

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

Application Number:	DA2020/0279	
Responsible Officer: Kye Miles		
Land to be developed (Address):	Lot 55 DP 28862, 6 Monterey Road BILGOLA PLATEAU NSW 2107	
Proposed Development:	Alterations and additions to a dwelling house	
Zoning:	E4 Environmental Living	
Development Permissible:	Yes	
Existing Use Rights:	No	
Consent Authority:	Northern Beaches Council	
Land and Environment Court Action:	No	
Owner:	Sally Catherine Gibson Kingsley Phillip Gibson	
Applicant:	Arclab Pty Ltd	
Application Lodged:	19/03/2020	
Integrated Development:	No	
Designated Development:	No	
State Reporting Category:	Residential - Alterations and additions	
Notified:	02/04/2020 to 16/04/2020	
Advertised:	Not Advertised	
Submissions Received:	2	
Clause 4.6 Variation:	Nil	
Recommendation:	Approval	
Estimated Cost of Works:	\$ 202,000.00	

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IN DETAIL

The proposal seeks consent for alterations and additions to the existing dwelling house at No. 6 Monterey Road. The works comprise of:

- Rear extension, which will provide a guest bedroom, ensuite, laundry and outdoor entertaining area:
- Rear ground floor pergola; and
- Pergola over existing first floor terrace.

ASSESSMENT INTRODUCTION

DA2020/0279 Page 1 of 24



The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared (the subject of this report) taking into account all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, and the associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon the subject site and adjoining, surrounding and nearby properties;
- Notification to adjoining and surrounding properties, advertisement (where required) and referral
 to relevant internal and external bodies in accordance with the Act, Regulations and relevant
 Development Control Plan;
- A review and consideration of all submissions made by the public and community interest groups in relation to the application;
- A review and consideration of all documentation provided with the application (up to the time of determination);
- A review and consideration of all referral comments provided by the relevant Council Officers, State Government Authorities/Agencies and Federal Government Authorities/Agencies on the proposal.

SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT ISSUES

Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan - C1.3 View Sharing

Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan - D3.9 Building envelope

Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan - D3.11 Landscaped Area - Environmentally Sensitive Land

SITE DESCRIPTION

Property Description:	Lot 55 DP 28862 , 6 Monterey Road BILGOLA PLATEAU NSW 2107
Detailed Site Description:	The subject site consists of one (1) allotment located on the northern side of Monterey Road.
	The site is regular in shape with a frontage of 18.3m along Monterey Road and a depth of 38.1m. The site has a surveyed area of 696.8m².
	The site is located within the E4 Environmental Living zone and accommodates two-storey detached dwelling house.
	The site slopes in the south-east direction with an approximate fall of 4.0m.
	The site contains garden beds, small lawn areas, palms and canopy trees. There is no evidence of any endangered species on the site.
	Detailed Description of Adjoining/Surrounding Development
	Adjoining and surrounding development is characterised

DA2020/0279 Page 2 of 24



by low density residential living.

Мар:



SITE HISTORY

The land has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. A search of Council's records has revealed the following relevant history:

N0887/03

Application for alterations and additions to an existing dwelling house, including the construction of a first floor. Approval was granted on 7 July 2005.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT, 1979 (EPAA)

The relevant matters for consideration under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Section 4.15 Matters for Consideration'	Comments
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(i) – Provisions of any environmental planning instrument	See discussion on "Environmental Planning Instruments" in this report.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(ii) – Provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	Draft State Environmental Planning Policy (Remediation of Land) seeks to replace the existing SEPP No. 55 (Remediation of Land). Public consultation on the draft policy was completed on 13 April 2018. The subject site has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. The proposed development retains the residential use of the site, and is not considered a contamination risk.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iii) – Provisions of any development control plan	Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan applies to this proposal.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iiia) –	None applicable.

DA2020/0279 Page 3 of 24



Section 4.15 Matters for Consideration'	Comments
Provisions of any planning agreement	
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iv) – Provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 (EP&A Regulation 2000)	<u>Division 8A</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider "Prescribed conditions" of development consent. These matters have been addressed via a condition of consent.
	Clauses 54 and 109 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 allow Council to request additional information. Additional information was requested in relation to amended plans.
	Clause 98 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider insurance requirements under the Home Building Act 1989. This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent.
	Clause 98 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the provisions of the Building Code of Australia (BCA). This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent.
Section 4.15 (1) (b) – the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in	(i) Environmental Impact The environmental impacts of the proposed development on the natural and built environment are addressed under the Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan section in this report.
the locality	(ii) Social Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental social impact in the locality considering the character of the proposal.
	(iii) Economic Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental economic impact on the locality considering the nature of the existing and proposed land use.
Section 4.15 (1) (c) – the suitability of the site for the development	The site is considered suitable for the proposed development.
Section 4.15 (1) (d) – any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs	See discussion on "Notification & Submissions Received" in this report.
Section 4.15 (1) (e) – the public interest	No matters have arisen in this assessment that would justify the refusal of the application in the public interest.

EXISTING USE RIGHTS

Existing Use Rights are not applicable to this application.

BUSHFIRE PRONE LAND

The site is classified as bush fire prone land. Section 4.14 of the Environmental Planning and

DA2020/0279 Page 4 of 24



Assessment Act 1979 requires Council to be satisfied that the development conforms to the specifications and requirements of the version (as prescribed by the regulations) of the document entitled Planning for Bush Fire Protection.

A Bush Fire Report was submitted with the application that included a certificate (prepared by Bush Fire Planning Services, dated 17 March 2020) stating that the development conforms to the relevant specifications and requirements within Planning for Bush Fire Protection. The recommendations of the Bush Fire Report have been included as conditions of consent.

NOTIFICATION & SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

The subject development application has been publicly exhibited in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 and the relevant Development Control Plan.

As a result of the public exhibition process council is in receipt of 2 submission/s from:

Name:	Address:
Mrs Tanya Catherine Rosen	8 Monterey Road BILGOLA PLATEAU NSW 2107
Mr Ivor Walter Ackermann Ms Lynette Suzanne Ackermann	10 Monterey Road BILGOLA PLATEAU NSW 2107

The following issues were raised in the submissions and each have been addressed below:

- Vegetation in front setback,
- Size of the extension,
- Visual impact of the works,
- Views.
- Front building line,
- Building envelope,
- Unregistered boat trailer.

The matters raised within the submissions are addressed as follows:

Vegetation in front setback: Concern has been raised that the existing vegetation within the
front setback does not comply with the conditions of consent affiliated with N0887/03. The
concerned condition required two canopy trees to be planted without causing any impact on the
neighbours ocean views.

Comment:

Compliance with the concerned condition cannot be addressed under this development application, as the proposal does not include any additional planting within the front setback. This concern should be reported to Council's Compliance Team for further action.

This matter does not warrant the refusal of the application.

• **Size of the extension:** The received submissions have raised concern with the height and scale of the rear extension.

DA2020/0279 Page 5 of 24



Comment:

It is acknowledged that the proposal provides a non-compliant landscaped area, however, as discussed within the 'Landscaped Area' section of this report the proposal provides sufficiently sized landscaped areas, which can sustain vegetation that is of a size and scale to reasonably mitigate the height, bulk and scale of the extension. In addition, the proposal's single storey nature ensures that a reasonable level of privacy, amenity and solar access is maintained for adjoining residential properties.

This matter does not warrant the refusal of the application.

Visual impact of the works: Concern is raised that the situation of the extension will create an
unreasonable visual impact and will obscure the current view of open green space along the
common boundary.

Comment:

The proposed extension provides a compliant side and rear setbacks and will have a maximum height of 4.5m. In addition, the proposal's design has accounted the visual amenity and privacy of the adjoining site. This is achieved through high sill levels and limiting glazing on highly used areas. Furthermore, the selected finishes will remain consistent with the surrounding natural and built environment. Overall, the proposal represents a development that is adequately sited and compatible within the locality, therefore, the visual impact of the works is considered to be of a reasonable nature.

This matter does not warrant the refusal of the application.

• **Views**: The submissions raised concerns that the proposal will not result in a reasonable sharing of views.

Comment:

This matter has been discussed with further detail in the views section of this report. In short, it was found that the extent of view loss is considered to be negligible and minor. Therefore, the proposal is deemed reasonable within context.

This matter does not warrant the refusal of the application.

• **Front building line**: Concern is raised that the proposed pergola over the existing first floor terrace encroaches the front building line control.

Comment:

The proposed pergola provides a compliant front setback of 10.1m, as such, the structure does not extend beyond the existing front building line of 9.1m

This matter does not warrant the refusal of the application.

• **Building envelope**: Concern is raised that the proposed pergola over the existing first floor terrace encroaches the building envelope control on both side boundaries.

Comment:

DA2020/0279 Page 6 of 24



As discussed with the 'Building envelope' section of this report, whilst, the proposed pergola structure encroaches the building envelope control on both side elevations, P21DCP stipulates that "eaves or shading devices that provide shade in summer and maximise sunlight in winter, shall be permitted to extend outside the building envelope." Overall, it is considered that the proposal has been designed to mitigate any unreasonable impacts to neighbouring properties.

This matter does not warrant the refusal of the application.

• **Unregistered boat trailer**: The submissions raised concerns that there appears to be an unregistered boat trailer that belongs to No. 6 Monterey Road, which creates inconveniences for nearby local residents.

Comment:

This is not relevant to the planning assessment.

This issue does not warrant the refusal of the application.

REFERRALS

Internal Referral Body	Comments
NECC (Bushland and Biodiversity)	The proposed development has been assessed against the following biodiversity-related provisions:
	- Pittwater LEP Clause 7.6 (Biodiversity Protection) - Pittwater DCP Clause B4.6 (Wildlife Corridors)
	The subject site is heavily modified with minimal native vegetation remaining. The proposed development will therefore not result in impact to significant native vegetation or wildlife habitat.
NECC (Development Engineering)	The proposed increase in impervious area requires OSD. The concept stormwater plans indicate the provision of an OSD tank with connection to the kerb which is acceptable. No objection to approval, subject to conditions as recommended.
NECC (Riparian Lands and Creeks)	No objection to the proposal subject to conditions.

External Referral Body	Comments
	The proposal was referred to Ausgrid. No response has been received within the 21 day statutory period and therefore, it is assumed that no objections are raised and no conditions are recommended.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS (EPIS)*

All, Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the merit assessment of this application.

DA2020/0279 Page 7 of 24



In this regard, whilst all provisions of each Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the assessment, many provisions contained within the document are not relevant or are enacting, definitions and operational provisions which the proposal is considered to be acceptable against.

As such, an assessment is provided against the controls relevant to the merit consideration of the application hereunder.

State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) and State Regional Environmental Plans (SREPs)

SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land

Clause 7 (1) (a) of SEPP 55 requires the Consent Authority to consider whether land is contaminated. Council records indicate that the subject site has been used for residential purposes for a significant period of time with no prior land uses. In this regard it is considered that the site poses no risk of contamination and therefore, no further consideration is required under Clause 7 (1) (b) and (c) of SEPP 55 and the land is considered to be suitable for the residential land use.

SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004

A BASIX certificate has been submitted with the application (see Certificate No. A370972 dated 18 March 2020).

A condition has been included in the recommendation of this report requiring compliance with the commitments indicated in the BASIX Certificate.

SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007

Ausgrid

Clause 45 of the SEPP requires the Consent Authority to consider any development application (or an application for modification of consent) for any development carried out:

- within or immediately adjacent to an easement for electricity purposes (whether or not the electricity infrastructure exists).
- immediately adjacent to an electricity substation.
- within 5.0m of an overhead power line.
- includes installation of a swimming pool any part of which is: within 30m of a structure supporting an overhead electricity transmission line and/or within 5.0m of an overhead electricity power line.

Comment:

The proposal was referred to Ausgrid. No response has been received within the 21 day statutory period and therefore, it is assumed that no objections are raised and no conditions are recommended.

DA2020/0279 Page 8 of 24



SEPP (Coastal Management) 2018

The site is subject to SEPP Coastal Management (2018). Accordingly, an assessment under the SEPP has been carried out as follows:

11 Development on land in proximity to coastal wetlands or littoral rainforest

- (1) Development consent must not be granted to development on land identified as "proximity area for coastal wetlands" or "proximity area for littoral rainforest" on the Coastal Wetlands and Littoral Rainforests Area Map unless the consent authority is satisfied that the proposed development will not significantly impact on:
 - (a) the biophysical, hydrological or ecological integrity of the adjacent coastal wetland or littoral rainforest, or
 - (b) the quantity and quality of surface and ground water flows to and from the adjacent coastal wetland or littoral rainforest.

Comment:

The proposed works will not involve the removal of any significant vegetation. In addition, Council's Natural Environment divisions have reviewed the proposal and are satisfied that the works will be sensitively integrated within the surrounding natural environment. Overall, the proposal will not significantly impact nearby littoral rainforest areas or the quantity and quality of surface and ground water.

15 Development in coastal zone generally—development not to increase risk of coastal hazards

Development consent must not be granted to development on land within the coastal zone unless the consent authority is satisfied that the proposed development is not likely to cause increased risk of coastal hazards on that land or other land.

Comment:

The proposed works are considered unlikely to result in increased risk of coastal hazards.

Pittwater Local Environmental Plan 2014

Is the development permissible?	Yes		
After consideration of the merits of the proposal, is the development consistent with:			
aims of the LEP?			
zone objectives of the LEP?	Yes		

Principal Development Standards

Standard	Requirement	Proposed	Complies
Height of Buildings:	8.5m	8.5m (Pergola) 4.5m (Extension)	Yes

DA2020/0279 Page 9 of 24



Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements
1.9A Suspension of covenants, agreements and instruments	Yes
2.7 Demolition requires development consent	Yes
4.3 Height of buildings	Yes
4.6 Exceptions to development standards	Yes
5.10 Heritage conservation	Yes
7.1 Acid sulfate soils	Yes
7.2 Earthworks	Yes
7.6 Biodiversity protection	Yes
7.10 Essential services	Yes

Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan

Built Form Controls

Built Form Control	Requirement	Proposed	% Variation*	Complies
Front building line	6.5m	10.1m	N/A	Yes
Rear building line	6.5m	10.0m	N/A	Yes
Side building line	2.5m (East)	2.5m (Vergola) 5.5m (Alfresco area)	N/A	Yes
	1.0m (West)	1.5m (Rear extension)	N/A	Yes
Building envelope	3.5m	Within	N/A	Yes
	3.5m	Within	N/A	Yes
Landscaped area	60%	50.8% (354.3sqm)	15.3%	No

Compliance Assessment

Clause	with	Consistency Aims/Objectives
	Requirements	
A1.7 Considerations before consent is granted	Yes	Yes
A4.3 Bilgola Locality	Yes	Yes
B1.3 Heritage Conservation - General	Yes	Yes
B1.4 Aboriginal Heritage Significance	Yes	Yes
B3.2 Bushfire Hazard	Yes	Yes
B4.6 Wildlife Corridors	Yes	Yes
B5.7 Stormwater Management - On-Site Stormwater Detention	Yes	Yes
B5.8 Stormwater Management - Water Quality - Low Density Residential	Yes	Yes
B5.10 Stormwater Discharge into Public Drainage System	Yes	Yes
B6.2 Internal Driveways	Yes	Yes
B6.3 Off-Street Vehicle Parking Requirements	Yes	Yes

DA2020/0279 Page 10 of 24



Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
B8.1 Construction and Demolition - Excavation and Landfill	Yes	Yes
B8.2 Construction and Demolition - Erosion and Sediment Management	Yes	Yes
B8.3 Construction and Demolition - Waste Minimisation	Yes	Yes
C1.1 Landscaping	Yes	Yes
C1.3 View Sharing	Yes	Yes
C1.4 Solar Access	Yes	Yes
C1.5 Visual Privacy	Yes	Yes
C1.6 Acoustic Privacy	Yes	Yes
C1.7 Private Open Space	Yes	Yes
C1.13 Pollution Control	Yes	Yes
C1.23 Eaves	Yes	Yes
D3.1 Character as viewed from a public place	Yes	Yes
D3.2 Scenic protection - General	Yes	Yes
D3.3 Building colours and materials	Yes	Yes
D3.6 Front building line	Yes	Yes
D3.7 Side and rear building line	Yes	Yes
D3.9 Building envelope	Yes	Yes
D3.11 Landscaped Area - Environmentally Sensitive Land	No	Yes
D3.14 Construction, Retaining walls, terracing and undercroft areas	Yes	Yes

Detailed Assessment

C1.3 View Sharing

Merit consideration

The development is considered against the underlying Outcomes of the Control as follows:

A reasonable sharing of views amongst dwellings.

Comment:

Concern surrounding view loss has been received by two individual properties, both objecting on different aspects of the development. As such, each property listed below will have an individual view loss assessment:

8 Monterey Road (to the west of the subject site) 10 Monterey Road (to the west of the subject site)

In determining the extent of potential view loss to adjoining and nearby properties, the four (4) planning principles outlined within the Land and Environment Court Case of Tenacity Consulting Pty Ltd Vs Warringah Council (2004) NSWLEC 140, are applied to the proposal.

DA2020/0279 Page 11 of 24



1. Nature of the views affected

"The first step is the assessment of the views to be affected. Water views are valued more highly than land views. Iconic views (e.g. of the Opera House, the Harbour Bridge or North Head) are valued more highly than views without icons. Whole views are valued more highly than partial views, e.g. a water view in which the interface between land and water is visible is more valuable than one in which it is obscured".

No. 8 Monterey Road

From the first level study - Enjoys views of the horizon and the ocean. These views are relatively obscured by vegetation and residential development.



No. 10 Monterey Road

From the first level balcony - Enjoys views of the ocean, which are partially obscured by vegetation and residential development.



2. What part of the affected property are the views obtained

DA2020/0279 Page 12 of 24



"The second step is to consider from what part of the property the views are obtained. For example the protection of views across side boundaries is more difficult than the protection of views from front and rear boundaries. In addition, whether the view is enjoyed from a standing or sitting position may also be relevant. Sitting views are more difficult to protect than standing views. The expectation to retain side views and sitting views is often unrealistic".

No. 8 Monterey Road

From the first level - The views are obtained from the study and are mainly from a standing position. The views are over the western boundary of the subject site.

No. 10 Monterey Road

From the first level - The views are obtained from the front upper level balcony. The views are obtained mainly from standing positions with only glimpses being visible from seated positions, over the side boundaries of No. 8 Monterey Road and the subject site.

3. Extent of impact

"The third step is to assess the extent of the impact. This should be done for the whole of the property, not just for the view that is affected. The impact on views from living areas is more significant than from bedrooms or service areas (though views from kitchens are highly valued because people spend so much time in them). The impact may be assessed quantitatively, but in many cases this can be meaningless. For example, it is unhelpful to say that the view loss is 20% if it includes one of the sails of the Opera House. It is usually more useful to assess the view loss qualitatively as negligible, minor, moderate, severe or devastating".

No. 8 Monterey Road

The proposed rear extension will have a ridge height of RL 120.28, which is 10cm above the sill height of the window attached to No. 8 Monterey Road's first floor study. As such, given that the concerned views are obtained from a standing position the proposal will not affect any of the existing views. In this regard, the view loss is considered to be negligible.

No. 10 Monterey Road

A relatively small portion of the total views enjoyed by No. 10 Monterey Road will be affected by the proposed first floor pergola. The affected views are already slightly obscured by existing vegetation. With this in mind, the view loss is considered to be minor.

4. Reasonableness of the proposal that is causing the impact

"The fourth step is to assess the reasonableness of the proposal that is causing the impact. A development that complies with all planning controls would be considered more reasonable than one that breaches them. Where an impact on views arises as a result of non-compliance with one or more planning controls, even a moderate impact may be considered unreasonable. With a complying proposal, the question should be asked whether a more skilful design could provide the applicant with the same development potential and amenity and reduce the impact on the views of neighbours. If the answer to that question is no, then the view impact of a complying development would probably be considered acceptable and the view sharing reasonable."

No. 8 Monterey Road

DA2020/0279 Page 13 of 24



The critical control relating to view loss for this property is height. The proposed ground floor rear extension is well below the 8.5m height limit and has been designed to maintain a reasonable outlook of the horizon from the concerned property. It is not considered that a more skilful design is required, as the views of the ocean and horizon from No. 8 Monterey Road's first floor study are retained. In this regard, the proposal is a reasonable outcome for the site and will allow a reasonable sharing of views.

No. 10 Monterey Road

The critical controls relating to view loss for this property are the height and the front setback of the pergola structure. The proposed front pergola complies with the 8.5m height limit, while providing a compliant front setback and remaining in line with the existing first floor balcony's balustrade. The only elements of the proposed pergola that obscure views from the concerned property are the structures posts, as the development will be open sided. These factors minimise the view loss from No. 10 Monterey Road and it is considered that there is not a more skilful design that would achieve the same development potential while retaining more views. In this regard, the proposal is a reasonable outcome for the site and will allow a reasonable sharing of views.

 Views and vistas from roads and public places to water, headland, beach and/or bush views are to be protected, maintained and where possible, enhanced.

Comment:

As outlined in the above assessment, the proposal is an innovative design solution that is a good compromise between adding floor space on the ground level and minimising view loss.

Canopy trees take priority over views.

Comment:

The proposal will not involve the removal of any canopy trees.

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the relevant objectives of PLEP 2014 / P21DCP and the objectives specified in s1.3 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported, in this particular circumstance.

D3.9 Building envelope

The proposal involves the construction of a pergola that will cover the existing first floor front balcony. Whilst, the proposed pergola structure encroaches the building envelope control on both side elevations, P21DCP stipulates that "eaves or shading devices that provide shade in summer and maximise sunlight in winter, shall be permitted to extend outside the building envelope." Notwithstanding, the proposal will not add to the height of the existing building and is consistent with the existing roof form. In addition, it is considered that the proposed structure is relatively minor by nature and has been designed to maintain reasonable view sharing, while not creating unreasonable building bulk.

D3.11 Landscaped Area - Environmentally Sensitive Land

DA2020/0279 Page 14 of 24



Description of non-compliance

The Control requires a minimum of 60% (418.1m²) of the site to be landscaped area.

The proposed development provides 50.8% (354.3m²) of the total site area as landscaped area which represents a variation of 15.3%.

Provided the outcomes of this control are achieved, impervious areas less than 1 metre in width (15.2m²) and up to 6% of the total site area may be provided as impervious landscape treatments providing these areas are for outdoor recreational purposes only (41.8m²) may be permitted on the landscaped proportion of the site.

When applying the above variations the total landscaped area becomes 411.3m², 59.0% of site area.

Merit consideration

With regard to the consideration for a variation, the development is considered against the underlying objectives of the Control as follows:

Achieve the desired future character of the Locality.

Comment:

The proposed development is consistent with the desired future character of the Bilgola locality.

The bulk and scale of the built form is minimised.

Comment:

The critical controls used to assess the bulk and scale of a development are height and building envelope. The proposed ground floor extension comfortably complies with the prescribed 8.5m building height control and is contained within the building envelope control on both side boundaries. In addition, the proposal is adequately sited from the side and rear boundaries, which provides reasonable opportunities for landscaping to screen the built form. The proposal is therefore considered to have a bulk and scale that is minimal.

A reasonable level of amenity and solar access is provided and maintained.

Comment:

The proposal remains consistent with most relevant built form controls, thereby ensuring no unreasonable amenity impacts to arise as a result of the development. Reasonable amenity and solar access will be provided and maintained for surrounding and adjoining properties.

Vegetation is retained and enhanced to visually reduce the built form.

Comment:

No significant vegetation is required to be removed to facilitate the proposed development. Existing surrounding vegetation will not be signififcantly impacted by this development. The built form will continue to be sufficiently screened and visually reduced as a result.

Conservation of natural vegetation and biodiversity.

DA2020/0279 Page 15 of 24



Comment:

No significant vegetation is required to be removed to facilitate the proposed works. The conservation of natural vegetation and biodiversity will therefore be achieved.

Stormwater runoff is reduced, preventing soil erosion and siltation of natural drainage channels.

Comment:

The proposal has been reviewed by Council's Development Engineer, having regard to provisions for stormwater management and has raised no objections to the proposed stormwater system, subject to conditions included in the recommendation of this report.

To preserve and enhance the rural and bushland character of the area.

Comment:

The bushland character of the area will be maintained as no significant vegetation is required to be removed as a result of the proposed development.

Soft surface is maximised to provide for infiltration of water to the water table, minimise run-off and assist with stormwater management.

Comment:

Sufficient permeable surfacing is provided on-site which will enable the infiltration of water to the water table, whilst minimising run-off and assisting with stormwater management.

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the relevant objectives of P21DCP and the objectives specified in s1.3 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported, subject to conditions, in this particular circumstance.

THREATENED SPECIES, POPULATIONS OR ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES

The proposal will not significantly effect threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.

CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN

The proposal is consistent with the principles of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design.

POLICY CONTROLS

Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2019

The proposal is subject to the application of Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2019.

A monetary contribution of \$2,020 is required for the provision of new and augmented public infrastructure. The contribution is calculated as 1% of the total development cost of \$202,000.

CONCLUSION

DA2020/0279 Page 16 of 24



The site has been inspected and the application assessed having regard to all documentation submitted by the applicant and the provisions of:

- Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;
- Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000;
- All relevant and draft Environmental Planning Instruments;
- Pittwater Local Environment Plan;
- Pittwater Development Control Plan; and
- Codes and Policies of Council.

This assessment has taken into consideration the submitted plans, Statement of Environmental Effects, all other documentation supporting the application and public submissions, and does not result in any unreasonable impacts on surrounding, adjoining, adjacent and nearby properties subject to the conditions contained within the recommendation.

In consideration of the proposal and the merit consideration of the development, the proposal is considered to be:

- Consistent with the objectives of the DCP
- Consistent with the zone objectives of the LEP
- Consistent with the aims of the LEP
- Consistent with the objectives of the relevant EPIs
- Consistent with the objects of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

It is considered that the proposed development satisfies the appropriate controls and that all processes and assessments have been satisfactorily addressed.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council as the consent authority grant Development Consent to DA2020/0279 for Alterations and additions to a dwelling house on land at Lot 55 DP 28862, 6 Monterey Road, BILGOLA PLATEAU, subject to the conditions printed below:

DEVELOPMENT CONSENT OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS

1. Approved Plans and Supporting Documentation

The development must be carried out in compliance (except as amended by any other condition of consent) with the following:

a) Approved Plans

Architectural Plans - Endorsed with Council's stamp			
Drawing No.	Dated	Prepared By	
01A - RevA: Site plan	25 May 2020	Arc Lab	
02A - RevA: First floor plan	25 May 2020	Arc Lab	
03A - RevA: Eastern elevation	25 May 2020	Arc Lab	

DA2020/0279 Page 17 of 24



04A - RevA: Western & northern elevation	25 May 2020	Arc Lab
05A - RevA: Sections	25 May 2020	Arc Lab
06A - RevA: Upper floor plan	25 May 2020	Arc Lab

Reports / Documentation – All recommendations and requirements contained within:			
Report No. / Page No. / Section No.	Dated	Prepared By	
Bushfire Risk Assessment	14 March 2020	Bush Fire Planning Services	

- b) Any plans and / or documentation submitted to satisfy the Conditions of this consent.
- c) The development is to be undertaken generally in accordance with the following:

Waste Management Plan				
Drawing No/Title.	Dated	Prepared By		
Waste management plan	24 February 2020	Arc Lab		

In the event of any inconsistency between conditions of this consent and the drawings/documents referred to above, the conditions of this consent will prevail.

Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination of Council and approved plans.

2. Prescribed Conditions

- (a) All building works must be carried out in accordance with the requirements of the Building Code of Australia (BCA).
- (b) BASIX affected development must comply with the schedule of BASIX commitments specified within the submitted BASIX Certificate (demonstrated compliance upon plans/specifications is required prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate);
- (c) A sign must be erected in a prominent position on any site on which building work, subdivision work or demolition work is being carried out:
 - (i) showing the name, address and telephone number of the Principal Certifying Authority for the work, and
 - (ii) showing the name of the principal contractor (if any) for any building work and a telephone number on which that person may be contacted outside working hours, and
 - (iii) stating that unauthorised entry to the work site is prohibited.
 - Any such sign is to be maintained while the building work, subdivision work or demolition work is being carried out, but must be removed when the work has been completed.
- (d) Residential building work within the meaning of the Home Building Act 1989 must not be carried out unless the Principal Certifying Authority for the development to which the work relates (not being the Council) has given the Council written notice of the following information:
 - (i) in the case of work for which a principal contractor is required to be appointed:
 - A. the name and licence number of the principal contractor, and

DA2020/0279 Page 18 of 24



- B. the name of the insurer by which the work is insured under Part 6 of that Act,
- (ii) in the case of work to be done by an owner-builder:
 - A. the name of the owner-builder, and
 - B. if the owner-builder is required to hold an owner-builder permit under that Act, the number of the owner-builder permit.

If arrangements for doing the residential building work are changed while the work is in progress so that the information notified under becomes out of date, further work must not be carried out unless the Principal Certifying Authority for the development to which the work relates (not being the Council) has given the Council written notice of the updated information.

- (e) Development that involves an excavation that extends below the level of the base of the footings of a building on adjoining land, the person having the benefit of the development consent must, at the person's own expense:
 - (i) protect and support the adjoining premises from possible damage from the excavation, and
 - (ii) where necessary, underpin the adjoining premises to prevent any such damage.
 - (iii) must, at least 7 days before excavating below the level of the base of the footings of a building on an adjoining allotment of land, give notice of intention to do so to the owner of the adjoining allotment of land and furnish particulars of the excavation to the owner of the building being erected or demolished.
 - (iv) the owner of the adjoining allotment of land is not liable for any part of the cost of work carried out for the purposes of this clause, whether carried out on the allotment of land being excavated or on the adjoining allotment of land.

In this clause, allotment of land includes a public road and any other public place.

Reason: Legislative requirement.

FEES / CHARGES / CONTRIBUTIONS

3. **Policy Controls**

Northern Beaches 7.12 Contributions Plan 2019

A monetary contribution of \$2,020.00 is payable to Northern Beaches Council for the provision of local infrastructure and services pursuant to section 7.12 of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979 and the Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2019. The monetary contribution is based on a development cost of \$202,000.00.

The monetary contribution is to be paid prior to the issue of the first Construction Certificate or Subdivision Certificate whichever occurs first, or prior to the issue of the Subdivision Certificate where no Construction Certificate is required. If the monetary contribution (total or in part) remains unpaid after the financial quarter that the development consent is issued, the amount unpaid (whether it be the full cash contribution or part thereof) will be adjusted on a quarterly basis in accordance with the applicable Consumer Price Index. If this situation applies, the cash contribution payable for this development will be the total unpaid monetary contribution as adjusted.

The proponent shall provide to the Certifying Authority written evidence (receipt/s) from Council

DA2020/0279 Page 19 of 24



that the total monetary contribution has been paid.

The Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2019 may be inspected at 725 Pittwater Rd, Dee Why and at Council's Customer Service Centres or alternatively, on Council's website at www.northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au

This fee must be paid prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate. Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority.

Reason: To provide for contributions in accordance with the Contribution Plan to fund the provision of new or augmented local infrastructure and services.

4. Security Bond

A bond (determined from cost of works) of \$1,500 and an inspection fee in accordance with Council's Fees and Charges paid as security to ensure the rectification of any damage that may occur to the Council infrastructure contained within the road reserve adjoining the site as a result of construction or the transportation of materials and equipment to and from the development site.

An inspection fee in accordance with Council adopted fees and charges (at the time of payment) is payable for each kerb inspection as determined by Council (minimum (1) one inspection).

All bonds and fees shall be deposited with Council prior to Construction Certificate or demolition work commencing, details demonstrating payment are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

To process the inspection fee and bond payment a Bond Lodgement Form must be completed with the payments (a copy of the form is attached to this consent and alternatively a copy is located on Council's website at www.northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au).

Reason: To ensure adequate protection of Council's infrastructure.

CONDITIONS TO BE SATISFIED PRIOR TO THE ISSUE OF THE CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATE

5. **Boundary Identification Survey**

A boundary identification survey, prepared by a Registered Surveyor, is to be prepared in respect of the subject site.

The plans submitted for the Construction Certificate are to accurately reflect the property boundaries as shown on the boundary identification survey, with setbacks between the property boundaries and the approved works consistent with those nominated on the Approved Plans of this consent.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of any Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure all approved works are constructed within the subject site and in a manner anticipated by the development consent.

6. On-site Stormwater Detention Details

The Applicant is to provide drainage plans detailing the provision of on-site stormwater detention

DA2020/0279 Page 20 of 24



in accordance with Northern Beaches Council's Pittwater 21 DCP 2014 Clause B5.7 and generally in accordance with the concept drainage plans prepared by arclab Pty Ltd, drawing number 0204/19 Sheet 07-DA dated 14.03.20.

Detailed drainage plans are to be prepared by a suitably qualified Civil Engineer, who has membership to the Institution of Engineers Australia, National Professional Engineers Register (NPER) and registered in the General Area of Practice for civil engineering.

The detailed drainage plans, including Engineering certification, are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority for approval prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure appropriate provision for the disposal of stormwater and stormwater management arising from the development.

7. Erosion and Sediment Control Plan

An Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (ESCP) shall be prepared by an appropriately qualified person and implemented onsite prior to commencement. The ESCP must meet the requirements outlined in the Landcom publication Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils and Construction - Volume 1, 4th Edition (2004). The ESCP must include the following as a minimum:-

- Site Boundaries and contours:
- Approximate location of trees and other vegetation, showing items for removal or retention (consistent with any other plans attached to the application)
- Location of site access, proposed roads and other impervious areas (e.g. parking areas and site facilities);
- Existing and proposed drainage patterns with stormwater discharge points
- o Locations and methods of all erosion and sediment controls;
- North point and scale.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority for approval prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To mitigate environmental impact resulting from site disturbance.

8. Compliance with Standards

The development is required to be carried out in accordance with all relevant Australian Standards.

Details demonstrating compliance with the relevant Australian Standard are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure the development is constructed in accordance with appropriate standards.

9. Sydney Water "Tap In"

The approved plans must be submitted to the Sydney Water Tap in service, prior to works commencing, to determine whether the development will affect any Sydney Water assets and/or easements. The appropriately stamped plans must then be submitted to the Certifying Authority demonstrating the works are in compliance with Sydney Water requirements.

Please refer to the website www.sydneywater.com.au for:

o "Tap in" details - see http://www.sydneywater.com.au/tapin

DA2020/0279 Page 21 of 24



Guidelines for Building Over/Adjacent to Sydney Water Assets.

Or telephone 13 000 TAP IN (1300 082 746).

Reason: To ensure compliance with the statutory requirements of Sydney Water.

CONDITIONS THAT MUST BE ADDRESSED PRIOR TO ANY COMMENCEMENT

10. Installation and Maintenance of Sediment and Erosion Control

Sediment and erosion controls must be installed in accordance with Landcom's 'Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils and Construction' (2004).

Techniques used for erosion and sediment control on site are to be adequately maintained and monitored at all times, particularly after periods of rain, and shall remain in proper operation until all development activities have been completed and the site is sufficiently stabilised with vegetation.

Reason: To protect the surrounding environment from the effects of sedimentation and erosion from the site.

CONDITIONS TO BE COMPLIED WITH DURING DEMOLITION AND BUILDING WORK

11. Road Reserve

The applicant shall ensure the public footways and roadways adjacent to the site are maintained in a safe condition at all times during the course of the work.

Reason: Public safety.

12. Survey Certificate

A survey certificate prepared by a Registered Surveyor at the following stages of construction:

- (a) Commencement of perimeter walls columns and or other structural elements to ensure the wall or structure, to boundary setbacks are in accordance with the approved details.
- (b) At ground level to ensure the finished floor levels are in accordance with the approved levels, prior to concrete slab being poured/flooring being laid.
- (c) At completion of the roof frame confirming the finished roof/ridge height is in accordance with levels indicated on the approved plans.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority.

Reason: To determine the height of buildings under construction comply with levels shown on approved plans.

13. Installation and Maintenance of Sediment Control

Prior to any works commencing on site, including demolition, sediment and erosion controls must be installed in accordance with Landcom's 'Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils and Construction' (2004). Techniques used for erosion and sediment control on site are to be adequately maintained and monitored at all times, particularly after periods of rain, and shall remain in proper operation until all development activities have been completed and the site is sufficiently stabilised with vegetation.

DA2020/0279 Page 22 of 24



Reason: To protect the surrounding environment from the effects of sedimentation and erosion from the site.

CONDITIONS WHICH MUST BE COMPLIED WITH PRIOR TO THE ISSUE OF THE OCCUPATION CERTIFICATE

14. Positive Covenant and Restriction as to User for On-site Stormwater Disposal Structures
The Applicant shall lodge a Legal Documents Authorisation Application with the original
completed request forms (NSW Land Registry standard forms 13PC and/or 13RPA) to Council
and a copy of the Works-as-Executed plan (details overdrawn on a copy of the approved
drainage plan) and the Hydraulic Engineers' certification.

The Applicant shall create on the title a positive covenant in respect to the ongoing maintenance and restriction of the on-site stormwater disposal structures within this development consent. The terms of the positive covenant and restriction are to be prepared to Council's standard requirements at the applicant's expense and endorsed by Northern Beaches Council's delegate prior to lodgement with the NSW Land Registry Services. Northern Beaches Council shall be nominated as the party to release, vary or modify such covenant. A copy of the certificate of title demonstrating the creation of the positive covenant and restriction for on-site storm water detention as to user is to be obtained.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Occupation Certificate.

Reason: To ensure the on-site stormwater disposal system is maintained to an appropriate operational standard.

ON-GOING CONDITIONS THAT MUST BE COMPLIED WITH AT ALL TIMES

15. No Planting Environmental Weeds

No environmental weeds are to be planted on the site. Information on weeds of the Northern Beaches can be found at the NSW WeedWise website (http://weeds.dpi.nsw.gov.au/).

Reason: Weed management.

16. **Dead or Injured Wildlife**

If construction activity associated with this development results in injury or death of a native mammal, bird, reptile or amphibian, a registered wildlife rescue and rehabilitation organisation must be contacted for advice.

Reason: To mitigate potential impacts to native wildlife resulting from construction activity.

17. Landscaping in Wildlife Corridor

Any new landscaping is to be composed of a minimum 60% (by number of plants) locally native plant species of the Pittwater Ward, as per the Native Plant Guide on Council's website. **Reason:** To achieve compliance with PDCP Clause B4.6 (Wildlife Corridors)

In signing this report, I declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest.

DA2020/0279 Page 23 of 24



Signed

Kye Miles, Planner

The application is determined on 30/06/2020, under the delegated authority of:

Anna Williams, Manager Development Assessments

DA2020/0279 Page 24 of 24