

COMMERCIAL CHANGE OF USE

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

Assessment Officer: Adrian Melo

Proposal Description: Fitout and use of the premises as a Dance Studio and associated Signage

Property Address: Lot 32 Sec 2 DP 6033, 11 Mitchell Road BROOKVALE NSW 2100

Application No: DA2009/0262

Report Section	Applicable	Complete & Attached
Section 1 – Code Assessment	✓ Yes No	✓ Yes No
Section 2 – Issues Assessment	Yes No	▼ Yes No
Section 2A – SEPP 64	Yes No	✓ Yes No
Section 2B – Schedule 17 Car parking	Yes No	▼ Yes □ No
Section 3 – Site Inspection Analysis	✓ Yes No	✓ Yes No
Section 4 – Application Determination	Yes No	✓ Yes No
Estimated Cost of Works: \$ 28, 000		
Are S94A Contributions Applicable?		

Section 3 – Site Inspection Analysis	Yes No	Yes No
Section 4 – Application Determination	Yes No	Yes No
Estimated Cost of Works: \$ 28, 000 Are S94A Contributions Applicable?		
Yes No		
Notification Required?	Period of Pu	blic Exhibition?
▼ Yes □ No	14 days	21 days 30 days N/A
Submissions Received?		
☐ Yes No		
No. of Submissions: 0		



Are any trees impacted upon by the proposed development? $\hfill\Box$ Yes \hfill No

SECTION 1 – CODE ASSESSMENT REPORT

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS

WLEP 2000		
Locality: F3 Brookvale Industrial Development Definition: Housing Ancillary Development to Housing Other Recreational Facility		
Category of Development: Category 1 Category 2 Category 3 Desired Future Character:		
Category 1 Development with no variations to BF	FC's (Section 2 Assessment not required)	
Is the development considered to be consistent with the Locality's Desired Future Character Statement? Yes No		
Category 1 Development with variations to BFC's	s (Section 2 Assessment Required)	
Category 2 Development Consistency Test	(Section 2 Assessment Required)	
Category 3 Development Consistency Test	(Section 2 Assessment Required)	
Built Form Controls:		
Building Height (overall):	Existing and unchanged	
Applicable: Yes No	Proposed:m	
Requirement:	Complies:	
8.5m		
11.0m		
Other		
Building Height (underside of upper most ceiling): Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged	
	Proposed:m	
Requirement:	Complies: Yes No	
7.2m		
Other		
Front Setback:	Existing and unchanged	
Applicable: Yes No		
Proposed:m		



6.5m	Complies: Yes No
Other 4.5m	
Is the Corner Allotment / Secondary Street Frontage control applicable?:	Corner Allotment:
Yes No	Existing and unchanged
Requirement:	
3.5m	Proposed:m
Other	Complies: Yes No
Housing Density:	Existing and unchanged
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged
Requirement:	Proposed:dwelling / persqm
	Complies: Yes No
1 dwelling per 450sqm	
1 dwelling per 600sqm	
Other	
Landscape Open Space:	Existing and unchanged
Applicable: Yes No	Proposed: % (sqm)
П	
40% (sqm)	Complies: Yes No
50% (sqm)	
Other	
Rear Setback:	Existing and unchanged
Applicable: Yes No	Proposed:m
Requirement:	
6.0m	Complies: Yes No
Other	
Outbuildings:	Outbuildings:
Requirement:	Existing and unchanged
50% of rear setback	Proposed:%
Other	
Ottlet	Complies: Yes No



Side Boundary Envelope:	
Applicable: Yes No	Boundary: Nth Sth Est Wst
Requirement: 4m / 45 degrees 5m / 45 degrees Other	Existing and unchanged or Fully within Envelope: Yes No Minor Breach: Yes No Complies: Yes No Boundary: Nth Sth Est Wst Existing and unchanged or Fully within Envelope: Yes No Minor Breach: Yes No
	Complies: Yes No
Side Setbacks: Applicable: Yes No	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst Existing and unchanged or
900mm	Proposed:m
4.5m	Complies: Yes No
Other	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst Existing and unchanged or Proposed:m Complies: Yes No
Other:	



General Principles of Development Control:

CL38 Glare & reflections	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL39 Local retail centres	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL40 Housing for Older People and People	Complies:
with Disabilities	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	res res, subject to condition ino
Yes No	
CL41 Brothels	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL42 Construction Sites	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition rec
CL43 Noise	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition in
CL44 Pollutants	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res, subject to condition ino
CL45 Hazardous Uses	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition ino
CL46 Radiation Emission Levels	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res, subject to condition in
CL47 Flood Affected Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition ino
CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?
Yes No	□ Yes ▼ No
	Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?
	▼ Yes □ No



CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res, subject to condition rec
CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition rec
CL50 Safety & Security	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Too younger to contain the
CL51 Front Fences and Walls	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL52 Development Near Parks, Bushland	Complies:
Reserves & other public Open Spaces	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	,
Yes No	
CL53 Signs	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition rec
01.54.5 1.1	
CL54 Provision and Location of Utility	Complies:
Services	Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Services Applicable:	
Services Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Services Applicable: Yes No CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Services Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Services Applicable: Yes No CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Services Applicable: Yes No CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density Applicable: Yes No CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Services Applicable: Yes No CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density Applicable: Yes No CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental Features on Site	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies:
Services Applicable: Yes No CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density Applicable: Yes No CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental Features on Site Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Services Applicable: Yes No CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density Applicable: Yes No CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental Features on Site	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies:
Services Applicable: Yes No CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density Applicable: Yes No CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental Features on Site Applicable: Yes No CL57 Development on Sloping Land	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies:
Services Applicable: Yes No CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density Applicable: Yes No CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental Features on Site Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies:
Services Applicable: Yes No CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density Applicable: Yes No CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental Features on Site Applicable: Yes No CL57 Development on Sloping Land	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Services Applicable: Yes No CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density Applicable: Yes No CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental Features on Site Applicable: Yes No CL57 Development on Sloping Land Applicable: Yes No CL58 Protection of Existing Flora	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies:
Services Applicable: Yes No CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density Applicable: Yes No CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental Features on Site Applicable: Yes No CL57 Development on Sloping Land Applicable: Yes No CL58 Protection of Existing Flora Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Services Applicable: Yes No CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density Applicable: Yes No CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental Features on Site Applicable: Yes No CL57 Development on Sloping Land Applicable: Yes No CL58 Protection of Existing Flora Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Services Applicable: Yes No CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density Applicable: Yes No CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental Features on Site Applicable: Yes No CL57 Development on Sloping Land Applicable: Yes No CL58 Protection of Existing Flora Applicable: Yes No CL59 Koala Habitat Protection	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies:
Services Applicable: Yes No CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density Applicable: Yes No CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental Features on Site Applicable: Yes No CL57 Development on Sloping Land Applicable: Yes No CL58 Protection of Existing Flora Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No



CL60 Watercourses & Aquatic Habitats	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL61 Views	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL62 Access to sunlight	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition ino
CL63 Landscaped Open Space	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res , subject to condition - No
CL63A Rear Building Setback	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res, subject to condition into
CL64 Private open space	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res , subject to condition 140
CL65 Privacy	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ Yes No	res , subject to condition 140
CL66 Building bulk	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res , subject to condition 140
CL67 Roofs	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	·
CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res , subject to condition 140
CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public	Complies:
Buildings	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	res res, subject to condition into
Yes No	
CL70 Site facilities	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	. 55 , Gabjest to condition 140



CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact)	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
▼ Yes □ No	res res, subject to condition ino
CL72 Traffic access & safety	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
✓ Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No Further assessment of the proposal against the
res No	requirements of this General principle has been undertaken in Section 2 of this report.
CL73 On-site Loading and Unloading	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition ino
CL74 Provision of Carparking	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Further assessment of the proposal against the
	requirements of this General principle has been undertaken in Section 2 of this report.
CL75 Design of Carparking Areas	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
✓ Yes No	Further assessment of the proposal against the
Tes No	requirements of this General principle has been undertaken in Section 2 of this report.
CL76 Management of Stormwater	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL77 Landfill	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res, subject to condition ino
CL78 Erosion & Sedimentation	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res , subject to condition 140
CL79 Heritage Control	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL80 Notice to Metropolitan Aboriginal Land	Complies:
Council and the National Parks and Wildlife Service	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	res , subject to condition ino
□ _{Yes} No	
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council	REPEALED
CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage	Complies:
Items Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	, ,
Yes No	



vveu ii	igair Council
CL83 Development of Known or Potential Archaeological Sites	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	res res, subject to condition ino
Yes No	
Schedules:	
Schedule 5 State policies	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes ☑ No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Schedule 6 Preservation of bushland	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ Yes No	res res , subject to condition ino
Schedule 7 Matters for consideration in a	Complies:
subdivision of land	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	res res, subject to condition ino
Yes No	
Schedule 8 Site analysis	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition ino
Schedule 9 Notification requirements for	Complies:
remediation work	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Yes No	
Schedule 10 Traffic generating development	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ _{Yes} Խ _{No}	res res, subject to condition ino
Schedule 11 Koala feed tree species and	Complies:
plans of management	п., п., ., ., п.,
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
Schedule 12 Requirements for complying development	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	Tes Tes, subject to condition Tes
Yes No	
Schedule 13 Development guidelines for Collaroy/Narrabeen Beach	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	
Schedule 14 Guiding principles for	Complies:
development near Middle Harbour	п. п
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	



Schedule 15 Statement of environmental effects Applicable: Yes No Schedule 17 Carparking provision Applicable: Yes No Schedules: EPA Regulation Considerations: Clause 54 & 109 (Stop the Clock) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 98 (BCA) Addressed via condition? Yes No Further Assessment Required	We	arringan Council
Applicable: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Schedule 17 Carparking provision Applicable: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Addressed via condition No Addressed via condition No Addressed via condition No Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further BCA report supplied? Yes No Clause 98 (BCA) Addressed via condition No Addressed via		Complies:
Schedule 17 Carparking provision Applicable: Yes No Schedules: EPA Regulation Considerations: Clause 54 & 109 (Stop the Clock) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further BCA report supplied? Yes No DAO to investigate further BCA greyord supplied? Addressed via condition? Yes No Addressed via condition?		
Schedule 17 Carparking provision Applicable: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Schedules: EPA Regulation Considerations: Clause 54 & 109 (Stop the Clock) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further BCA report supplied? Yes No Clause 98 (BCA) Addressed via condition?		Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Schedules: EPA Regulation Considerations: Clause 54 & 109 (Stop the Clock) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further BCA report supplied? Yes No Clause 98 (BCA) Addressed via condition?		
Yes Yes , subject to condition No Schedules: EPA Regulation Considerations: Clause 54 & 109 (Stop the Clock) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further BCA report supplied? Yes No Addressed via condition? Yes No Addressed via condition?		Complies:
Schedules: EPA Regulation Considerations: Clause 54 & 109 (Stop the Clock) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No Further Assessment Required Clause 98 (BCA) Addressed via condition?		Yes Yes subject to condition No
EPA Regulation Considerations: Clause 54 & 109 (Stop the Clock) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further BCA report supplied? Yes No Addressed via condition? Yes No Addressed via condition?	Yes No	res res , subject to condition rec
Clause 54 & 109 (Stop the Clock) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further BCA report supplied? Yes No Addressed via condition? Further Assessment Required Clause 98 (BCA) Addressed via condition? Addressed via condition?	Schedules:	
Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Addressed via condition? Addressed via condition? Yes No Further Assessment Required Yes No Addressed via condition?	EPA Regulation Considerations:	
Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further BCA report supplied? Yes No Clause 98 (BCA) Andiressed via condition? Addressed via condition? Addressed via condition? Addressed via condition? Addressed via condition?	Clause 54 & 109 (Stop the Clock)	
Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Addressed via condition? Addressed via condition? Addressed via condition? Yes No Further Assessment Required Per No Further Assessment Required Clause 98 (BCA) Addressed via condition?	Applicable:	
Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No Addressed via condition? Yes No Further Assessment Required No Clause 98 (BCA) Applicable: Addressed via condition? Addressed via condition? Addressed via condition?	Yes No DAO to investigate further	
Yes No Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further BCA report supplied? Yes No Clause 98 (BCA) Analicable: Addressed via condition? Addressed via condition? Addressed via condition?	,	Addressed via condition?
Yes No DAO to investigate further Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further BCA report supplied? Yes No Clause 98 (BCA) Applicable: Addressed via condition? Further Assessment Required Addressed via condition?	Applicable:	Vos D No
Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further BCA report supplied? Yes No DAO to investigate further BCA report supplied? Yes No Addressed via condition?	Yes No DAO to investigate further	res no
Yes No DAO to investigate further BCA report supplied? Yes No Further Assessment Required Yes No Further Assessment Required Addressed via condition?	Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety)	Addressed via condition?
Yes No DAO to investigate further BCA report supplied? Yes No Clause 98 (BCA) Applied No Applied No Applied No	Applicable:	Voc No Further Assessment Required
BCA report supplied? Yes No Clause 98 (BCA) Applied No Addressed via condition?	Yes No DAO to investigate further	res no ruither Assessment Required
Yes No Clause 98 (BCA) Applicables Addressed via condition?		
Clause 98 (BCA) Applicables Addressed via condition?		
Applicable	Yes No	
Applicable:		Addressed via condition?
Vos No	Applicable:	▼ Yes □ No
Yes No DAO to investigate further	Yes No DAO to investigate further	TES INU
Is a Construction Certificate required? Addressed via condition?	Is a Construction Certificate required?	Addressed via condition?
Applicable: Yes No		Vos D No
Yes No DAO to investigate further (BCA Assessment Required see	Yes No DAO to investigate further	TES INU
(BCA Assessment Required see	(BCA Assessment Required see	
Section 2)		
Disability & Discrimination Act Addressed via condition?	Disability & Discrimination Act	Addressed via condition?
Applicable	-	
Yes No DAO to investigate further	Yes No DAO to investigate further	Yes No Amended plans required
Is a POPE (Place of Public Entertainment Addressed via condition?		Addressed via condition?
required?		
Yes No	□ M □	Yes No
Yes No DAO to investigate further	Yes No DAO to investigate further	



REFERRALS

Referral Body/Officer	Required	Response
Development Engineering	Yes No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
Landscape Assessment		Unsatisfactory
Landscape Assessment	Yes No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Bushland Management	□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	П
	Yes No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Catchment Management	Yes No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Building Compliance and		Satisfactory
Assessment	Yes No	Satisfactory, subject to condition
Aboriginal Heritage	E	Unsatisfactory
g	Yes No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Env. Health and Protection	□ _{Yes} ☑ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
NSW Rural Fire Service		Unsatisfactory
	Yes No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Energy Australia	□ _{Yes} ☑ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory



Applicable Legislation/ EPI's /Policies:

V	EPA Act 1979
V	EPA Regulations 2000
V	Disability Discrimination Act 1992
	Local Government Act 1993
	Roads Act 1993
	Rural Fires Act 1997
	RFI Act 1948
	Water Management Act 2000
	Water Act 1912
	Swimming Pools Act 1992;
V	SEPP No. 55 – Remediation of Land
	SEPP No. 71 – Coastal Protection
	SEPP No. 22 Shops & Commercial Premises
	SEPP No. 64 – Advertising & Signage
~	SEPP Infrastructure
	SEPP BASIX
	SEPP Infrastructure
	WLEP 2000
V	WDCP
	S94 Development Contributions Plan
	S94A Development Contributions Plan
	NSW Coastal Policy (cl 92 EPA Regulation)
	Other



SECTION 79C EPA ACT 1979

 $lap{\ }$ Yes ho No

Section 79C (1) (a)(i) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any relevant environmental planning instrument?	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (a)(ii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (a)(iii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any development control plan	✓ Yes No
Section 79C (1) (a)(iiia) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Planning Agreement or Draft Planning Agreement	Yes No No N/A
Section 79C (1) (a)(iv) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Regulations?	✓ Yes No
Section 79C (1) (b) – Are the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality acceptable?	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (c) – It the site suitable for the development?	✓ Yes □ No
Section 79C (1) (d) – Have you considered any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs?	Yes No None received
Section 79C (1) (e) – Is the proposal in the public interest?	✓ Yes No
SECTION 2 – ISSUES	
SEPPs Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instruments	
Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instruments:	
Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instruments: SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No	
Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instruments:	
Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instruments: SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No	
Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instruments: SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No SEPP Basix: Applicable?	
Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instruments: SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No SEPP Basix: Applicable? Yes No	
Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instruments: SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No SEPP Basix: Applicable? Yes No If yes: Has the applicant provided Basix Certification?	
Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instruments: SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No SEPP Basix: Applicable? Yes No If yes: Has the applicant provided Basix Certification? Yes No SEPP 55 Applicable? Yes No	
Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instruments: SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No SEPP Basix: Applicable? Yes No If yes: Has the applicant provided Basix Certification? Yes No SEPP 55 Applicable?	ontaminated?
Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instruments: SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No SEPP Basix: Applicable? Yes No If yes: Has the applicant provided Basix Certification? Yes No SEPP 55 Applicable? Yes No	ontaminated?



SEPP Infrastructure Applicable? Yes No Is the proposal for a swimming pool: Within 30m of an overhead line support structure? Yes No

Within 5m of an overhead power line?

☐ Yes ✓ No

Does the proposal comply with the SEPP?

✓ Yes □ No

WLEP 2000

DESIRED FUTURE CHARACTER

'The Brookvale Industrial locality will remain an industrial and employment centre incorporating industries, warehouses and ancillary service uses.

New development or significant redevelopment will be designed to incorporate landscaping to soften the visual impact of industrial buildings and their associated parking and other paved areas as viewed from the street.

At the interface of the locality with adjoining and adjacent residential areas, buildings will be sited and designed and the use of land managed to minimise interference with the amenity of such residential areas.

Allotments are to be consolidated where necessary to ensure the development of one allotment will not render an adjoining allotment unsuitable for development.'

The proposal is for a "Dance Studio" which does not have a separate definition under the WLEP 2000. Given the nature of the proposed use it is considered that the closest applicable definition is "Recreational Facility", which is defined as 'a building or place used for indoor or outdoor sporting activities, recreation or leisure activities, whether or not operated for the purpose of gain, but does not include a building or place elsewhere defined in (the) Dictionary.'

Accordingly, given the above definition, the proposed land use is identified as being Category 2 development within the F3 Brookvale Industrial locality. Under Clause 12(3)(b) of WLEP 2000, with regards to Category 2 development, the consent authority must be satisfied that the proposed development is consistent with the desired future character for the locality.

Accordingly, an assessment of consistency of the proposed development against the locality's DFC is provided hereunder:

The proposed development is considered to satisfy the applicable DFC statement for the following reasons:

- The proposal is for a recreational facility, which is complementary and compatible with maintaining an employment centre. This use contributes to the locality in its function as an employment centre and is considered consistent with the requirement in this regard.
- The proposed development is for the occupation and use of an existing building and accordingly will
 not significantly alter the existing built form.
- The subject site is not located at the interface between the locality and surrounding residential localities.



GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF DEVELOPMENT CONTROL

Clause 72 Traffic Access and Safety

Requirement: This General Principle requires that vehicle access points for parking, servicing or deliveries, and pedestrian access, are to be located in such a way as to minimise:

- · traffic hazards, and
- · vehicles queuing on public roads, and
- the number of crossing places to a street, and
- traffic and pedestrian conflict, and
- interference with public transport facilities.

Merit Consideration: The proposal is considered to fail the following identified points, resulting in noncompliance with this General Principle.

- traffic hazards, and
- · vehicles queuing on public roads, and

Comment: The location of the site and design of the carparking area with associated pickup and drop off areas will create potential traffic hazards and result in vehicles queuing on Mitchell Road. The proposed carparking configuration does not allow for adequate turning circles to allow vehicles sufficient room to enter and exit the site in a forward direction. This will potentially result in site visitors having to reverse in and/or out of Mitchell Road. This, when combined with the single width access point, will require vehicles to queue on Mitchell Road in order to access the site. It must be noted that Mitchell Road experiences high volumes of traffic not only during business hours but also during the evening as well when the studio is proposed to operate. Accordingly, the vehicles queuing on Mitchell Road are considered to create a traffic hazard which is considered unacceptable.

· traffic and pedestrian conflict, and

Comment: The site does not allow for separated pedestrian and vehicle access, resulting in potential pedestrian and vehicle conflict. This is as access to the site for both pedestrians and vehicles is to be provided via the entry gate to the carpark. This will require students to access the dance studio across a carparking area which forms the only drop-off pick-up area for the subject site. Accordingly, given the limited manoeuvring area and that this is the only method of access to the site it is considered that the proposal will result in potential traffic and pedestrian conflict.

As a result of the above assessment of the proposal against the requirements of tis General Principle, it can be seen that the proposal is inherently flawed and is not worthy of approval. Accordingly, the areas of non-compliance with the requirements of this General Principle have been incorporated into the reasons for refusal.

Clause 74 Provision of Carparking

Requirement: This General Principle requires the provision of adequate off-street carparking within the subject property boundaries. Regard must be given to:

- the land use, and
- · the hours of operation, and
- · the availability of public transport, and
- · the availability of alternative carparking, and
- the need for parking facilities for courier vehicles, delivery/service vehicles and bicycles.

With regards to this particular landuse:

- (a) if Schedule 17 (Carparking provision) specifies the minimum number of on-site parking spaces required in relation to a particular land use, or sets out a means of calculating that minimum number of spaces—at least that minimum number of spaces must be provided, or
- (b) if Schedule 17 provides for comparisons to be drawn with developments for a similar purpose or for surveys to be taken—comparisons must be drawn or surveys taken and no less than the appropriate number of spaces must be provided, or
- (c) if Schedule 17 requires reference to be made to specified design principles—reference must be made to those design principles.

Given that the proposal is for a dance studio which is not separately defined within the WLEP 2000, it is considered that the closest land use type is 'Gymnasium'. The parking requirements for a Gymnasium is



4.5 spaces per 100 m² GFA. The total GFA for the proposed land use of the subject site is approximately 310sqm, which equates to approximately 14 (13.9 rounded up) car parking spaces.

Area of inconsistency with control: It is noted that the proposal will allow for 3 staff car parking spaces, 1 disabled car parking space and 2 customer car parking spaces, achieving a total of 6 car parking spaces. Accordingly the proposal will result in a deficit of 8 car parking spaces.

Merit Consideration of Non-compliance: Given the above it could be assumed that the proposal will result in a significant deficit of car parking spaces. It is considered that this is not the case for the following reasons:

- The submitted statement of environmental effects states that '...the studio will cater for up to 8 persons (clients) at any one time...'. Accordingly it can be seen that despite the studios size, a small number of clients will be located on site at any given time.
- The proposed hours of use are 3:45pm 8pm Monday to Friday s and 9am to 1pm on Saturdays. Given that the site is located within an industrial area, it can been that the hours or operation will primarily fall outside of business hours, allowing for use of the street as possible overflow car parking.
- The ages of clients/students are to range from 5-17 years and as a result will most likely be dropped off at the site, reducing the need for the provision of onsite car parking.
- Mitchell Road is serviced by the bus routes 355, 357, 359, 361, 555, 556, and 557.
 Accordingly it can bee seen that the site is accessible from a variety of areas serviced by public transport.

Given the above, subject to a condition of consent limiting the number of occupants to a maximum of 10 at any given time, the proposal is considered to satisfy the requirements of this General Principle.

Clause 75 Design of Carparking areas

Requirement: This General Principle requires that carparking must:

- avoid the use of mechanical car stacking devices, and
- not be readily apparent from public spaces, and
- provide safe and convenient pedestrian and traffic movement, and
- · include adequate provision for manoeuvring and convenient access to individual spaces, and
- where possible, enable vehicles to enter and leave the site in a forward direction, and
- · incorporate unobstructed access to visitor parking spaces, and
- be landscaped to shade parked vehicles, screen them from public view, assist in micro-climate management and create attractive and pleasant spaces, and
- provide on-site detention of stormwater, where appropriate, and
- make reasonable provision for the carparking needs of people with physical disabilities.

Merit Consideration: The proposal is considered to fail the following identified points, resulting in noncompliance with this General Principle.

• provide safe and convenient pedestrian and traffic movement, and

Comment: The proposal will rely heavily upon pick-up and drop-off spots resulting in constant traffic movement within the carparking area. Accordingly, the layout of the proposed carparking area is not considered to allow for safe and convenient pedestrian and traffic movement as vehicles will constantly be entering and leaving the site in order to set down and pick up students. This is a concern as this same area provides the only access to the proposed dance studio for pedestrians, resulting in potential pedestrian/vehicle conflict. Accordingly the proposed carparking configuration is not considered to provide safe or convenient pedestrian and traffic movement.

· include adequate provision for manoeuvring and convenient access to individual spaces, and

Comment: Due to the relatively small size of the proposed carparking area, the layout of the carparking area does not allow for adequate manoeuvring and convenient access to individual spaces. This is as the width of the carparking area does not allow for adequate turning circles to access the proposed carparking spaces. Additionally, the three stacked carparking spaces located between the building and the property boundary have limited access.

• where possible, enable vehicles to enter and leave the site in a forward direction, and



Comment: The proposed carparking area does not allow for adequate space to allow for vehicles to enter and leave in a forward direction. The proposed carparking configuration does not allow for adequate turning circles to allow for vehicles to enter and exit the site in a forward direction. This is a significant concern as it will result in vehicles having to reverse out onto Mitchell Road which experiences High Volumes of traffic even out side of key business hours.

· incorporate unobstructed access to visitor parking spaces, and

Comment: The site is anticipated to rely upon pick-up and drop-off areas, which when combined with a single car driveway, and the small size of the carparking area will prevent unobstructed access to visitor parking spaces.

As a result of the above assessment of the proposal against the requirements of tis General Principle, it can be seen that the proposal is inherently flawed and is not worthy of approval. Accordingly, the areas of non-compliance with the requirements of this General Principle have been incorporated into the reasons for refusal.

OTHER MATTERS FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION:

Section 2A - SEPP No. 64 - Advertising and Signage

	◪	г	
Is SEPP 64 Applicable to the proposal?	Yes	_	No (delete table below)

Clauses 8 and 13 of SEPP 64 require Council to determine consistency with the objectives stipulated under Clause 3(1) (a) of the aforementioned SEPP and to assess the proposal against the assessment criteria of Schedule 1.

Matters for Consideration	Comment	Complies
1. Character of the area Is the proposal compatible with the existing or desired future character of the area or locality in which it is proposed to be located?	The subject site is located within the F3 Brookvale Industrial locality under WLEP 2000. This locality is primarily comprised of commercial premises and industrial uses which provide advertising signage for the premises, including wall, window and pylon signs. The proposed development involves the erection of a singular wall sign on the front façade which is consistent with existing surrounding signage.	Yes No
Is the proposal consistent with a particular theme for outdoor advertising in the area or locality?	The subject site is located within an existing commercial/industrial land use area with varying signage and building form. The proposed signage is considered to be satisfactory with regard to the advertising theme for the commercial uses within the locality.	Yes No
2. Special areas Does the proposal detract from the amenity or visual quality of any environmentally sensitive areas, heritage areas, natural or other conservation areas, open space areas, waterways, rural landscapes or residential areas?	The subject site is not located within the vicinity of any environmentally sensitive area, heritage item, waterway or rural landscape.	Yes No
3. Views and vistas Does the proposal obscure or compromise important views?	In addition, the proposed signage will not result in the obscuring of views from any public or private domain.	Yes
Does the proposal dominate the skyline and reduce the quality of vistas?	All proposed signage is located below the existing roof line and will therefore not result	Yes



	in any change to the existing built form.	□ _{No}
Does the proposal respect the viewing rights of other advertisers?	Given that the proposed sign is to be affixed to an existing building which is well setback from the road by 10m, it is not considered that the proposed sign will interfere with the viewing rights of other advertisers.	Yes No
4. Streetscape, setting or landscape Is the scale, proportion and form of the proposal appropriate for the streetscape, setting or landscape?	The proposed signage is not considered to adversely impact on the surrounding streetscape, setting or landscape due to the location of the signage.	Yes
Does the proposal contribute to the visual interest of the streetscape, setting or landscape?	The proposed signage is consistent with that of surrounding development, it is consistent with the existing built form and is considered to be in scale with the building to which it will be attached.	Yes
Does the proposal reduce clutter by rationalising and simplifying existing advertising?	It is considered that the proposed signage will maintain the status quo with regard to clutter and rationalisation.	Yes
Does the proposal screen unsightliness?	The proposed signage is designed as a wall sign and will not obscure any unsightliness.	Yes
Does the proposal protrude above buildings, structures or tree canopies in the area or locality?	All proposed signage is to be constructed on the buildings existing parapet, and will not protrude beyond the roof line.	Yes
5. Site and building Is the proposal compatible with the scale, proportion and other characteristics of the site or building, or both, on which the proposed signage is to be located?	The proposed signage is considered to be compatible with the scale, proportion and other characteristics of the site or building due to the location of the signage	Yes
Does the proposal respect important features of the site or building, or both?	All proposed signage has been designed as wall signs on the existing building parapet, the signage is considered to be consistent with that of the built form and to that of surrounding development, as such the proposal is considered to respect the important features of the site and building.	Yes
Does the proposal show innovation and imagination in its relationship to the site or building, or both?	The proposed signage is standard in design, it is consistent with that of surrounding development and is considered satisfactory for the proposed use.	Yes
6. Associated devices and logos with advertisements and advertising structures Have any safety devices, platforms, lighting devices or logos been designed as an integral part of the signage or structure on which it is to be displayed?	No safety devices, platforms, lighting devices or logos been designed as an integral part of the signage or structure on which it is to be displayed have been proposed as part of this application.	Yes
7. Illumination Would illumination result in unacceptable glare, affect safety for pedestrians, vehicles or aircraft, detract from the amenity of any	No illumination is proposed.	Yes



I W	Varringah Council	
residence or other form of accommodation?	earnigari courcii	□ _{No}
Can the intensity of the illumination be adjusted, if necessary?	No illumination is proposed	Yes
Is the illumination subject to a curfew?	No illumination is proposed	Yes No
8. Safety Would the proposal reduce the safety for any public road, pedestrians or bicyclists?	Due to the location of the proposed signage and conditions, the proposed signage is not considered to have any adverse impact upon the safety for any public road, pedestrians or bicyclists.	Yes
Would the proposal reduce the safety for pedestrians, particularly children, by obscuring sightlines from public areas?	Due to the location of the proposed signage it is considered that the signage will not result in the obscuring of any views.	Yes
	nat the proposed signage is compatible with to provides effective communication and is of the provides effective communication and is of the provides effective communication and is of the provides effective communication.	
be limited to the extent necessal allow the reasonable identificated building to which the sign relate ensure that the sign is compattent architectural character of the buplaced, and ensure that the sign does not result in visual clutter, and	ation of the land use, business, activity or es, and tible with the design, scale and uilding or site upon which it is to be dominate or obscure other signs or	Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No
Is there existing signage on site? Will the existing signage be retained? Yes Yes Yes Section 2B Schedule 17 Carparking Provise	No – condition removed	
Number of car spaces existing 6 spaces, complies? Yes No FAR Total number of car spaces required 14 spaces? Yes No FAR	Addressed via condition? Yes No Further Assessment Requi (Clause 74 addressed abo	

Total number of car spaces proposed 6



spaces, complies?	
Yes No FAR	
Clause 74 Provision of carparking	
Adequate off-street carparking is to be provided within the subject property boundaries having regard to:	
• the land use, and	Ves □ No
the hours of operation, and	Yes No
the availability of public transport, and	
the availability of alternative carparking, and	Yes No
the need for parking facilities for courier vehicles, delivery/service vehicles and bicycles.	Yes No





Site area 594.7sqm	Creeks / Watercourse
Detail existing onsite structures:	
П	Aboriginal Art / Carvings
None	Any Item of / or any potential item of heritage
Shop	significance
Detached Garage	Potential View Loss as a result of development
Detached shed	Yes No
Swimming pool	If Yes where from (in relation to site):
Tennis Court	North / South
Cabana	East / West
Other	
Site Features:	North East / South West
=	North West / South East
None	View of:
Trees	
Library Change Variation	Ocean / Waterways Yes No
Under Storey Vegetation	Headland Yes No
Rock Outcrops	District Views Yes No
Caves	District Views Yes No
Overhangs	Bushland Yes No Other:
Overnangs	Outer.
Waterfalls	



Bushfire Prone?	Doe	s the	proposal impact	upon	any
□ Yes No			/ Rights of Way?		
Flood Prone?	Ц,	Yes 🔽	No		
✓ Yes No					
Affected by Acid Sulfate Soils					
☐ Yes No					
Located within 40m of any natural watercourse?					
☐ Yes No					
Located within 1km landward of the open coast watermark or within 1km of any bay estuaries, coastal lake, lagoon, island, tidal waterway within the area mapped within the NSW Coastal Policy?					
Yes No					
Located within 100m of the mean high watermark?					
☐ Yes No					
Located within an area identified as a Wave Impact Zone?					
☐ Yes No					
Any items of heritage significance located upon it?					
☐ Yes No					
Located within the vicinity of any items of heritage significance?					
☐ Yes No					
Located within an area identified as potential land slip?					
☐ Yes No					
Is the development Integrated?					
☐ Yes No					
Does the development require concurrence?					
☐ Yes No					
Is the site owned or is the DA made by the "Crown"?					
☐ Yes No					
Have you reviewed the DP and s88B instrument?					
Yes No					



Site Inspection / Desktop Assessment Undertaken by:

Does the site inspection <section 3=""> confirm the assessment undertaken against the relevant EPI's <section's &="" 1="" 2="">?</section's></section>	Yes No
Are there any additional matters that have arisen from your site inspection that would require any additional assessment to be undertaken?	Yes No If yes provide detail:
Signed	Date

Adrian Melo, Development Assessment Officer



SECTION 4 – APPLICATION DETERMINATION

Conclus	sion:
	posal has been considered against the relevant heads of consideration under S79C of the EPA and the proposed development is considered to be:
lui .	sfactory atisfactory
Recomr	mendation:
That Co	ouncil as the consent authority
~	GRANT DEVELOPMENT CONSENT to the development application subject to:
	(a) the conditions detailed within the associated notice of determination; and(b) the consent lapsing within three (3) from operation
	GRANT DEFERRED COMMENCEMENT CONSENT to the development application subject to:
	 (a) the conditions detailed within the associated notice of determination; (b) limit the deferred commencement condition time frame to 3 years; (c) one the deferred commencement matter have been satisfactorily addressed issue an operational consent subject to the time frames detailed within part (d); and
	(d) the consent lapsing within three (3) from operation
V	REFUSE development consent to the development application subject to:
	(a) the reasons detailed within the associated notice of determination.
Signed	Date
Adrian I	Melo, Development Assessment Officer
The app	lication is determined under the delegated authority of:
Signed	Date
Ailsa Pr	endergast, Team Leader, Development Assessment

24