TREE APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

Application No. DA2012/0921

Proposal Description: Tree Application

Legal Address: Lot 1 DP 706230

Property Address: 932 Pittwater Road DEE WHY NSW 2099

.,,	
Assessment Officer:	Anthony Powe
Notification Required?	Yes (14 days) No
	As per section A.7 Notification (unless a heritage item) Warringah Development Control Plan.
Applicable Controls:	EPA Act 1979
	EPA Regulations 2000
	W WLEP 2011
	₩ DCP
SEPPs: Applicable?:	□ Yes No
REPs: Applicable?:	□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}
LEPs Applicable?	✓ Yes No
Consideration of Warringah Local Environmental Plan 20	011 (WLEP 2011)
Land Use Zone	R3 Medium Density residential
Aims and Objectives consistent with the zone objectives	Yes No
WLEP 2011 Permissible or Prohibited Land use:	Permissible

Does t	he proposed	development mee	et the objectives o	f CL 5.9 WLEP 2011	1 "Preservation of	Trees or Vegetation"
--------	-------------	-----------------	---------------------	--------------------	--------------------	----------------------

_	_
∀	
Yes, subject to condition	_ No

To use this inspection criteria: Bold highlight denotes code, where there is no bold, check the accompanying notes and use the appropriate code or insert the necessary information.

Information Category	No 1
Species	Various Eucalypt & Melaleuca
Remnant/Planted/ Self sown	P
Special significance	
Age class Y/S/M/O	Υ
Tree height (m)	5m
Average crown diameter (m)	2
Crown condition 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5	5
Root zone	M
Defects	N/A
Services/adjacent structures	Bu
Failure potential 1, 2, 3, 4	1
Size of defective part 1, 2, 3, 4	1
Target rating 1, 2, 3, 4	4

Hazard Rating (-/12)	6
Recommendations	
Danier Trans	5
Remove Tree	R
Pruning	
Repair/replace surface	
Root pruning/root barrier	
Replanting required	
Other	

Consideration of Warringah Development Control Plan (A	Adopted on 8/6/2010 and effective as of 9/12/2011)	
D1	Compliant?	
Landscaped Open Space and Bushland Setting	▼ Yes □ No	
E1 Private Property Tree Management	Yes No	
E2 Prescribed Vegetation	Yes No	
E3 Threatened species, populations, ecological communities listed under State or Commonwealth legislation, or High Conservation Habitat	Yes No N/A	
E6 Retaining Unique Environmental Features on Site	Yes No	
E8 Waterways and Riparian Lands	☐ Yes ☐ No ☑ N/A	
Built Form Controls under WLEP 2011: Applicable?	Yes No	
Consideration of Removal of Tree Test (WDCP Appendix 8)	Tree No 1	
Does the tree pose an unacceptable risk that cannot be adequately of appropriately managed by arboricultural treatment or other risk management measures? All possible methods to mange the risk other than tree removal have been considered prior to issuing consent for the removal of a tree.	Yes No	
Is the tree in a diseased condition that cannot be corrected by prunir or other arboricultural treatment? And all possible options for manag the diseased condition have been considered prior to issuing conser for the removal of a tree.	ing Yes No	
The remaining life expectancy of the tree has been identified to be let han 5 years therefore consent for the removal of the tree is justified subject to replacement planting.		
Is the tree significantly affecting public or private property by way of presence/location or growth?		
Have all abatement options been considered and removal of the tree the only option to avoid further conflict.		
Is the tree likely to succumb to major injury as a result of public infrastructure work where all alternatives such as relocation or reconfiguration of the works have been considered?	Yes No	
Is the tree located in an area required for a Proposed Driveway Crossings, Private Structures or Works affecting Public Land?	Yes No	

Is Council satisfied that the proposal would maximize public benefit, that there is no reasonable alternative to removing the tree, and would not have any adverse heritage, pedestrian, streetscape or traffic impacts.	Yes No
Consideration of Tree Retention Assessment (WDCP Appendix 9)	Tree No 1
Tree Retention Assessment: Applicable? (Refer to table 1)	Yes No
	[™] N/A
Is Council satisfied that the balance between economic imperatives of land development and the preservation of natural features is achieved?	Yes No
	□ _{N/A}
Consideration of Class 2- 9 Buildings (WDCP Appendix 11)	Tree No 1
Consideration of Appendix 11 Class 2- 9 Buildings: Applicable?	☐ Yes ☐ No
	N/A
Consideration of a Tree Protection Plan (WDCP Appendix 12)	Tree No 1
Tree Protection Plan: Applicable?	Yes No
	□ _{N/A}
Conclusion	Tree No 1
Based on the above matters, the assessment against the Environmental Planning Instrument Provisions, and the Development Control Plan, is the removal of the Tree Warranted / Justified in the circumstances of the case?	Yes No

Table 1. Tree Retention Assessment (WDCP Appendix 9)

Information Category	Tree No 1
Step 1: Sustainability of the tree	
Greater than 40 years	
from 15 to 40 years	▽
from 5 to 15 years	
less than 5 years	
Dead or hazardous	
Step 2: Landscape Significance	5
1, 2, 3, 4, 5	
Step 3: Retention Value	
High	
Moderate	
Low	₩.
Very Low	

SECTION 79C EPA ACT 1979		
	all relevant provisions of any relevant environmental	
planning instrument?		Yes No
Section 79C (1) (a)(ii) – Have you considered environmental planning instrument	all relevant provisions of any provisions of any draft	□ Yes □ No ▼ N/A
Section 79C (1) (a)(iii) – Have you considered development control plan	l all relevant provisions of any provisions of any	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (a)(iiia) - Have you considered Draft Planning Agreement	d all relevant provisions of any Planning Agreement or	Yes No N/A
Section 79C (1) (a)(iv) - Have you considered	all relevant provisions of any Regulations?	Yes No
	the development, including environmental impacts on ind economic impacts in the locality acceptable?	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (c) – It the site suitable for the	e development?	
		Yes No
Section 79C (1) (d) – Have you considered an EPA Regs?	ny submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or	Yes No
APPLICATION DETERMINATION Conclusion:		
and policies of Council. This assessment has taken into consideration the submitted plans, Statement of Environmental Effects, all other documentation supporting the application and public submissions and the proposed development is considered to be:		
.		
Yes, subject to condition		
Unsatisfactory		
Recommendation:		
That Council as the consent authority		
GRANT DEVELOPMENT CONSENT to the development application subject to the conditions detailed within the associated notice of determination;		
REFUSE development consent to the development application subject to the reasons detailed within the associated notice of determination.		
"I am aware of Warringah's Code of Conduct and, in signing this report, declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest"		
The application is determined under the delegated authority of:		
Anthony Powe	Date 17 August 2012	

Explanatory Criteria for Tree Inspection Schedule within Assessment Report

Note: The detail below is general and is provided in good faith as a guide to assist persons reviewing the assessment report understand and interpret the assessment and a determination which may include the removal of a tree outside the criteria set can be for reasons beyond technical consideration and can be based on the expertise of the Council Officer conducting the assessment. If you require clarification or have any questions, please contact Council's Planning and Development Tree Assessment Officer.

Key	Criteria	Comments
Tree No.	Must relate to the number on your site diagram	
Species	May be coded – include a key to the codes; botanical names and common names in key. (eg Lc = Lophostemon confertus Brush Box)	
Remnant/ Planted / Self sown	Self explanatory; of use when negotiating cost sharing for line clearing operations	
Special Significance	A Aboriginal C Commemorative Ha Habitat Hi Historic M Memorial R Rare U Unique form O Other	This may require specialist knowledge
Age Class	Y Young = recently planted S Semi mature (<20% of life expectancy) M Mature (20-80% of life expectancy) O Over-mature (>80% of life expectancy)	
Height	In metres	
Spread	Average diameter of canopy in metres	
Crown	Overall vigour and vitality 0 Dead 1 Severe decline (<20% canopy; major dead wood 2 Declining (20-60% canopy density; twig and branch dieback) 3 Average/low vigour (60-90% canopy density; twig dieback) 4 Good (90-100% crown cover; little or no dieback or other problems 5 Excellent (100% crown cover, no deadwood or other problems)	This requires knowledge of species
Failure Potential	Identifies the most likely failure and rates the likelihood that the structural defect(s) will result in failure within the inspection period. 1. Low – defects are minor (eg dieback of twigs, small wounds with good wound wood development) 2. Medium – defects are present and obvious (eg cavity encompassing 10-25% of the circumference of the trunk) 3. High – numerous and/or significant defects present (eg cavity encompassing 30-50% of the circumference of the trunk, major bark inclusions) 4. Severe – defects are very severe (eg heart rot fruiting bodies, cavity encompassing more than 50% of the trunk)	This requires specialist knowledge
Size of Defective Plant	Rates the size of the part most likely to fail. The larger the part that fails, the greater the potential for damage. 1. Most likely failure less than 150mm in diameter 2. Most likely failure 150-450mm in diameter 3. Most likely failure 450-750mm in diameter 4. Most likely failure more than 750mm in diameter	

Key	Criteria	Comments
Target Rating*	Rates the use and occupancy of the area that would be struck by the defective part. 1. Occasional use (eg jogging/cycle track) 2. Intermittent use (picnic area, day use parking) 3. Frequent use, secondary structure (eg seasonal camping area, storage facilities) 4. Constant use, structures (eg year-round use for a number of hours each day, residences)	
Hazard Rating*	Failure potential + size of part + target rating. Add each of the above sections for a number out of 12.	The final number identifies the degree of risk. The next step is to determine a management strategy. A rating in this column does not condemn a tree but may indicate the need for more investigation and a risk management strategy.
Root Zone	C Compaction D Damaged / wounded roots (eg by mowers E Exposed Roots Ga Trees in Garden Bed Gi Girdled Roots Gr Grass K Kerb close to tree L+ Raised soil level L - Lowered soil level M Mulched Pa Paving / concrete / bitumen Pr Roots pruned O Other	More than one of these may apply
Defects	B Borers C Cavity D Decay PF Previous Failures I Inclusions L Lopped M Mistletoe / Parasites S Splits / cracks T Termites F Fungi E Epicormics MD Mechanical Damage O Other	More than one of these may apply
Services / adjacent structures	Bs Bus stop Bu Building within 3m HVo High voltage open-wire construction HVb High voltage bundled (ABC) LVo Low voltage open-wire construction LVb Low voltage bundled (ABC) Na No services above Nb No services above ground Si Signage SI Street light T Transmission lines (>33KV) U Underground services O Other	More than one of these may apply