

## **PRELIMINARY GEOTECHNICAL ASSESSMENT:**

### **95B Victor Road, Dee Why**

<b>1.0</b>	<b>LANDSLIP RISK CLASS</b> (Highlight indicates Landslip Risk Class of property)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	A - Geotechnical Report not normally required
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	B - Geotechnical Engineer (Under Council Guidelines) to decide if Geotechnical Report is required
<input type="checkbox"/>	C - Geotechnical Report is required
<input type="checkbox"/>	D - Geotechnical Engineer (Under Council Guidelines) to decide if Geotechnical Report is required
<input type="checkbox"/>	E - Geotechnical Report required

## **2.0 Proposed Development**

- 2.1** Construct a two-storey extension to the N side of the house.
- 2.2** Various other internal and external modifications.
- 2.3** Apart from those for footings, no excavations are required. No fills are shown on the plans.
- 2.4** Details of the proposed development are shown on 6 drawings by Sally Gardner Design and Draft, Job number 2-2218, drawings numbered A1 to A6, dated 19/8/19.

## **3.0 Site Location**

- 3.1** The site was inspected on the 26<sup>th</sup> August, 2019.
- 3.2** This residential property is accessed by a Right of Carriageway (ROW) off Victor Road and has an E aspect. It is located on the gently graded upper middle reaches of a hillslope. Medium Strength Hawkesbury Sandstone bedrock outcrops on the opposite side of the road to the subject property and on the N neighbouring property.

Where sandstone is not exposed, it is expected to underlie the surface at relatively shallow depths. The natural surface of the block has been altered with an excavation for a pool on the downhill side of the property and with filling used for landscaping across the downhill side of the property. The proposed development will not alter the surface further for the proposed works.

**3.3** The site shows no indications of historical movement in the natural surface that could have occurred since the property was developed. We are aware of no history of instability on the property.

#### **4.0 Site Description**

The natural slope falls across the site at angles of  $<5^{\circ}$ . At the end of a concrete ROW, a concrete driveway runs to a garage on the ground floor of the house. The part two-storey brick house is supported on brick walls. The supporting walls display no significant signs of movement. A pool has been cut into the slope on the downhill side of the house. The water level of the pool indicates no ground movement has occurred in the shell of the pool since its construction. A gently sloping lawn-covered fill extends off the N and downhill sides of the house to the boundaries. The fill is supported by a ~2.0m high concrete crib retaining wall that approximates the N and downhill common boundaries. The area surrounding the house and pool is mostly lawn-covered with some paved areas. No signs of movement associated with slope instability were observed on the grounds. No cliffs or large rock faces were observed on the property or in the near vicinity. The adjoining neighbouring properties were observed to be in good order as seen from the road and the subject property.

#### **6.0 Recommendations**

The proposed development and site conditions were considered and applied to the Council Flow Chart.


Provided good engineering and building practice are followed, no further Geotechnical assessment is recommended for the proposed development.

White Geotechnical Group Pty Ltd.



Ben White M.Sc. Geol.,  
AusIMM., CP GEOL.  
No. 222757  
Engineering Geologist.

**Preliminary Assessment Flow Chart – Northern Beaches Council (Warringah)**



## Information about your Preliminary Assessment

This Preliminary Assessment relies on visual observations of the surface features observed during the site inspection. Where reference is made to subsurface features (e.g. the depth to rock) these are interpretations based on the surface features present and previous experience in the area. No ground testing was conducted as part of this assessment and it is possible subsurface conditions will vary from those interpreted in the assessment.

In some cases, we will recommend no further geotechnical assessment is necessary despite the presence of existing fill or a rock face on the property that exceed the heights that would normally trigger a full geotechnical report, according to the Preliminary Assessment Flow Chart. Where this is the case, if it is an existing fill, it is either supported by a retaining wall that we consider stable, or is battered at a stable angle and situated in a suitable position on the slope. If it is a rock face that exceeds the flow chart limit height, the face has been deemed to be competent rock that is considered stable. These judgements are backed by the inspection of over 5000 properties on Geotechnical related matters.

The proposed excavation heights referred to in section 2.0 of this assessment are estimated by review of the plans we have been given for the job. Although we make every reasonable effort to provide accurate information excavation heights should be checked by the owner or person lodging the DA. If the excavation heights referred to in in section 2.0 of this assessment are incorrect we are to be informed immediately and before this assessment is lodged with the DA.

---