter walls columns and or other structural elements to ensure the wall or structure, to boundary setbacks are in accordance with the approved details.
- (b) At ground level to ensure the finished floor levels are in accordance with the approved levels, prior to concrete slab being poured/flooring being laid.
- (c) At completion of the roof frame confirming the finished roof/ridge height is in accordance with levels indicated on the approved plans.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority.

Reason: To determine the height of buildings under construction comply with levels shown on approved plans.

17. Tree and vegetation protection

- a) Existing trees and vegetation shall be retained and protected, including:
- i) all trees and vegetation within the site, excluding exempt trees and vegetation under the relevant planning instruments of legislation,
- ii) all trees and vegetation located on adjoining properties,
- iii) all road reserve trees and vegetation.
- b) Tree protection shall be undertaken as follows:
- i) tree protection shall be in accordance with Australian Standard 4970-2009 Protection of Trees

DA2020/1152 Page 24 of 27



- on Development Sites, including the provision of temporary fencing to protect existing trees within 5 metres of development,
- ii) existing ground levels shall be maintained within the tree protection zone of trees to be retained, unless authorised by an Arborist with minimum AQF Level 5 in arboriculture,
- iii) removal of existing tree roots at or >25mm (\emptyset) diameter is not permitted without consultation with an Arborist with minimum AQF Level 5 in arboriculture,
- iv) no excavated material, building material storage, site facilities, nor landscape materials are to be placed within the canopy dripline of trees and other vegetation required to be retained,
- v) structures are to bridge tree roots at or >25mm (\emptyset) diameter unless directed by an Arborist with minimum AQF Level 5 in arboriculture on site,
- vi) excavation for stormwater lines and all other utility services is not permitted within the tree protection zone, without consultation with an Arborist with minimum AQF Level 5 in arboriculture including advice on root protection measures,
- vii) should either or all of v), vi) and vii) occur during site establishment and construction works, an Arborist with minimum AQF Level 5 in arboriculture shall provide recommendations for tree protection measures. Details including photographic evidence of works undertaken shall be submitted by the Arborist to the Certifying Authority,
- viii) any temporary access to, or location of scaffolding within the tree protection zone of a protected tree or any other tree to be retained during the construction works is to be undertaken using the protection measures specified in sections 4.5.3 and 4.5.6 of Australian Standard 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites,
- ix) the activities listed in section 4.2 of Australian Standard 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites shall not occur within the tree protection zone of any tree on the lot or any tree on an adjoining site,
- x) tree pruning from within the site to enable approved works shall not exceed 10% of any tree canopy, and shall be in accordance with Australian Standard 4373-2007 Pruning of Amenity Trees,
- xi) the tree protection measures specified in this clause must: i) be in place before work commences on the site, and ii) be maintained in good condition during the construction period, and iii) remain in place for the duration of the construction works.
- c) Tree protection shall specifically be undertaken in accordance with the recommendations in the Arboricultural Impact Assessment for existing trees identified as T1, T2, and T3, as listed in the following sections:
- i) 10 Measures to minimise impacts to retained trees,
- ii) 14 Tree Protection Plan.

The Certifying Authority must ensure that:

d) The activities listed in section 4.2 of Australian Standard 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites, do not occur within the tree protection zone of any tree, and any temporary access to, or location of scaffolding within the tree protection zone of a protected tree, or any other tree to be retained on the site during the construction, is undertaken using the protection measures specified in sections 4.5.3 and 4.5.6 of that standard.

Note: All street trees within the road verge and trees within private property are protected under Northern Beaches Council development control plans, except where Council's written consent for removal has been obtained. The felling, lopping, topping, ringbarking, or removal of any tree (s) is prohibited.

Reason: tree and vegetation protection.

18. **Protection of street trees**

All existing street trees in the vicinity of the works shall be retained during all construction

DA2020/1152 Page 25 of 27



stages, and the street tree fronting the development site, identified as T3 in the Arboricultural Impact Assessment, shall be protected by tree protection fencing in accordance with Australian Standard 4687-2007 Temporary Fencing and Hoardings, and in accordance with Section 4 of Australian Standard 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites.

As a minimum the fencing shall consist of 1 standard 2.4m panel length to four sides, joining the tree protection fence shown in section 14 Tree Protection Plan, and located to allow for unrestricted and safe pedestrian access upon the road verge.

Should any problems arise with regard to the existing or proposed trees on public land during construction, Council's Tree Services section is to be contacted immediately to resolve the matter to Council's satisfaction and at the cost of the applicant.

Reason: tree protection.

CONDITIONS WHICH MUST BE COMPLIED WITH PRIOR TO THE ISSUE OF THE OCCUPATION CERTIFICATE

19. Stormwater Disposal

The stormwater drainage works shall be certified as compliant with all relevant Australian Standards and Codes by a suitably qualified person. Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority prior to the issue of any interim / final Occupation Certificate.

Reason: To ensure appropriate provision for the disposal of stormwater arising from the development.

20. Certification driveway and Parking Facility

The Applicant shall submit a Civil Engineers' certificate certifying that the parking and driveway facility was constructed in accordance within this development consent and the provisions of Australian/New Zealand Standard AS/NZS 2890.1:2004 parking facilities - Off-street car parking.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the final Occupation.

Reason: Compliance with this consent.

21. Retaining wall

The retaining wall works shall be certified as compliant with all relevant Australian Standards and Codes by a Structural Engineer. Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority prior to the issue of any final Occupation Certificate.

Reason: Public and Private Safety

ON-GOING CONDITIONS THAT MUST BE COMPLIED WITH AT ALL TIMES

22. No Planting Environmental Weeds

No environmental weeds are to be planted on the site. Information on weeds of the Northern Beaches can be found at the NSW WeedWise website (http://weeds.dpi.nsw.gov.au/).

Reason: Weed management.

DA2020/1152 Page 26 of 27



23. Dead or Injured Wildlife

If construction activity associated with this development results in injury or death of a native mammal, bird, reptile or amphibian, a registered wildlife rescue and rehabilitation organisation must be contacted for advice.

Reason: To mitigate potential impacts to native wildlife resulting from construction activity.

In signing this report, I declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest.

Signed

Maxwell Duncan, Planner

The application is determined on 20/01/2021, under the delegated authority of:

Anna Williams, Manager Development Assessments

DA2020/1152 Page 27 of 27