

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT - DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

DA No. DA2009/0367

Assessment Officer: Michael Edwards Property Address: Lot 15 in DP 8502

Estimated Cost of Works: \$127,600.00

Proposal Description: First floor addition to existing dwelling, 54 Wyndora Avenue

FRESHWATER NSW 2096

Plan Reference: 0902 DA01-DA04

Report Section	Applicable	Complete & Attached
Section 1 – Code Assessment	▼ Yes □ No	Yes No
Section 2 – Issues Assessment	Yes No	Yes No
Section 3 – Site Inspection Analysis	Yes No	Yes No
Section 4 – Application Determination	Yes No	Yes No

Are S94A Contributions Applicable?			
Yes No			
Warringah Section 94A Development Contributions Plan			
Contribution based on total development cost of	\$	127,600.00	
Contribution - all parts Warringah	Levy Rate	Contribution Payable	Council Code
Total S94A Levy	0.45%	\$574.00	6923
S94A Planning and Administration	0.05%	\$64.00	6924
Total	0.5%	\$638.00	

Notification Required?	Period of Public Exhibition?
Yes No Submissions Received?	14 days 21 days 30 days N/A No. of Submissions: 1
Yes No Are any trees impacted upon by the proposed development? Yes No	

SECTION 1 – CODE ASSESSMENT REPORT

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS

WLEP 2000

Locality: H1 Freshwater Beach



Development Definition: Housing Ancillary D	evelopment to Housing Cther
Category of Development: Category 1 Category 1 Category 1	gory 2 Category 3
Category 1 Development with no variations to BF	C's (Section 2 Assessment not required)
Is the development considered to be consistent with	the Locality's Desired Future Character Statement?
Yes No	
Category 1 Development with variations to BFC's	(Section 2 Assessment Required)
Category 2 Development Consistency Test	(Section 2 Assessment Required)
Category 3 Development Consistency Test	(Section 2 Assessment Required)
Built Form Controls:	
Building Height (overall):	П
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged Proposed: 8.8m
	Complies: Yes No
Requirement: 8.5m	Compiles.
11.0m	
Other	
Building Height (underside of upper most ceiling):	Existing and unchanged
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged
	Proposed: 6.8m
Requirement:	Complies: Yes No
7.2m	·
Other	
Front Setback:	Existing and unchanged
Applicable: Yes No	
Requirement:	Proposed: 6.5m
6.5m	Complies: Yes No
п	
Other	
Is the Corner Allotment / Secondary Street Frontage control applicable?:	Corner Allotment:
□ Yes No	Existing and unchanged
Requirement:	Proposed:m



3.5m	Complies: Yes No
Other	
Housing Density: Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged
Requirement: 1 dwelling per 450sqm	Proposed:dwelling / persqm Complies: Yes No
1 dwelling per 450sqm 1 dwelling per 600sqm	
Other	
Landscape Open Space: Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged Proposed: 35.7% (175.0sqm)
✓ 40% (196.2sqm)	Complies: Yes No
50% (sqm)	
Other	
Rear Setback: Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged
	Proposed: 18.6m
Requirement: 6.0m	Complies: Yes No
Other	
Outbuildings:	Outbuildings:
Requirement:	Existing and unchanged
50% of rear setback	Proposed:%
Other	Complies: Yes No
Side Boundary Envelope:	
Applicable: Yes No	Boundary: Nth Sth Est Wst
Requirement:	Existing and unchanged or
4m / 45 degrees	Fully within Envelope: Yes No
5m / 45 degrees	Minor Breach: Yes No



Other	Complies: Yes No
Other	Compiles: Yes No
	Boundary: Nth Sth Est Wst
	Existing and unchanged
	or
	Fully within Envelope: Yes No
	Minor Breach: Yes No
	Complies: Yes No
	The H1 Freshwater Beach Locality Statement under WLEP 2000 gives concession to the Side Boundary Envelope Control where development is for the addition of a second storey to an existing dwelling.
	Accordingly, as the increased non-compliance with the Side Boundary Envelope Control is considered minor in extent, and does not contribute to an unreasonable sense of building bulk, nor have any significant impact to the amenity to surrounding allotments, it is considered that the concession under WLEP 2000 is applicable and therefore satisfactory in this regard.
Side Setbacks:	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst
Applicable: Yes No	П
-	Existing and unchanged or
900mm	Proposed: 2600mm
4.5m	Complies: Yes No
Other	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst
	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst
	Existing and unchanged
	or Proposed: 1100mm
	Complies: Yes No
General Principles of Development Control:	
CL38 Glare & reflections	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	The imposition of standard conditions will ensure the materials selected for the roof have a medium to dark colour range so as to reduce excessive solar reflections and glare.



CL39 Local retail centres	Complies:
Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL40 Housing for Older People and People with Disabilities	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	,,
Yes No	
CL41 Brothels	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition ino
CL42 Construction Sites	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
▼ Yes □ No	The imposition of standard conditions will ensure the
163 140	satisfactory management of the site during demolition and construction works.
	Construction works.
CL43 Noise	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
✓ Yes No	<u>-</u>
Yes No	The imposition of standard conditions will ensure the satisfactory management of the site with regard to noise
	emissions during both the demolition and construction phase.
CL44 Pollutants	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	res res, subject to condition ino
CL45 Hazardous Uses	Complies:
Applicable:	·
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL46 Radiation Emission Levels	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	,
CL47 Flood Affected Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ Yes No	res res , subject to condition No
CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely
	to be contaminated?
Yes No	□ Yes No
	Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?
	Yes No



CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL50 Safety & Security	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition ino
CL51 Front Fences and Walls	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes ✓ No	res res, subject to condition no
CL52 Development Near Parks, Bushland	Complies:
Reserves & other public Open Spaces	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	res res, subject to condition. No
Yes No	
CL53 Signs	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	. so , subject to contained.
CL54 Provision and Location of Utility	Complies:
Services Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	res res , subject to condition res
Yes No	
CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ _{Yes} No	res res, subject to condition into
CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental	Complies:
Features on Site	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	res res, subject to condition no
Yes No	
CL57 Development on Sloping Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	·
CL58 Protection of Existing Flora	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	1.55 1.65 , subject to condition 140
CL59 Koala Habitat Protection	Complies:
Applicable:	



Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL60 Watercourses & Aquatic Habitats	Complies:
Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL61 Views	Complies:
Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL62 Access to sunlight	Complies:
Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL63 Landscaped Open Space	Complies:
Applicable:	•
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL63A Rear Building Setback	Complies:
Applicable:	•
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	·
CL64 Private open space	Complies:
Applicable:	•
Applicable.	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL65 Privacy	Complies:
	•
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	It is considered that there are opportunities for
	unreasonable overlooking from the rear upper storey
	balcony to the private open spaces of the adjoining dwellings. In this regard, it is recommended that a condition
	of consent be imposed requiring the provision of a privacy
	screening device.
CL66 Building bulk	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
✓ Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition INO
CL67 Roofs	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
✓ Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No The imposition of standard conditions will ensure that the
Yes No	roof finish utilises materials that have a medium to dark
	colour range so as to reduce excessive solar reflections
	and glare.
010000000000000000000000000000000000000	Compliant
CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	The imposition of standard conditions will ensure that the
103 110	commitments made in the BASIX certificate to meet energy
	and water conservation requirements are implemented in the development prior to the issue of an Occupation



	Certificate.
CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public	Complies:
Buildings	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL70 Site facilities	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition ino
CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact)	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res, subject to condition into
CL72 Traffic access & safety	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Too your condition the
CL73 On-site Loading and Unloading	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res , subject to condition 140
CL74 Provision of Carparking	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition into
CL75 Design of Carparking Areas	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ Yes No	res res , subject to condition res
CL76 Management of Stormwater	Complies:
Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	The imposition of standard conditions will ensure the satisfactory management of the site with regard to
	stormwater disposal.
01.77 4611	Complian
CL77 Landfill	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL78 Erosion & Sedimentation	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	The imposition of standard conditions will ensure the
	satisfactory management of the site during both the demolition and construction phase to prevent erosion and
	sedimentation.
CL79 Heritage Control	Complies:



Applicable:	
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL80 Notice to Metropolitan Aboriginal Land Council and the National Parks and Wildlife Service Applicable:	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council	Complies:
Applicable:	
□ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage	Complies:
Items	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL83 Development of Known or Potential Archaeological Sites	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
Schedules:	
Schedule 5 State policies	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes ☑ No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Schedule 6 Preservation of bushland	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Schedule 7 Matters for consideration in a	Complies:
subdivision of land Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	res res , subject to condition ino
Yes No	
Schedule 8 Site analysis	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Schedule 9 Notification requirements for	Complies:
remediation work	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	res res , subject to condition INO
Yes No	
Schedule 10 Traffic generating development	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	



Schedule 11 Koala feed tree species and plans of management	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ _{Yes} ☑ _{No}	
Schedule 12 Requirements for complying	Complies:
development	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Yes No	
Schedule 13 Development guidelines for	Complies:
Collaroy/Narrabeen Beach Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	ree ree, subject to contained.
Yes No	
Schedule 14 Guiding principles for	Complies:
development near Middle Harbour Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	res res, subject to condition 140
Yes No	
Schedule 15 Statement of environmental effects	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ Yes No	
Schedule 17 Carparking provision	Complies:
Schedule 17 Carparking provision Applicable:	
Applicable:	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: ✓ Yes No Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instru	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instru SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No SEPP Basix: Applicable?	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instru SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No SEPP Basix: Applicable? Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instru SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No SEPP Basix: Applicable? Yes No If yes: Has the applicant provided Basix Certificat	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instru SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No SEPP Basix: Applicable? Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instru SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No SEPP Basix: Applicable? Yes No If yes: Has the applicant provided Basix Certificat	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instru SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No SEPP Basix: Applicable? Yes No If yes: Has the applicant provided Basix Certificate Yes No SEPP 55 Applicable?	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instru SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No SEPP Basix: Applicable? Yes No If yes: Has the applicant provided Basix Certificate Yes No SEPP 55 Applicable? Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No numerits:
Applicable: Yes No Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instru SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No SEPP Basix: Applicable? Yes No If yes: Has the applicant provided Basix Certificate Yes No SEPP 55 Applicable? Yes No Based on the previous land uses if the site like	Yes Yes, subject to condition No numerits:
Applicable: Yes No Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instru SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No SEPP Basix: Applicable? Yes No If yes: Has the applicant provided Basix Certificate Yes No SEPP 55 Applicable? Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No numerits:
Applicable: Yes No Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instru SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No SEPP Basix: Applicable? Yes No If yes: Has the applicant provided Basix Certificate Yes No SEPP 55 Applicable? Yes No Based on the previous land uses if the site like	Yes Yes, subject to condition No numerits:
Applicable: Yes No Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instru SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No SEPP Basix: Applicable? Yes No If yes: Has the applicant provided Basix Certificat Yes No SEPP 55 Applicable? Yes No Based on the previous land uses if the site like Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?	Yes Yes, subject to condition No numerits:
Applicable: Yes No Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instru SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No SEPP Basix: Applicable? Yes No If yes: Has the applicant provided Basix Certificat Yes No SEPP 55 Applicable? Yes No Based on the previous land uses if the site like Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No numerits:

SEPP Infrastructure Applicable?



Yes No			
Is the proposal for a swimming Within 30m of an overhead line	=	ucture?	
□ _{Yes}			
Within 5m of an overhead powe	r line ?		
☐ Yes ✓ No			
Does the proposal comply with	the SEPP?		
Yes No			
REPs: Applicable?: Yes	No		
EPA Regulation Considerations	:		
Clause 54 & 109 (Stop the Clock	()		
Applicable:			
Yes No			
Clause 92 (Demolition of Struct	ures)	Addressed via conditi	on?
Applicable:		□ _{Yes} □ _{No}	
☐ Yes ☑ No		res no	
Clause 92 (Government Coastal Policy)		Is the proposal consistent with the Goal and Objectives of the Government Coastal Policy?	
Applicable:			oastal Policy?
Yes No		Yes No	
Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety)		Addressed via conditi	on?
Applicable:		□ _{Yes} □ _{No}	
Yes No		Tes NO	
Clause 94 (Upgrade of Building for		Addressed via conditi	on?
Disability Access) Applicable:		Yes No	
		I CO INO	
Yes No			
Clause 98 (BCA)		Addressed via condition?	
Applicable:		✓ Yes No	
Yes No			
REFERRALS			
Referral Body/Officer Required			Response
Development Engineering		No	Satisfactory
			Satisfactory, subject to condition
			Unsatisfactory



Landscape Assessment	□ _{Yes} No		Satisfactory
			Satisfactory, subject to condition
			Unsatisfactory
Bushland Management	□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}		Satisfactory
			Satisfactory, subject to condition
			Unsatisfactory
Catchment Management	□ Yes No		Satisfactory
			Satisfactory, subject to condition
			Unsatisfactory
Heritage	Yes No		Satisfactory
			Satisfactory, subject to condition
			Unsatisfactory
Env. Health and Protection	□ Yes No		Satisfactory
			Satisfactory, subject to condition
			Unsatisfactory
NSW Rural Fire Service	□ Yes ✓ No		Satisfactory
			Satisfactory, subject to condition
			Unsatisfactory
Energy Australia	□ Yes No		Satisfactory
			Satisfactory, subject to condition
			Unsatisfactory
Applicable Legislation/ EPI's /Po	olicies:		
EPA Act 1979			es Act 1997
EPA Regulations 2000		RFI Act 1	
Disability Discrimination Act 1992			nagement Act 2000
Local Government Act 1993		Water Act 1912	
Roads Act 1993		Swimming Pools Act 1992;	
Modus Mot 1990		SEPP No	. 55 – Remediation of Land



· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
SEPP No. 71 – Coastal Protection	S94 Development Contributions Plan
SEPP BASIX	S94A Development Contributions Plan
SEPP Infrastructure	NSW Coastal Policy (cl 92 EPA Regulation)
₩LEP 2000	Other
W WDCP	
SECTION 79C EPA ACT 1979	
Section 79C (1) (a)(i) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any relevant environmental planning instrument?	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (a)(ii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	✓ Yes No
Section 79C (1) (a)(iii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any development control plan	t Yes No
Section 79C (1) (a)(iiia) - Have you considered all relevar provisions of any Planning Agreement or Draft Planning Agreement	Yes No N/A
Section 79C (1) (a)(iv) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Regulations?	▼ Yes □ No
Section 79C (1) (b) – Are the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality acceptable?	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (c) – It the site suitable for the development?	✓ Yes No
Section 79C (1) (d) – Have you considered any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EP Regs?	165 140
Section 79C (1) (e) - Is the proposal in the public interest	?

SECTION 2 - ISSUES

PUBLIC EXHIBTION

The subject application was publicly exhibited in accordance with the EPA Regulation 2000 and the applicable Development Control Plan.

✓ Yes □ No

As a result of the public exhibition of the application Council received submissions from:

Name	Address
Robert Jarvis and Norma	52 Wyndora Avenue, Freshwater
Campey	

The matters raised within the submissions are addressed as follows:

Impact to visual privacy;

Comment: Concern is raised in relation to the rear upper storey balcony in that the balcony will result in opportunities for unreasonable overlooking to the private open space of No.52 Wyndora Avenue. In addition, the location of windows along the eastern elevation will allow opportunities for overlooking to No.52 Wyndora Avenue.



It is considered that there are opportunities for unreasonable overlooking to the private open spaces of both adjoining dwellings given the narrow allotment width and elevation of the balcony. In this regard, it is recommended that a condition of consent be imposed requiring the provision of a privacy screening device to both side elevations.

With the exception of the large window opening towards the front of the dwelling (which serves the internal stairwell) the windows serving the sitting room are 'highlights' and will not result in any unreasonable impact to privacy. While it is expected that there will be high volumes of pedestrian traffic on the stairwell, the time spent in this location is generally in transit between two floors and in this regard, there will be no unreasonable impact to visual privacy.

Loss of solar access;

Comment: Concern is raised in relation to the overshadowing to the private open space of No.52 Wyndora Avenue from the increased shadows cast from the upper storey addition.

The shadow diagrams submitted with this application demonstrate an increase in the shadows cast from the upper storey addition, however maintaining a minimum of 2 hours of sunlight to the private open spaces of the adjoining dwellings on 21 June between the hours of 9:00am and 3:00pm. In this regard, the increase in overshadowing is considered acceptable.

MEDIATION

Has mediation been requested by the objectors?



WLEP 2000

DESIRED FUTURE CHARACTER

'The Freshwater Beach locality will remain characterised by detached style housing in landscaped settings interspersed by existing apartment style housing and a range of complementary and compatible uses.

Future development will maintain the visual pattern and predominant scale of existing detached style housing in the locality except for the Harbord Diggers Club. The streets will be characterised by landscaped front gardens and consistent front building setbacks. Unless exemptions are made to the housing density standard in this locality statement, any subdivision of land is to be consistent with the predominant pattern, size and configuration of existing allotments in the locality.

The locality contains hillsides and elevated landforms, prominent coastal headlands and cliffs and remnant vegetation. These elements will be protected from development that would detract from their visual and natural qualities, presenting in some parts of the locality a constraint to further development.

The Harbord Diggers Club will continue to cater for the recreational and leisure needs of the community. If the existing approved building and carparking areas are to be expanded, regard must be had to any approved and detailed masterplan for the site. Such a masterplan is to address issues such as views, visual impact, natural features, management of traffic and impact upon the amenity of the locality.

The locality will continue to be served by the existing local retail centre shown on the map. Future development in this centre will be in accordance with the general principles of development control provided in clause 39.'

Clause 12(3)(a) of WLEP 2000 requires the consent authority to consider Category 1 development against the locality's DFC statement. Notwithstanding Clause 12(3)(a) only requires the consideration of the DFC statement, however as detailed under the Built Form Controls Assessment section of this report the proposed development results in non-compliances with the Building Height and Landscaped Open Space Built Form Controls, as such pursuant to Clause 20(1) a higher test is required

Accordingly, an assessment of consistency of the proposed development against the locality's DFC is provided hereunder:

The proposed development is considered to satisfy the applicable DFC statement for the reasons detailed hereunder:



The proposed upper storey addition maintains the character of detached style housing, providing
a positive contribution to the streetscape through the consistent pattern of development, with an
overall building bulk and scale that provides visual interest and maintains a landscaped front
garden.

BUILT FORM CONTROLS

As detail within Section 1 (Code Assessment) the proposed development is considered to fails satisfy the Locality's Building Height and Landscaped Open Space Built Form Controls, accordingly, further assessment is provided hereunder.

Description of variations sought and reasons provided:

Building Height Built Form Control

Requirement:

Building Height (overall):	П
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged Proposed: 8.8m
Requirement:	Complies: Yes No
▼ 8.5m	

Area of inconsistency with control:

The proposed upper storey addition provides a finished height of 8.8m.

Merit Consideration of Non-compliance:

The subject site has a low grade fall, falling towards the rear. When viewed from the streetscape, the dwelling numerically complies with the control and comfortably fits within the building envelope. The non-compliance is contained to the rear of the dwelling. In this location, the design of the upper storey provides a balcony with open sides, breaking up the visual bulk. With this sense of openness, the roof form is severed from the bulk of the dwelling below and does not present as a sense of excessive building bulk or scale.

The non-complying element of the roof form does not significantly contribute to the increased overshadowing to the adjoining allotments.

Landscaped Open Space Built Form Control

Requirement:

Landscape Open Space:	
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged
144	Proposed: 35.7% (175.0sqm)
40% (196.2sqm)	Complies: Yes No
50% (sqm)	
Other	

Area of inconsistency with control:

The proposed landscaped open space equates to 35.7% of the site area and is deficient by 21.2sqm.



Merit Consideration of Non-compliance:

The proposed works are predominantly contained over the existing footprint of the dwelling with the exception of the front verandah which has an area of 6.9sqm.

The reduction of the existing landscaped open space area by 6.9sqm, is considered to still maintain areas sufficient in dimension for the establishment of landscape plantings, together with providing a functional area for recreation and relaxation uses. The reduction of the soft landscaping within the front of the dwelling still maintains a landscaped front setting. In this regard, there will be no substantial departure from the existing situation on site.

Clause 20(1) stipulates:

"Notwithstanding clause 12 (2) (b), consent may be granted to proposed development even if the development does not comply with one or more development standards, provided the resulting development is consistent with the general principles of development control, the desired future character of the locality and any relevant State environmental planning policy."

In determining whether the proposal qualifies for a variation under Clause 20(1) of WLEP 2000, consideration must be given to the following:

(i) General Principles of Development Control

The proposal is generally consistent with the General Principles of Development Control and accordingly, qualifies to be considered for a variation to the development standards, under the provisions of Clause 20(1) (See discussion on "General Principles of Development Control" in this report for a detailed assessment of consistency).

(ii) Desired Future Character of the Locality

The proposal is consistent with the Locality's Desired Future Character Statement and accordingly, qualifies to be considered for a variation to the development standards, under the provisions of Clause 20(1) (See discussion on "Desired Future Character" in this report for a detailed assessment of consistency).

(iii) Relevant State Environmental Planning Policies

The proposal has been considered consistent with all applicable State Environmental Planning Policies. (Refer to earlier discussion under 'State Environmental Planning Policies'). Accordingly the proposal qualifies to be considered for a variation to the development standards, under the provisions of Clause 20(1).

As detailed above, the proposed development is considered to satisfy the requirements to qualify for consideration under Clause 20(1). It is for this reason that the variation to the Building Height and Landscaped Open Space Built Form Controls (Development Standards) pursuant to Clause 20(1) are supported.



SECTION 3 – SITE INSPECTION ANALYSIS



Site area 490.5sqm	Waterfalls
Detail existing onsite structures:	Creeks / Watercourse
None Dwelling	Aboriginal Art / Carvings Any Item of / or any potential item of heritage significance
□ Detached Garage □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	Potential View Loss as a result of development
Detached carport Swimming pool	Yes No If Yes where from (in relation to site):
Tennis Court	in res where from (in relation to site).
Cabana	North / South
Other	East / West
Site Features:	North East / South West North West / South East
None	View of:
Trees Under Storey Vegetation	Ocean / Waterways Yes No
Rock Outcrops	Headland Yes No
Caves	District Views Yes No
Overhangs	Bushland Yes No Other:



Bushfire Prone?	Does the proposal easements / Rights of		any
Yes No		way:	
Flood Prone?	Yes No		
☐ Yes No			
Affected by Acid Sulfate Soils			
☐ Yes No			
Located within 40m of any natural watercourse?			
□ Yes No			
Located within 1km landward of the open coast watermark or within 1km of any bay estuaries, coastal lake, lagoon, island, tidal waterway within the area mapped within the NSW Coastal Policy?			
□ Yes No			
Located within 100m of the mean high watermark?			
□ Yes No			
Located within an area identified as a Wave Impact Zone?			
□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}			
Any items of heritage significance located upon it?			
□ Yes No			
Located within the vicinity of any items of heritage significance?			
▼ Yes □ No			
Located within an area identified as potential land slip?			
☐ Yes No			
Is the development Integrated?			
Yes No			
Does the development require concurrence?			
☐ Yes No			
Is the site owned or is the DA made by the "Crown"?			
☐ Yes No			
Have you reviewed the DP and s88B instrument?			
Yes No			



Site Inspection / Desktop Assessment Undertaken by:

Does the site inspection <section 3=""> confirm the assessment undertaken against the relevant EPI's <section's &="" 1="" 2="">?</section's></section>	Yes No
Are there any additional matters that have arisen from your site inspection that would require any	□ _{Yes} □ _{No}
additional assessment to be undertaken?	If yes provide detail:
Signed Date 20 A	PRIL 2009
Signed Date 20 A	FRIL 2009

Michael Edwards, Development Assessment Officer



SECTION 4 – APPLICATION DETERMINATION

Сი			

	osal has been considered against the relevant heads of consideration under S79C of the EPA and the proposed development is considered to be:
	factory
Recomm	endation:
That Cou	uncil as the consent authority
✓ GI	RANT DEVELOPMENT CONSENT to the development application subject to:
	(a) the conditions detailed within the associated notice of determination; and(b) the consent lapsing within three (3) from operation
	GRANT DEFERRED COMMENCEMENT CONSENT to the development application subject to:
((a) the conditions detailed within the associated notice of determination; (b) limit the deferred commencement condition time frame to 3 years; (c) one the deferred commencement matter have been satisfactorily addressed issue an operational consent subject to the time frames detailed within part (d); and (d) the consent lapsing within three (3) from operation
□ RE	EFUSE development consent to the development application subject to:
((a) the reasons detailed within the associated notice of determination.
Signed	Date 20 APRIL 2009
	Edwards, Development Assessment Officer
	cation is determined under the delegated authority of:

Ryan Cole, Team Leader, Development Assessment

Date 20 APRIL 2009

Signed