

Heritage Referral Response

| | |
|--|--|
| Application Number: | Mod2022/0592 |
| Date: | 05/12/2022 |
| To: | Kye Miles |
| Land to be developed (Address): | Lot 1 DP 589286 , 50 A Pacific Parade MANLY NSW 2095 |

Officer comments

| HERITAGE COMMENTS | | |
|---|----|--|
| Discussion of reason for referral | | |
| <p>The proposal has been referred to Heritage as it adjoins two heritage items:</p> <p>Item I2 - All stone kerbs - Along Pacific Parade</p> <p>Item I191 - Street trees - Pacific Parade</p> | | |
| Details of heritage items affected | | |
| <p>Item I2 - All Stone kerbs <u>Statement of significance</u> Stone kerbs are heritage listed. <u>Physical description</u> Sandstone kerbing to streets relating to paving and kerbing of streets in the nineteenth century. Mostly located within Manly Village area and adjacent lower slopes of Eastern Hill and Fairlight.</p> <p>Item I191 - Street trees <u>Statement of significance</u> Listed for its aesthetic importance to the streetscape. <u>Physical description</u> Avenue planting of mixed tree species planted in carriageway. Species include Port Jackson Fig, Brush Box and Camphor Laurel.</p> | | |
| Other relevant heritage listings | | |
| Sydney Regional Environmental Plan (Sydney Harbour Catchment) 2005 | No | |
| Australian Heritage Register | No | |
| NSW State Heritage Register | No | |
| National Trust of Aust (NSW) Register | No | |
| RAIA Register of 20th Century Buildings of Significance | No | |
| Other | No | |
| Consideration of Application | | |
| The proposal seeks consent for modification to a consent (DA2020/0139) approved in June 2020 | | |

following another modification application - two modification applications (MOD2020/0706 and MOD2021/0918). The proposal involves modifications to the windows facing the side boundary and a new balcony to the rear, facing north. Given the modifications do not involve any works to the front elevation and the street facade, the additional impact of the proposal upon the significance of the heritage items and the streetscape is considered manageable.

Therefore, Heritage raises no objections on heritage grounds require no conditions.

Consider against the provisions of CL5.10 of Manly LEP 2013.

Is a Conservation Management Plan (CMP) Required? No

Has a CMP been provided? No

Is a Heritage Impact Statement required? No

Has a Heritage Impact Statement been provided? No

Further Comments

The proposal is therefore supported.

Note: Should you have any concerns with the referral comments above, please discuss these with the Responsible Officer.

Recommended Heritage Advisor Conditions:

Nil.