

Lot CP SP 20172

1 31 Fairlight Street

Fairlight

#### STATEMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS

LOT CP SP 20172 1 31 Fairlight Street Fairlight

#### **Contact:**

(mob) 0432 848 467

email: admin@outlookplanningdevelopment.com.au web: www.outlookplanningdevelopment.com.au

#### **QUALITY ASSURANCE**

This document has been prepared, checked and released in accordance with the Quality Control Standards established by Outlook Planning and Development

#### Copyright © Outlook Planning and Development

#### Disclaimer

This report has been prepared based on the information supplied by the client and investigation undertaken by Outlook Planning and Development & other consultants. Recommendations are based on Outlook Planning and Development professional judgement only and whilst every effort has been taken to provide accurate advice, Council and any other regulatory authorities may not concur with the recommendations expressed within this report. This document and the information are solely for the use of the authorised recipient and this document may not be used, copied or reproduced in whole or part for any purpose other than that for which it was supplied by Outlook Planning and Development Outlook Planning and Development makes no representation, undertakes no duty and accepts no responsibility to any third party who may use or rely upon this document or the information.

#### **Confidentiality Statement**

All information, concepts, ideas, strategies, commercial date and all other information whatsoever contained within this document as well as any and all ideas and concepts described during the presentation are provided on a commercial in confidence basis and remain the intellectual property and Copyright of Outlook Planning and Development and affiliated entities.

# **Table of Contents**

1	Intro	duction	4
1	l.1	Site Description	∠
1	L.2	Proposed Development	5
1	L.3	Approvals Sought	5
2		ning Assessment	
2	2.1	Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act)	
	2.1.1		
	2.1.2		
/2	2.2	Section 4.15 Assessment	€
	2.2.1		ε
	2.2.2		
	2.2.3		
	2.2.4		
	2.2.5		23
	2.2.6		23
2			23

## 1 Introduction

This Statement of Environmental Effects accompanies a development application for the alterations to an existing dwelling on land identified as Lot CP SP 20172, 1 31 Fairlight Street Fairlight.

The primary topics addressed in this report are:

- Site description
- Details of the proposal;
- Summary and assessment against the relevant heads of consideration under Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979 (as amended).

This Statement of Environmental Effects confirms that the proposed development is suitable and appropriate in the context of the area and all relevant statutory and non statutory planning policies. As such it is considered that the proposal can be supported and approved by Council.

# 1.1 Site Description

The subject land is identified as Lot CP SP 20172, 1 31 Fairlight Street Fairlight. Located on site is an existing dwelling and is surrounded by dwellings of a similar size. Access to the site is via Fairlight Street. The site is a regular shape and has a site depth of 20.770m and a width of 9.840m.



Figure 1: Aerial Image of Site & Surrounding Area



Figure 2: Map of the subject site

# 1.2 Proposed Development

The proposed development involves the alterations and additions to an existing dwelling.

The alterations and additions comprise of:

- A retractable roof over the existing terrace
- New glass balustrade and vertical privacy screen
- Timber seat of existing beam

# 1.3 Approvals Sought

The application, which this Statement of Environmental Effects supports, seeks consent under section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979 for the proposed development.

# 2 Planning Assessment

## 2.1 Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act)

The relevant objects of the Act are:

- (a) to encourage:
- (ii) the promotion and co-ordination of the orderly and economic use and development of land.

This application is consistent with the objects of the Act as the proposed development enables the orderly and economic use of the land.

#### 2.1.1 Integrated Development

Section 4.46 of the EP&A Act defines integrated development as development that requires development consent by one or more approvals under another Act. **The subject proposal does not trigger integrated development as detailed under S.4.46 of the EP&A Act.** 

## 2.1.2 Designated Development – Section 4.10

Schedule 3 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulations 2000 prescribes development which, if of the relevant type and size, may be considered to be Designated Development. In this case it is our opinion that the development would not trigger any of the designated development provisions.

#### 2.2 Section 4.15 Assessment

Section 4.15 of the EP&A Act outlines the matter for consideration in the determination of a Development Application. The relevant matters for consideration are addressed individually below.

## 2.2.1 Environmental Planning Instruments - Section 4.15 (1)(a)(i)

## 2.2.1.1 State Environmental Planning Policies

## State Environmental Planning Policy No 55 - Remediation of Land

SEPP 55 is a NSW-wide planning approach to the remediation of contaminated land. When considering a Development Application, the consent authority must observe the requirements of SEPP 55. The significant clause of SEPP 55 is clause 7, which is outlined below.

# Clause 7 - Contamination and remediation to be considered in determining development application

Under Clause 7, a consent authority must not consent to the carrying out of any development on land unless:

- (a) It has considered whether the land is contaminated, and
- (b) If the land is contaminated, it is satisfied that the land is suitable in its contaminated state (or will be suitable, after remediation) for the purpose for which the development is proposed to be carried out, and
- (c) If the land requires remediation to be made suitable for the purpose for which the development is proposed to be carried out, it is satisfied that the land will be remediated before the land is used for that purpose.

In accordance with Clause 7 of SEPP 55, Council must consider whether the land is potentially contaminated. The land where the proposed development is located is in an existing residential area and shows no sign of previous contamination.

# **SEPP Infrastructure 2007**

This policy sets out certain requirements to smooth the path of mainly large infrastructure projects. In this case the policy requires the consent authority to ensure infrastructure is adequate to accommodate the development proposal, which is held to be of the traffic-generating variety. As the development is for a residential development, 104 (2) of the SEPP is not triggered in this instance.

# 2.2.1.2 Manly Local Environmental Plan 2013

The Manly Local Environmental Plan 2013 (LEP 2013) is the applicable local planning instrument for the site.

Local Environmental Plan			
Matter	Relevant Control		
Zoning	R1: General Residential		
Zone Objectives	The objectives of this residential zone are:		
	To provide for the housing needs of the community.		
	To provide for a variety of housing types and densities.		
	To enable other land uses that provide facilities or services to		
	meet the day to day needs of residents.		
Permitted without consent	Home- based child care; Home occupations		
Permitted with consent	Attached dwellings; Bed and breakfast accommodation; Boarding		
	houses; Boat launching ramps; Boat sheds; Centre-based child care		
	facilities; Community facilities; Dual occupancies; <b>Dwelling houses</b> ;		
	Emergency services facilities; Environmental protection works;		
	Flood mitigation works; Group homes; Health consulting rooms;		
	Home businesses; Home industries; Hostels; Information and		
	education facilities; Jetties; Multi dwelling housing;		
	Neighbourhood shops; Oyster aquaculture; Places of public		
	worship; Pond-based aquaculture; Recreation areas; Recreation		
	facilities (indoor); Residential flat buildings; Respite day care		
	centres; Roads; Secondary dwellings; Semi-detached dwellings;		
	Seniors housing; Shop top housing; Signage; Tank-based		
	aquaculture; Water recreation structures; Water recycling		
	facilities; Water supply systems		

Prohibited	Advertising structures; Water treatment facilities; Any other
	development not specified in item 2 or 3
Height of Building	The site is located in an area with a 8.5m height of building limit
Architectural Roof	Clause 5.6 allows Height of Building exceedance.
Floor Space Ratio	The site is located in an area with a prescribed floor space ratio of 0.6:1.
Minimum Lot Size	The site is located in an area with a 250sqm minimum lot size.
Heritage	Not of heritage significance.
Acid Sulphate Soils	Not identified as acid sulphate
Land Acquisition	Not identified for acquisition.
Mine Subsidence	Not identified as mine subsidence.
Bushfire	The site is not located within a bushfire area.
Flood Prone Land	Not identified as being flood prone land
Wetlands	Not identified as Wetlands.
Watercourses	No identified watercourses.
Scenic Protection Land	The site is located within a scenic protection land area.

#### Clause 4.3 Height of buildings

The clause provides the maximum building height limit for the area. The maximum height of a building allowed within that area is 8.5m. The proposed development doesn't comply with the maximum building height with a portion of the new roof being located outside the 8.5m height limit. A variation is requested under clause 4.6 to allow the development

## Clause 4.4 Floor space ratio

The site is located within the 0.6:1 FSR Ratio area of the Floor Space Ratio Map. The proposed development complies with the FSR development standard.

#### Clause 4.6 Exceptions to development standards

The clause provides an avenue to seek a variation to development standards. It is noted that the development complies with all the LEP Development Standards.

## Clause 5.10 Heritage conservation

Subclause (5) allows Council to require a heritage management plan to be prepared where a development is proposed on a site that is *within the vicinity of a heritage item*. The site is not listed as being a heritage item or in the vicinity of a heritage item.

# 2.2.2 Proposed Instruments - Section 4.15 (1)(a)(ii)

There are no proposed instruments that are or have been the subject of public consultation under the Act and that have been notified to Council that would have implications for this development application.

## 2.2.3 Manly Development Control Plan 2013 - Section 4.15 (1)(a)(iii)

Manly Development Control Plan 2013 (DCP) applies to the site and outlines specific development requirements for residential development. The provisions of the DCP must be considered in the assessment of the proposed development.

Development Control	Compliance
Part 3 General Principles of Development	
3.1.1 Streetscape	The proposed alterations and additions will
	complement the existing streetscape and will be a
3.1.1.1 Complementary Design and Visual	visual improvement to the existing design of the
Improvement	dwelling.
	dweiling.
a) Development in the streetscape (including	
buildings, fences and landscaping) should	
be designed to:	
i. complement the predominant building	
form, distinct building character,	
building material and finishes and	
architectural style in the locality;	
ii. ensure the bulk and design of	
development does not detract from	
the scenic amenity of the area (see	
also paragraph 3.4 Amenity) when	
viewed from surrounding public and	
private land;	
iii. maintainbuildingheightsatacompatible	
scalewithadjacentdevelopmentparticu	
arlyatthe street frontage and building	
alignment, whilst also having regard to the LEP height standard and the	
controls of this plan concerning wall	
and roof height and the number of	
storeys;	
iv. avoid elevated structures constructed	
on extended columns that dominate	
adjoining sites such as elevated open	
space terraces, pools, driveways and	
the like. See also paragraph 4.1.8	
Development on Sloping Sites and	
paragraph 4.1.9 Swimming Pools, Spas	
and Water Features;	
v. address and compliment the built form	
and style any heritage property in the	
vicinity to preserve the integrity of the	
item and its setting. See also	
paragraph 3.2 Heritage Considerations	;

- vi. visually improve existing streetscapes through innovative design solutions; and
- vii. incorporate building materials and finishes complementing those dominant in the locality. The use of plantation and/or recycled timbers in construction and finishes is encouraged. See also paragraph 3.5.7 Building Construction and Design.

Setback Principles in Low Density Areas

- b) In lower density areas including LEP Zones R2, E3 & E4, setbacks should be maximised to enable open space to dominate buildings, especially on the foreshore.
- c) In higher density areas (including LEP Zones R1 & R3), careful consideration should be given to minimising any loss of sunlight, privacy and views of neighbours. This is especially relevant in the design of new residential flat buildings adjacent to smaller developments. See also paragraph 3.4 Amenity.

#### 3.1.1.2 Front Fences and Gates

The proposed development does not include front fence or gate additions.

- a) Notwithstanding maximum height provisions for fencing at paragraph 4.1.10; the siting, height and form of boundary fences and walls should reflect the fencing characteristic of the locality, particularly those of adjacent properties. All fencing and wall materials must be compatible with the overall landscape character and the general appearance of the building and the streetscape.
- b) Boundary fences or walls must not be erected where they would conflict with the local character.
- c) Front fences and gates must be constructed in materials that complement the architectural style and period of the dwelling and improve the streetscape. In particular, fencing adjacent to a public road or place must not be constructed in metal cladding, powder coated or otherwise.
- Gates must not encroach on public land when opening or closing.

#### 3.1.13 Roofs and Dormer Windows

- a) Roof forms should complement, but not in the locality and in particular those of adjacent buildings.
- b) Roofs should be designed to avoid or minimise view loss and reflectivity.
- c) Dormer windows and windows in the roof must be designed and placed to compliment the roof structure and reflect the character of the building. In particular, such windows are not permitted on the street frontage of the building where there is no precedent in the streetscape, especially on adjoining dwellings.

The development includes a retractable roof over the existing terrace. The addition will not impact views or cause reflection to surrounding dwellings. necessarily replicate the predominant form The roof will not exceed the existing roof height of the dwelling.

## 3.1.1.4 Garages, Carports and Hardstand areas

The development will not include changes to any garages, carports or hardstand areas.

- a) Garages, carports and hardstand areas must be designed and sited in a manner that does not to dominate the street frontage by:
  - its roof form, material choice and detailing by being subservient to the associated dwelling; and
  - ibeing compatible with the ii) streetscape and the location in relation to front setback criteria.
- b) b) Exceptions to setback criteria referred to in this paragraph may be considered where parking structures are a positive element of the streetscape.

#### 3.1.1.5 Garbage Areas

Existing garbage areas will remain.

Buildings with more than 1 dwelling require garbage storage enclosures which are:

- a) not visible off site;
- b) integrated into the building design; unobtrusive and blend in with the design of front fences and walls when forward of the building;

c) located and designed with consideration given to the amenity of adjoining properties.	
3.1.3 Townscape	
3.1.3.1 Design Principles	
The following design principles and requirements at paragraphs 3.1.3.1.a) to i) should be achieved in all development involving the erection of a new building or external alterations to an existing building in order to:	
<ul> <li>maintain and enhance the townscape of the former Manly Council area's LEP Business Zones:</li> <li>achieve the townscape objectives of this plan; and</li> <li>consider that the development exhibits design excellence in accordance with considerations of LEP clause 6.13(4) (as a statutory consideration for land in Zone B2 Local Centre and as a DCP consideration in other zones)</li> </ul>	
3.3 Landscaping	The development will not impact existing
3.3.1 Landscaping Design	landscaping design.
Landscape Character	
a) The design, quantity and quality of open space should respond to the character of the area. In particular:	
i. In low density areas: (including LEP Zones R2 Low Density, E3 Environmental Management and E4 Environmental Living) open space should dominate the site. Setbacks of buildings from open space should also be maximised to enable open space to dominate buildings, especially when viewed to and from Sydney Harbour, the Ocean and the foreshore.	
ii. In higher density areas: the provision of adequate private open space and	

- landscaped areas are to maximise residential amenity. Site works must be minimised to protect natural features.
- iii. In areas adjacent to native vegetation: the design of development should be sympathetic to the natural environment in order to protect and enhance the area as habitat for native fauna.
- iv. In areas of habitat for the long-nosed bandicoot: (see paragraph 5.4.2), landscape design must include native plant species to provide new and/or improved low dense clumping habitat to provide for potential foraging and nesting. The planting schedule should comprise species such as Lomandra sp. Dianella sp., Banksia spinulosa, Caustis sp., Xanthorrhoea sp., Isolepis sp., Juncus sp., Adiantum sp., Calochlaena sp., Callistemon sp., Grevillea juniperina, Gleichenia sp., Grevillea 'Robyn Gordon' and tussocky native grasses (eg. Kangaroo Grass)

## 3.4 Amenity Views

# 3.4.1 Sunlight Access and Overshadowing 3.4.1.1 Overshadowing Adjoining Open Space

In relation to sunlight to private open space of adjacent properties:

- a) New development (including alterations and additions) must not eliminate more than one third of the existing sunlight accessing the private open space of adjacent properties from 9am to 3pm at the winter solstice (21 June); or
- b) Where there is no winter sunlight available to open space of adjacent properties from 9am to 3pm, the calculations for the purposes of sunlight will relate to the equinox in March and September from 9am to 3pm.

The proposed development will provide optional sunlight covering over the existing terrace area. The roofing will be retractable therefore sunlight access will still be available. The development is at the rear of the dwelling and will not impact the sunlight access of surrounding dwellings.

# 3.4.2 Maintaining Solar Access into Living rooms and Adjacent Properties

# The window design of the dwelling will not be impacted.

## 3.4.2.1 Window design and Orientation

- Use narrow, translucent or obscured glass windows to maximise privacy where necessary.
- b) When building close to boundaries, windows must be off-set from those in the adjacent building to restrict direct viewing and to mitigate impacts on privacy.

### 3.4.2.2 Balconies sand Terraces

- a) Architectural or landscape screens must be provided to balconies and terraces to limit overlooking nearby properties. Architectural screens must be fixed in position and suitably angled to protect visual privacy.
- Recessed design of balconies and terraces can also be used to limit overlooking and maintain privacy.

An existing terrace is located at the rear of the unit. The development includes new vertical slats for a privacy screen to reduce overlooking to nearby properties and enhance privacy.

#### 3.4.3 Maintenance of Views

- a) The design of any development, including the footprint and form of the roof is to minimise the loss of views from neighbouring and nearby dwellings and from public spaces.
- Views between and over buildings are to be maximised and exceptions to side boundary setbacks, including zero setback will not be considered if they contribute to loss of primary views from living areas.
- c) Templates may be required to indicate the height, bulk and positioning of the proposed development and to assist Council in determining that view sharing is maximised, and loss of views is minimised. The templates are to remain in place until the application is determined. A registered surveyor will

The proposed development is situated at the rear of the unit and will not impact the views of neighbouring dwellings.

	certify the height and positioning of	
	the templates.	
3.5.1 S		The proposed retractable roof will provide protection of the sun for the rear terrace area of the unit. The sunlight protection will be optional
a) b)	The building and site layout is to maximise northern orientation to	therefore still enabling sunlight access. The development will not impact the sunlight access of neighbouring dwellings.
4 Deve	lopment Controls and Development	
Types		
4.1 Res	idential Development Controls	The proposed development will not impact the
4.1.1 Dwelling Density, Dwelling Size and Subdivision		existing dwelling density and size.
4.1.1.1	Residential Density and Dwelling Size	
Residential Density Areas Minimum Residential Density		
der Res	e maximum permissible residential nsity control at Figure 24 - Minimum sidential Density applies to land ntified in Residential Density Areas on	

the Minimum Residential Density Map at Schedule 1 - Map A in this plan.

- D1
  - 50 sqm of site area required per dwelling
- D2
  - 150 sqm of site area required per dwelling
- D3
  - 250 sqm of site area required per dwelling
- D4
  - 300 sqm of site area required per dwelling
- D5
  - 500 sqm of site area required per dwelling
- D6
  - 600 sqm of site area required per dwelling
- D7
  - 750 sqm of site area required per dwelling
- D8
  - 950 sqm of site area required per dwelling
- D9
  - 1150 sqm of site area required per dwelling

Dwelling Size

Dwellings are required to have the following minimum internal areas:

Studio dwellings: 35sqm 1 bedroom dwellings:

50sqm 2 bedroom dwellings: 70sqm 3

bedroom dwellings: 90sqm

## 4.1.2 Height of buildings

#### 4.1.2.1 Wall Height

a) Within the LEP Height of Buildings development standard, the maximum external wall height is calculated based on the slope of the land under the proposed wall. Figures 26, 27 and 28 provide guidelines for determining the maximum height of external walls based

The existing wall height of the unit will remain.

on the particular slope of the land along the length of these proposed walls. The maximum wall height control will also vary from one building, elevation or part elevation to another depending on the slope of land on which the wall is sited. Within the range of maximum wall heights at Figures 26 and 28, the permitted wall height increases as the slope of the land increases up to a gradient of 1 in 4, at which point the permitted maximum wall height is capped according to Figure 26.

The proposed retractable roof will not exceed the height of the existing roof of the dwelling

#### 4.1.2.3 Roof Height

- a) Pitched roof structures must be no higher than 2.5m above the actual wall height \*, calculated in accordance with Figure 29.
- b) Roof parapets may extend up to 0.6m above the actual wall height where Council considers that a parapet is considered to be appropriate to the design of the development and satisfies the objectives of this DCP and the LEP. For example, a parapet roof should not result in the appearance of lift structures and the like that protrude above the roof.

## 4.1.4 Setbacks

The existing street front setback will remain.

#### 4.1.4.1 Street Front Setbacks

- a) Street Front setbacks must relate to the front building line of neighbouring properties and the prevailing building lines in the immediate vicinity.
- b) Where the street front building lines of neighbouring properties are variable and there is no prevailing building line in the immediate vicinity i.e. where building lines are neither consistent nor established, a minimum 6m front setback generally applies. This street setback may also need to be set further back for all or part of the front building façade to retain significant trees and to maintain and enhance the streetscape.
- c) Where the streetscape character is predominantly single storey building at the street frontage, the street setback is to be

4.1.4.4 Rear Setbacks

increased for any proposed upper floor level. See also paragraph 4.1.7.1. d) Projections into the front setback may be accepted for unenclosed balconies, roof eaves, sun-hoods, chimneys, meter boxes and the like, where no adverse impact on the streetscape or adjoining properties is demonstrated to Council's satisfaction. 4.1.4.2 Side Setbacks and Secondary Street The existing side setbacks of the dwelling will Frontage remain. a) Setbacks between any part of a building and the side boundary must not be less than one third of the height of the adjacent external wall of the proposed building. b) Projections into the side setback may be accepted for unenclosed balconies, roof eaves, sun-hoods, and the like, if it can demonstrate there will be no adverse impact on adjoining properties including loss of privacy from a deck or balcony. c) All new windows from habitable dwellings of dwellings that face the side boundary are to be setback at least 3m from side boundaries; d) For secondary street frontages of corner allotments, the side boundary setback control will apply unless a prevailing building line exists. In such cases the prevailing setback of the neighbouring properties must be used. Architecturally the building must address both streets. e) Side setbacks must provide sufficient access to the side of properties to allow for property maintenance, planting of vegetation and sufficient separation from neighbouring properties. See also paragraph 4.1.4.3.b.vi.of this plan.

setback.

#### 18 | Page

The development will be within the existing rear

- a) The distance between any part of a building and the rear boundary must not be less than 8m.
- b) Rear setbacks must allow space for planting of vegetation, including trees, other landscape works and private and/or common open space. The character of existing natural vegetated settings is to be maintained. See also paragraph 3.3 Landscaping.
- c) On sloping sites, particularly where new development is uphill and in sensitive foreshore locations, consideration must be given to the likely impacts of overshadowing, visual privacy and view loss.
- Rear setbacks must relate to the prevailing pattern of setbacks in the immediate vicinity to minimise overshadowing, visual privacy and view loss.

## 4.1.5 Open Space and Landscaping

# 4.1.5.1 Minimum Residential Total Open Space Requirements

- a) Open Space must be provided on site in accordance with Figure 34 - Numeric Requirements for Total Open Space, Landscaped Area and Open Space Above Ground.
- b) Minimum dimensions and areas for Total Open Space
  Total Open Space (see Dictionary meanings including landscape area, open space above ground and principal private open space) must adhere to the following minimum specifications:

  i) horizontal dimension of at least 3m in any direction; and
  ii) a minimum unbroken area of 12sqm.
  iii) A variation to the minimum specifications in i) and ii) above may

Ground Open Space where it can be demonstrated that lesser dimensions

only be considered for Above

The proposed development will not impact existing total open space.

	o o	
	or areas will better serve to minimise amenity impacts on neighbours. A lesser areas of above ground open space may be included or calculated under the minimum requirements in the circumstances of the case. In all other cases open space that does not comply with the minimum specification is not included or calculated under the minimum requirements for total open space.	
4.1	5.3 Private Open Space	The propo private o
a)	Principal private open space is to be provided in accordance with the following minimum specifications:	
	i. Minimum area of principal private	

posed development will not impact existing pen space.

- a)
  - open space for a dwelling house is 18sqm; and
  - Minimum area of principal private ii. open space for residential accommodation with more than 1 dwelling on the site is 12sqm for each dwelling.

## 4.1.6 Parking, Vehicular Access and Loading

# 4.1.6.1 Parking Design and the Location of garages, carports or hardstand areas

- a) The design and location of all garages, carports or hardstand areas must minimise their visual impact on the streetscape and neighbouring properties and maintain the desired character of the locality.
- b) Garage and carport structures forward of the building line must be designed and sited so as not to dominate the street frontage. In particular:
  - garages and carports adjacent to the front property boundary may not be permitted if there is a reasonably alternative onsite location;
  - ii. carports must be open on both sides and at the front; and
- c) the maximum width of any garage, carport or hardstand area is not to exceed a width

Th proposed development will not impact the parking, garages, carport or hardstand areas of the dwelling.

equal to 50 percent of the frontage, up to a maximum width of 6.2m.  n relation to the provision of parking for dwelling houses, Council may consider the provision of only 1 space where adherence to the requirement for 2 spaces would adversely impact on the streetscape or on any heritage significance identified on the land or in the vicinity.	Existing vehicular access will remain.
.o.4 Velliculai Access	Existing Vernicular access will remain.
All vehicles should enter and leave the site in a forward direction.  Vehicular access and parking for buildings with more than 1 dwelling is to be consolidated within one location, unless an alternative layout/design would better reflect the streetscape or the building form.  Vision of vehicles entering and leaving the site must not be impaired by structures or landscaping.  Particular attention should be given to separating pedestrian entries and vehicular crossings for safety.  Vehicular access will not be permitted from pedestrianised areas in Manly Town Centre.	
.6.5 Driveways and Crossings	Existing driveways and crossings will remain.
veway crossovers/ gutter crossings should minimised and spaced to maximise kerbecar parking spaces. An appropriate means minimising impacts in this regard may olve relocation of garages or carports away on the front property boundary if there is a sonable alternative location.	
estanding walls and fences between the nt street boundary and the building are to no more than 1m high above ground level	The development does not include any additional fencing.
	a maximum width of 6.2m.  In relation to the provision of parking for dwelling houses, Council may consider the provision of only 1 space where adherence to the requirement for 2 spaces would adversely impact on the streetscape or on any heritage significance identified on the land or in the vicinity.  6.4 Vehicular Access  All vehicles should enter and leave the site in a forward direction.  Vehicular access and parking for buildings with more than 1 dwelling is to be consolidated within one location, unless an alternative layout/design would better reflect the streetscape or the building form.  Vision of vehicles entering and leaving the site must not be impaired by structures or landscaping.  Particular attention should be given to separating pedestrian entries and vehicular crossings for safety.  Vehicular access will not be permitted from pedestrianised areas in Manly Town Centre.  6.5 Driveways and Crossings  veway crossovers/ gutter crossings should minimised and spaced to maximise kerberar parking spaces. An appropriate means minimising impacts in this regard may obve relocation of garages or carports away in the front property boundary if there is a sonable alternative location.

## 2.2.4 The likely impacts of that development – Section 4.15(b)

#### 2.2.4.1 Aboriginal Archaeology

The requirement for an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Assessment (AHIA) is based on Part 2 of the NPWS Guidelines for Aboriginal Heritage Impact Assessments. Part 2 states that an AHIA is generally not required where:

- a) The proposed development is on land previously subject to intensive ground disturbance and the development will impact only on the area subject to the previous disturbance;
- b) The impact of the proposed activity is unlikely to cause any additional damage to Aboriginal objects than that which has already occurred; and
- c) The proposed development is in an area that has been identified in strategic planning, rezoning or other assessment studies as having low Aboriginal heritage potential.

Based on the abovementioned points it is noted that the proposed development is not likely to cause any damage to Aboriginal objects as the development is located within an existing residential area with existing site disturbances.

#### 2.2.4.2 CONTEXT AND SETTING

The proposed development has demonstrated consistency of the surrounding locality through the environmental planning regulations and site features informing the overall development design. It has also shown to be consistent with the rural surroundings through its consistency with the existing residential development of the area.

#### 2.2.4.3 VISUAL IMPACT

The development has been designed in a way and style that complements the area. The development is not expected to create an eye sore to the surrounding community.

## 2.2.4.4 ACCESS, TRANSPORT AND TRAFFIC

Due to the small nature of the development it is not considered to cause any impact on the local road network.

#### 2.2.4.5 PUBLIC DOMAIN

The proposed development will not have an impact on any public domain. The development contributions derived from this development in providing infrastructure and public domain improvements.

#### **2.2.4.6 SERVICES**

Electricity, telephone and physical, legal and emergency service access exists to the existing development. The site has reticulated (town) water supply and reticulated sewer service available.

#### 2.2.4.7 European Heritage

The site is not within a heritage area or close to any existing heritage items.

#### **2.2.4.8 Flooding**

The site is not located within a flood prone area.

#### 2.2.4.9 Landslip Risk Hazard

The site is not mapped as being a landslip hazard and as such no geotechnical report is required.

#### 2.2.4.10 Bushfire

The site is not located within a bushfire zone.

#### 2.2.4.11 Ecology

The physical works that will result from the proposed development will involve some minor earthworks for the footing/ slab.

#### 2.2.4.12 Noise and Vibration

No potential noise or vibration impacts have been identified. Construction noise will be as per normal construction times/processes.

#### 2.2.4.13 Social and Economic Impact

The proposed development is for the alterations and additions to an existing dwelling unit and should have no social or economic impact on the area.

#### 2.2.5 Suitability of the Site – Section 4.15(c)

The subject site is considered suitable for the proposed use as the area is surrounded by similar buildings of a similar size. As such it is considered that the development is suitable for the site and the surrounding area.

## 2.2.6 The Public Interest – Section 4.15(e)

The proposed development is considered to be in the public interest.

#### 3 Conclusion

This Statement of Environmental Effects comprehensively demonstrates that the proposed *alterations* and additions comprising a retractable roof over the existing terrace, a new glass balustrade, a vertical privacy screen and a timber seat is an appropriate and suitable development when tested against the relevant heads of consideration detailed within the section 4.15(C) of the *Environmental Planning & Assessment Act, 1979.* 

This report has identified all key issues associated with the proposal and demonstrated that the proposal can be developed appropriately with respect to these issues. The proposal is consistent with the zone objectives and other planning provisions and will make a positive contribution to the area.

The proposal is considered acceptable and should be approved because:

- The site is suitable for the proposal;
- The SoEE has identified all constraints associated with the land and demonstrated that the proposal can be undertaken whilst effectively minimising these constraints;
- The proposal will generate positive social and economic impacts;
- The proposal will generate only negligible environmental impacts; and
- The proposal is within the public interest.

The proposal has been assessed in accordance with S.4.15 of the EP&A Act 1979. This assessment has concluded that under the zone the development is a permissible land use.

Manly Council's Development Control Plan has also been considered and proposal complies with the DCP in all respects of the controls.

This report has assessed environmental considerations of the proposal, including heritage, flooding, access, ecological considerations, waste management, stormwater runoff, Aboriginal archaeology and servicing, and has concluded that there are no likely adverse environmental impacts associated with the proposal and that infrastructure either is, or can be developed to support the proposal.