

## TREE APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

<b>Development Application Number:</b>	DA2018/0211
<b>Property Address:</b>	5 Kara Crescent BAYVIEW
<b>Legal Address</b>	Lot 2 DP 237045
<b>Proposal Description:</b>	Tree Application
<b>Recommendation:</b>	<b>APPROVED with Conditions</b>
<b>Notification Required?</b>	No

Applicable Controls: EPA Act 1979, EPA Regulations 2000, WLEP 2011, WDCP 2011	
SEPPs: Applicable?	No
REPs: Applicable?	No
LEPs Applicable?	Yes

Section 79C Act 1979	
Section 79C (1) (a)(i) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any relevant environmental planning instrument?	Yes
Section 79C (1) (a)(iii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any development control plan	Yes
Section 79C (1) (a)(iv) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Regulations?	Yes
Section 79C (1) (b) – Are the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality acceptable?	Yes



<b>Consistent with the Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011 (WLEP2011)</b>	
Land Use Zone	Zone
Aims and Objectives consistent with the zone objectives	Yes
WLEP 2011 Permissible or Prohibited Land Use	Permissible
Does the proposed development meet the objectives of CL 5.9 WLEP 2011 "Preservation of Trees or Vegetation"	Yes

<b>Consistent with the Warringah Development Control Plan 2011</b>	<b>Applicable – Yes or No</b>
D1 - Landscaped Open Space and Bushland Setting	Yes
E1 - Private Property Tree Management	Yes
E2 - Prescribed Vegetation	Yes
E3 - <u>Threatened species, populations, ecological communities listed under State or Commonwealth legislation, or High Conservation Habitat</u>	Yes
E6 - Retaining Unique Environmental Features on Site	Yes
E8 - Waterways and Riparian Lands	Yes



<b>Consideration of Removal of Tree Test (WDCP Appendix 8)</b>					
	<b>Tree No.1</b>	<b>Tree No.2</b>	<b>Tree No.3</b>	<b>Tree No.4</b>	<b>Tree No.5</b>
<p><b>1. The Unacceptable Risk Test</b> Does the tree pose an unacceptable risk that cannot be adequately or appropriately managed by arboricultural treatment or other risk management measures? the tree pose an unacceptable risk that cannot be adequately or appropriately managed by arboricultural treatment or other risk management measures?</p>	No				
<p><b>2. The Diseased Condition Test</b> Is the tree in a diseased condition that cannot be corrected by pruning or other arboricultural treatment? And all possible options for managing the diseased condition have been considered prior to issuing consent for the removal of a tree.</p>	No		Yes		
<p><b>3. Remaining Life Expectancy Test</b> The remaining life expectancy of the tree has been identified to be less than 5 years therefore consent for the removal of the tree is justified subject to replacement planting.</p>	No	Yes	Yes		
<p><b>4. Property Damage Test</b> Is the tree significantly affecting public or private property by way of its presence/location or growth? All abatement options have been considered and removal of the tree is the only option to avoid further conflict?</p>	No				
<p><b>5. Public Infrastructure Works Test</b> Is the tree likely to succumb to major injury as a result of public infrastructure work where all alternatives such as relocation or reconfiguration of the works have been considered?</p>	No				
<p><b>6. Proposed Driveway Crossings, Private Structures or Works Affecting Public Land Test</b> Is the tree located in an area required for a Proposed Driveway Crossings, or other Private Structures? Council is satisfied that the proposal would maximize public benefit, that there is no reasonable alternative to removing the tree, and would not have any adverse heritage, pedestrian, streetscape or traffic impacts.</p>	No				
<b>Consideration of Tree Retention Assessment (WDCP Appendix 9)</b>					
Tree Retention Assessment: Applicable?	No	No	No		
<b>Landscape Significance Rating:</b>	Moderate	Moderate	Low		



<b>Consideration of Removal of Tree Test (WDCP Appendix 8)</b>					
	<b>Tree No.1</b>	<b>Tree No.2</b>	<b>Tree No.3</b>	<b>Tree No.4</b>	<b>Tree No.5</b>
<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>Tree No.1</b>	<b>Tree No.2</b>	<b>Tree No.3</b>	<b>Tree No.4</b>	<b>Tree No.5</b>
Based on the above matters, the assessment against the Environmental Planning Instrument Provisions, and the Development Control Plan, is the removal of the Tree Warranted / Justified in the circumstances of the case?	No	Yes	Yes		



**Additional Comments:**

**APPLICATION DETERMINATION**

**RECOMMENDATION - APPROVAL with Conditions**

That Council as the consent authority:

**GRANT DEVELOPMENT CONSENT** to the development application subject to:

The conditions detailed within the associated notice of determination; and

“I am aware of Council’s Code of Conduct and, in signing this report, declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest”

The application is determined under the delegated authority of:

Signed        Toby Holyhead

---

**Tree Assessment Officer**



**Appendix A: Tree Inspection Schedule: Refer to the Explanatory Criteria on pages 6 and 7**

Information Category	Tree No.1	Tree No.2	Tree No.3	Tree No.4	Tree No.5
Species	Angophora costata	Iron Bark	Iron Bark		
Remnant/Planted/ Self sown	S	S	S		
<b>Special significance</b>	No	No	No		
Age class Y/S/M/O	M	M	M		
Tree height (m)	16	20	15		
Average crown diameter (m)	10	8	10		
Crown condition 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5	4	4	3		
Root zone	Rock / natural bush	Rock / natural bush	Paved		
Defects	Minor deadwood, wound on trunk from previous failure	Will be exposed when adjacent Spotted Gum is removed	Suppressed & leaning, declining condition		
Services/adjacent structures	House	House	Landscaped area		
<b>Recommendations</b>	Tree No.1	Tree No. 2	Tree No.3	Tree No.4	Tree No.5
Remove Tree	No	Yes	Yes		
Pruning	Yes 10% only as permitted without consent in DCP				
Root pruning/root barrier					
Replanting required		Yes	Yes		



Information Category	Tree No.1	Tree No.2	Tree No.3	Tree No.4	Tree No.5
Other					



## Explanatory Criteria for Tree Inspection Schedule within Appendix A

**Note:** The detail below is general and is provided in good faith as a guide to assist persons reviewing the assessment report understand and interpret the assessment and a determination which may include the removal of a tree outside the criteria set can be for reasons beyond technical consideration and can be based on the expertise of the Council Officer conducting the assessment. If you require clarification or have any questions, please contact Tree Assessment Officer.

Key	Criteria	Comments
Tree No.	Must relate to the number on your site diagram	
Species	May be coded – include a key to the codes; botanical names and common names in key. (eg Lc = <i>Lophostemon confertus</i> Brush Box)	
Remnant/ Planted / Self sown	Self explanatory; of use when negotiating cost sharing for line clearing operations	
Special Significance	A Aboriginal C Commemorative Ha Habitat Hi Historic M Memorial R Rare U Unique form O Other	This may require specialist knowledge
Age Class	Y Young = recently planted S Semi mature (<20% of life expectancy) M Mature (20-80% of life expectancy) O Over-mature (>80% of life expectancy)	
Height	In metres	
Spread	Average diameter of canopy in metres	
Crown condition	Overall vigour and vitality  0 Dead 1 Severe decline (<20% canopy; major dead wood 2 Declining (20-60% canopy density; twig and branch dieback) 3 Average/low vigour (60-90% canopy density; twig dieback) 4 Good (90-100% crown cover; little or no dieback or other problems, good response growth) 5 Excellent (100% crown cover, no deadwood or other problems, good response growth)	This requires knowledge of species
Failure Potential	Identifies the most likely failure and rates the likelihood that the structural defect(s) will result in failure within the inspection period.  1. Low – defects are minor (eg dieback of twigs, small wounds with good wound wood development) 2. Medium – defects are present and obvious (eg cavity encompassing 10-25% of the circumference of the trunk) 3. High – numerous and/or significant defects present (eg cavity encompassing 30-50% of the circumference of the trunk, major bark inclusions) 4. Severe – defects are very severe (eg heart rot fruiting bodies, cavity encompassing more than 50% of the trunk)	This requires specialist knowledge
Size of Defective Plant	Rates the size of the part most likely to fail. The larger the part that fails, the greater the potential for damage.  1. Most likely failure less than 150mm in diameter 2. Most likely failure 150-450mm in diameter 3. Most likely failure 450-750mm in diameter 4. Most likely failure more than 750mm in diameter	





Key	Criteria	Comments
Target Rating*	<p>Rates the use and occupancy of the area that would be struck by the defective part.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Occasional use (eg jogging/cycle track)</li> <li>2. Intermittent use (picnic area, day use parking)</li> <li>3. Frequent use, secondary structure (eg seasonal camping area, storage facilities)</li> <li>4. Constant use, structures (eg year-round use for a number of hours each day, residences)</li> </ol>	
Hazard Rating*	<p>Failure potential + size of part + target rating. Add each of the above sections for a number out of 12.</p>	<p>The final number identifies the degree of risk. The next step is to determine a management strategy. A rating in this column does not condemn a tree but may indicate the need for more investigation and a risk management strategy.</p>
Root Zone	<p>C Compaction  D Damaged / wounded roots (eg by mowers)  E Exposed Roots  Ga Trees in Garden Bed  Gi Girdled Roots  Gr Grass  K Kerb close to tree  L+ Raised soil level  L- Lowered soil level  M Mulched  Pa Paving / concrete / bitumen  Pr Roots pruned  S Shallow soils  A Altered soil / root environment e.g . saturated soils  Cl Site clearing  Ex Exposure to winds  O Other</p>	<p>More than one of these may apply</p>
Defects	<p>B Borers  Pd Pests and diseases  C Cavity  D Decay  Dm Dead / missing bark  W Wounds  PF Previous Failures  I Inclusions  L Lopped  M Mistletoe / Parasites  S Splits / cracks  Co Co-dominate stems / Multi leaders  P Poor banch attachment / poor form  T Termites  F Fungi  E Epicormics  MD Mechanical Damage  O Other</p>	<p>More than one of these may apply</p>
Services / adjacent structures	<p>Bs Bus stop  Bu Building within 3m  St Structures within 3m  HV0 High voltage open-wire construction  HVb High voltage bundled (ABC)  LV0 Low voltage open-wire construction  LVb Low voltage bundled (ABC)  Na No services above  Nb No services above ground  Si Signage  Sl Street light  T Transmission lines (&gt;33KV)  U Underground services  O Other</p>	<p>More than one of these may apply</p>

