
Sent: 28/01/2021 11:01:03 PM
Subject: Online Submission

28/01/2021

MS ROBYN MCDONALD
3 / 64 - 66 Pacific Parade PDE
DEE WHY NSW 2099
mcrobbie2015@outlook.com

RE: DA2020/1597 - 67 Pacific Parade DEE WHY NSW 2099

Submission regarding DA2020/1597

Please accept this as my objection to the proposed development of 67 Pacific Parade Dee Why. I am the resident owner of a unit across the road at 64-66 Pacific Parade. I was living here when the construction took place on the corner of The Crescent and Pacific Parade and the noise from the rock removal was considerable and took a long time.

1. Parking - in the twenty years of living here I have personal experience with regards to the lack of street parking within Dee Why and specifically with the streets around 67 Pacific Parade, it is obvious that the person who counted those spaces did not do so during hours when people would be at home. my visitors have had to park several blocks away and walked 10-15 minutes back including parking in the car park near St Kevins. The GoGet cars also take up any spare parking in our streets.

2. I have concerns regarding the size of the development and such small rooms which are actually less than 25m². To not have at least one car space per unit and no visitor parking is unreasonable and the cost per room seems at odds for people on low income.

3. I also have concerns about the open deck which could have up to at least 52 people on it and with their friends would be very noisy for neighbours and the neighbourhood.

4. Traffic has progressively gotten heavier in the 20 years I have lived here. especially since the development of the Grand and other large unit blocks along Pacific Parade. It gets more and more dangerous leaving drive ways especially this close to the traffic lights especially if trying to right in either direction,

In closing there are currently a further 3 unit blocks under construction within a kilometre of this development - two on Pittwater Road and one at Dee Why Point end of Pacific Parade will also add to traffic and parking especially the 2 on Pittwater Road as their visitors or second cars cannot park on Pittwater Road.

I do not have any problems with development of the block with units that are appropriate for the size of the land. Low income units should be constructed but this is not the street for this type of construction.

I would also like to know who would be the owner of this boarding house and be running it.