- The Mod requested presents a significant Change in Council Position set by the last DPP
 - Request this DDP review rulings/restrictions established by previous DPP.
 - Why the change?
 - Do any of the DPP positions previously established have any credibility?
 - Does the DPP process in general have any credibility?

NOTE. The points expressed above and in the following material are our views, opinions and concerns only.

- Incremental development creep
 - A review of the mods requested indicate a clear pattern of incremental development creep
 - Ultimate goal of effectively adding a 3rd habitable floor.
 - Previous renditions submitted (in error) by the developer support the above concern.
 - Original mod approval for storage floor was based on 2 key developer commitments
 - 1. The floor would ONLY BE USED FOR STOARGE
 - 2. There would be NO EXTERNAL CHANGES.
 - Therefore we did not object even though this appeared to violate the LEC ruling
 - NOTE the original LEC ruling restrictions 2 storey development from front & 1 storey from rear.

• Incremental development creep

- The previous mod involved significant external building changes in particular the accommodation of lift and other plant equipment on the roof despite the no external change previous commitment.
- At the previous DPP we also expressed concerns about potential future mods requests to the 'storage' floor seeking habitable use.
- NOTE The previous mod sort an increase in 'storage' floor height to habitable levels (2.4mm) with a commitment they would remain as storage areas.
- Obvious concerns that if this current mod is approved it opens the way for the remaining 'storage' areas to be converted to habitable use.
- Raises the issue of where plant equipment is then to be located i.e. back on top of the roof?
- NOTE The current mod also contains developer commitments that there will be NO EXTERNAL CHANGES.

- Roof top equipment restrictions ruled by the previous DPP do not appear to have been meet.
- Compliance with these restrictions were to have been supplied prior to construction.
- Documentation supplied (renditions and drawings) appear to indicate clear non compliance with the 1 meter left well area restriction.
- Request the developer supply updated planning to clearly indicate compliance prior to any further development.
- NOTE Latter to include roof top and all other conditions outlined by the previous DPP.

- Clear indication of future use of storage store for habitable use.
- Relation to adjacent 2 storey houses no longer shown – why?
- NOTE Rendition was evidently submitted 'in error'
- 4. LEC Ruling of 2-storey contruction from the front?







S4.56 SUMMARY OF CHANGES:

Previous DDP Roof Restriction within 1 m of lift well?

1. UNIT 1 layout re-configuration to improve amenity

2. UNIT4 relocation of Laundry Room

3. Newwindow to provide ventilation

4. Glazing adjustment for better alignment



S4.56 SUMMARY OF CHANGES:

1. UNIT 1 layout re-configuration to improve amenity

2. UNIT4 relocation of Laundry Room

3. New window to provide ventilation

4. Glazing adjustment for better alignment



S4.56 SUMMARY OF CHANGES:

1. UNIT 1 layout re-configuration to improve amenity

2. UNIT4 relocation of Laundry Room

3. New window to provide ventilation

4. Glazing adjustment for better alignment

