

---

**From:** Carol Tague  
**Sent:** 31/03/2025 12:18:00 PM  
**To:** Council Northernbeaches Mailbox  
**Subject:** TRIMMED: Proposed Development Application No DA 2025/0151 5 Skyline Place, Frenchs Forest  
**Attachments:** 20250331120253.pdf.pdf;

Dear Sir/Madam,

Please find my attached letter to the proposed Development at 5 Skyline Place, Frenchs Forest.  
DA2025/0151

Regards  
Carol Tague



Name: Carol Tague.....

Apt: .B402..... / 7 Skyline Place

FRENCHS FOREST NSW 2086

31 March 2025

Development Assessment Team  
Northern Beaches Council  
council@northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au

**Owner/Resident Submission for: Development Application No. DA2025/0151**

**Lot 11 DP1258355, 5 Skyline Place FRENCHS FOREST NSW 2086**

**Use of a Tenancy in Approved Mixed Use and Seniors Housing Development as a Centre-based Child Care Facility Including Fit-out and Landscaping**

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am the owner of an apartment in the first stage of the seniors housing development at 7 Skyline Place (Lot 10 DP1258355, and SP106532), also known as Lot 2 in Council Approved DA2018-0995, and our building is situated adjacent to the northern boundary of Lot 11 DP1258355. We have lived experience of the site since moving into our apartment in September 2023.

I have examined the proposal for a childcare facility on Lot 11 under DA2025/0151 and have the following concerns and objections:

**1. Traffic Flow Associated with Entering and Exiting the Site.**

The additional cars entering and exiting the site at peak hours to drop off and pickup children will negatively impact the traffic flow to the already inadequate Lot 10 entry ramp that requires tight turning manoeuvres. This will be further exacerbated when an additional 200 car parking spaces are activated for Lot 11, and those cars use the Lot 10 ramp, and even worse if the childcare traffic pattern is added to the mix. Several minor accidents have occurred recently as the traffic volume has increased on the entry/exit ramp and the current design will not safely cope with the extra traffic. Separate entry/exit arrangements should be provided for the Lot 11 basement car park or widen the proposed southern exit ramp to enable cars to enter Lot 11 basement.

Please note that the ramp faces east-west so early morning and late afternoon ramp traffic often sees drivers' vision impaired by the sun which will be more dangerous with increased volumes of traffic on the ramp concurrently entering and exiting.

**2. Inadequate Car Parking in Skyline Place**

The proposal includes the introduction of 6 x 15-minute parking spaces on Skyline Place.

The Council may not be aware that the existing ground-level café at 7 Skyline Place has no allocated basement parking spaces and its patrons park in Skyline Place when available, and if Skyline Place is full, they use residential visitor spaces in the basement in an unauthorised manner which is

negatively impacting existing residents and their families/visitors. The existing shortage of parking spaces will be made worse if the 6 x 15-minute parking spaces are introduced to Skyline Place for the Childcare facility.

**3. Loss of Greenspace and Reduction of Setback for Lot 10 and Lot 11 Residents**

The existing DA approvals for Lot 11 Seniors Housing Development clearly show a 12m greenspace between the West building on Lot 11 and the northern fence line then a further 6m greenspace between the fence line and the western building on Lot 10 (ie. 18m of total greenspace separating the buildings). The playground of the proposed childcare facility will occupy the entirety of the 12m greenspace and require the construction of Shade-Sail structures over the playground and abut the fence line. This is a significant loss of greenspace and amenity to the seniors housing residents.

**4. Shade-Sail Structures Over Playground Area**

Apart from the loss of greenspace the shade-sail structures are too close to the fence line and are a visual impediment to residents in adjacent apartments. Also, no dimensions or height restrictions have been noted on the drawings for the structures.

Additionally, the Bushfire Report attached to the proposal says: "The proposed Childcare involves internal works with the only external works being limited to rendering and timber-look battens". The shade-sail structures are ignored. On a bushfire-prone site with a BAL rating, subject to potential ember attack, the shade-sail material should be non-combustible. No details were provided on that matter.

**5. Noise Impact to Adjoining Residents**

The noise generated by a childcare playground located in an area previously allocated to greenspace in previous DA approvals for the site represents a significant increase in noise levels to adjoining residents. The DA approvals were predominantly for seniors housing with a lesser amount of space allocated for employment generating commercial space. The childcare proposal is not sensitive to the predominant use for the site and will negatively impact the health and well-being of residents.

**6. Inappropriate Use for the Site**

The current commercial tenants/occupants are mostly health and well-being in nature (café, medical, pathology, pilates, gym, etc). All have some relationship with seniors housing, which is the predominant component of the development. The proposed Childcare facility does not provide any real benefit or association with the senior's community at Jardin and is an inappropriate use for the development, creating only negative impacts for existing and future senior residents on the site.

The proposal for a Childcare Centre for 69 children is too large and inappropriate for this specific location.

In conclusion, for the abovementioned reasons, I wish to register a formal objection to the childcare proposal in DA2025/0151 for Lot 11 DP1258355.

Yours Sincerely, Carol Tague

