
Sent: 29/10/2020 4:42:51 PM
Subject: Online Submission

29/10/2020

MS Diane Sharland
41 Fairlight Crescent ST
FAIRLIGHT NSW 2094
sharlan77@bigpond.com

RE: DA2020/0514 - 1 B Bolingbroke Parade FAIRLIGHT NSW 2094

I am writing to reaffirm my objection to this DA, as amended in September, 2020.

The changes made to the proposed opening time of the kiosk, (6am instead of 5am) and the reallocation of half the proposed seating area for the kiosk to a tender waiting area with seating, do not meet my many concerns.

I am particularly opposed to the proposed increase in size of the built form (over 255%), and especially the new decking and shed and facilities planned on the eastern side of the boatshed, and in direct line of sight and hearing with my home. All such activities have previously taken place within the main building or on the beach to the west, but are now proposed to take place in front of my home 24 hours/day, which will disturb the amenity of my home, especially in the early and late hours of the day.

I am also opposed to the external seating area of the kiosk, again in line of sight and hearing to my home and the proposed hours of operation from 6am to 10pm, 365 days a year. This is especially the case as Robbie Trehane personally assured me prior to lodging the DA that he would not seek to operate the kiosk after 6pm, or on every day of the year. Nor did he mention outdoor seating.

I am sad to say that recent reallocation of outdoor seating area from the kiosk to a waiting area for the tender appears to me to be a disingenuous alteration which cedes little to my legitimate concerns. I have observed the operation of the tender, which services only 39 comparatively modest vessels, and know that even in our current troubled times, there is no need for a waiting area for the tender. The area will contain seating and obviously be used in conjunction with the kiosk.

I also wish to emphasise my objection to the change of uses proposed, which will significantly increase visitor numbers, and inevitably further burden the existing parking and traffic facilities, and spoil the immediate environment for the many existing foreshore and park users and local residents. I have not seen any evidence that the parking and traffic issues in particular have been adequately understood. For instance, these issues are effected by seasonal factors, and demand is even higher during the warmer months, which is not a factor addressed by the applicant or its advisors.

I ask Council to refuse this non-complying DA.

Yours Faithfully
Dianne Sharland

