

J1829. 20th June, 2018. Page 1.

PRELIMINARY GEOTECHNICAL ASSESSMENT:

18 Government Road, Beacon Hill

1.0	LANDSLIP RISK CLASS (Highlight indicates Landslip Risk Class of property)
	A - Geotechnical Report not normally required
	B - Geotechnical Engineer (Under Council Guidelines) to decide if Geotechnical Report is required
	C - Geotechnical Report is required
	D - Geotechnical Engineer (Under Council Guidelines) to decide if Geotechnical Report is required
	E - Geotechnical Report required

2.0 Proposed Development

- **2.1** Subdivide the property into two lots.
- **2.2** No excavations or fills are shown on the plans.
- 2.3 Details of the proposed development are shown on 1 drawing prepared by Bee
 & Lethbridge, Reference number 20923, drawing numbered 20923A, Revision
 0, dated 08/06/2018.

3.0 Site Location

- **3.1** The site was inspected on the 19th June, 2018.
- 3.2 This residential property is on the high side of the road and has a SE aspect. It is located on the gently graded middle reaches of a hillslope. No rock outcrops on the property. The Sydney 1:100 000 Geological sheet indicates the site is underlain by Hawkesbury Sandstone that is described as a medium to coarse grained quartz sandstone with very minor shale and laminite lenses. Sandstone bedrock is expected to underlie the surface at relatively shallow depths. The natural surface of the block



J1829.

20th June, 2018.

Page 2.

has been altered little with the development to date. The proposed subdivision will

not alter the surface further for the proposed works.

3.3 The site shows no indications of historical movement in the natural surface

that could have occurred since the property was developed. We are aware of no

history of instability on the property.

4.0 Site Description

From the road frontage to the upper boundary, the natural slope rises at an average angle of

~6°. At the road frontage, a concrete driveway runs past the house to a brick and fibreboard

clad garage on the uphill side of the house. Between the road frontage and the house is a

gently sloping lawn. The single-storey brick and weatherboard clad house is supported on

brick walls. The external supporting walls of the house display no significant signs of

movement. Another gently sloping lawn rises from the uphill side of the house to the upper

common boundary. The area surrounding the house is mostly paved or lawn-covered. No

signs of movement associated with slope instability were observed on the grounds. No cliffs

or large rock faces were observed on the property or in the near vicinity. The adjoining

neighbouring properties were observed to be in good order as seen from the road and the

subject property.

5.0 Recommendations

The proposed development and site conditions were considered and applied to the Council

Flow Chart.

Provided good engineering and building practice are followed, no further Geotechnical

assessment is recommended for the proposed development.



J1829. 20th June, 2018. Page 3.

White Geotechnical Group Pty Ltd.

Ben White M.Sc. Geol., AuslMM., CP GEOL.

Bulut


No. 222757

Engineering Geologist.



J1829. 20th June, 2018. Page 4.

Preliminary Assessment Flow Chart – Warringah Council





J1829. 20th June, 2018. Page 5.

Information about your Preliminary Assessment

This Preliminary Assessment relies on visual observations of the surface features observed during the site inspection. Where reference is made to subsurface features (e.g. the depth to rock) these are interpretations based on the surface features present and previous experience in the area. No ground testing was conducted as part of this assessment and it is possible subsurface conditions will vary from those interpreted in the assessment.

In some cases, we will recommend no further geotechnical assessment is necessary despite the presence of existing fill or a rock face on the property that exceed the heights that would normally trigger a full geotechnical report, according to the Preliminary Assessment Flow Chart. Where this is the case, if it is an existing fill, it is either supported by a retaining wall that we consider stable, or is battered at a stable angle and situated in a suitable position on the slope. If it is a rock face that exceeds the flow chart limit height, the face has been deemed to be competent rock that is considered stable. These judgements are backed by the inspection of over 5000 properties on Geotechnical related matters.

The proposed excavation heights referred to in section 2.0 of this assessment are estimated by review of the plans we have been given for the job. Although we make every reasonable effort to provide accurate information excavation heights should be checked by the owner or person lodging the DA. If the excavation heights referred to in in section 2.0 of this assessment are incorrect we are to be informed immediately and before this assessment is lodged with the DA.