Sent: 29/01/2021 4:00:23 PM Subject: Online Submission

29/01/2021

MR Nicholas Swerdlow 7 / 63 - Pacific PDE Dee Why NSW 2099 swerdlowfamily@outlook.com

RE: DA2020/1597 - 67 Pacific Parade DEE WHY NSW 2099

DA 2020/1597 Council is supporting the build of 26 apartments (should say rooms and very small ones at that) that don't appear to meet the SEPP requirements. You are suggesting an agreement to rooftop ":common areas (In a Boarding House !!) and a Common Area at the back of the building immediately adjacent to our property. What a nightmare for my family. The absolute nonsense of the Parking and Transport Report where they think that it isn't a problem being directly below a crest on the hill and traffic lights, with a silly light system to stop cars from entering off a extremely busy road.

After all of the reasonable consideration provided by Council when they were building the block of units at 65 Pacific Parade and despite the fact that we now have a block of units and balconies stopping view, winds and light, the Council now has a total lack of understanding or thought for the residents who are now paying their rates to keep the Council staff in positions, and Council is supporting the development of this monstrosity. You are suggesting allowing an outside rear open,common area that is immediately adjacent to our apartment and a build almost to the extent of the property. Mayor Regan, you live in Dee Why. CEO Brownlee you don't and don't understand what is happening to Dee Why. This further, excessive development will finally destroy our amenity and the value of our property. You may be encouraged by the State Government to increase density, but surely you have more areas in the whole of the Northern Beaches, near to transport to build affordable housing without further destroying this area. The excessive tree loss; the loss of street parking, the dangerous entry/exit proposed; the loss of NE cooling winds and light; the noise and expected increase in anti-social behaviour that is all to common in boarding houses (despite the supposed on site management) Is this the best you can do to support your ratepayers??