

### STATEMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS RELATING TO A DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF A DWELLING HOUSE AT PROPOSED LOT 7 IN THE COMMUNITY TITLE SUBDIVISION AT 96-104 CABARITA ROAD AVALON BEACH



Prepared for Meraki Developments Pty Ltd

> Job No. 18120 December 2018

Urban and Regional Planning, Environmental Planning and Statutory Planning Registered Office: Lyndhurst, Suite 19, 303 Pacific Highway, Lindfield N.S.W 2070 Telephone: (02) 9416 9111 Facsimile: (02) 9416 9799 email: admin@inghamplanning.com.au A.C.N. 106 713 768

# **Executive Summary**

The proposed dwelling house has been designed as an integrated part of the overall development of the site. Its footprint match that that is intended to be created by the subdivision of the land that forms part a of concurrently submitted DA for subdivision of the land. Also, its design specifically relates to the other 8 houses on the other residential lots to be created.

As the footprints form part of the subdivision DA, the vast majority of environmental impacts are considered as part of the subdivision. The main impact of this House DA relates to the visual appearance of the form and compliance with the relevant Council planning controls. As can be seen in the photomontage below, all of the Houses have been sensitively designed to sit within the landscape, stepping with the topography of the land and being no more than 2 storeys at any point. The articulation in the footprints are emphasised by the use of the different materials and colours and this ensures a harmonious response to the to the overall character of the area.

The proposed house not only complies with Council's controls but in many circumstances exceeds requirements. This together with design which is sensitive to the buildings on adjoining land and also within the site, will ensure that a high level of amenity is retained and provided. There will also be high quality landscaping that will supplement the significant number of trees being retained. This will allow vegetation to remain the dominant element in the visual character of the site (as seen below).



# Contents

| 1. | INTRODUCTION 1   |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2. | THE SI   | TE3  |  |
| 3. | SURROUNDING ENVIRONMENT  |  |  |
| 4. | THE PROPOSAL 10  |  |  |
| 5. |  | NG AND DEVELOPMENT CONTROLS  |  |
|    | 5.1<br>5.2   | Zoning15Development Controls   |  |
| 6. | EVALUATION UNDER SECTION 4.15 OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT, 1997 |  |  |
|    | 6.1  | Environmental planning instruments   |  |
|    | 6.2  | Draft environmental planning instruments                                       |  |
|    | 6.3  | Development control plans  |  |
|    | 6.4  | Any planning agreement that has been entered into of draft agreement offered25 |  |
|    | 6.5  | Any matter prescribed by the regulations that apply to the land25              |  |
|    | 6.6  | The likely impacts of that development   |  |
|    | 6.8  | The suitability of the site for the development                                |  |
|    | 6.9  | Submission made in accordance with this Act or the regulations26               |  |
|    | 6.10   | The public interest  |  |
| 7. | CONC   | LUSION   |  |

# APPENDICES

| APPENDIX A  | Photographic survey of adjoining foreshore land prepared by MHDP Dated  |
|---|---|
|   | December 2018   |
| APPENDIX B  | BASIX Certificate prepared by Outsource Ideas Dated 10 December 2018    |
| APPENDIX C Summary of Compliance with LEP and DCP Controls prepared           |   |
|   | Planning/MHDP Dated December 2018                                       |
| APPENDIX D Geotechnical Assessment and Certification Form prepared by JK Geot |   |
|   | Dated 30 November 2018  |
| APPENDIX E  | Landscape Design Statement prepared by Jamie King Landscape Architect   |
|   | Dated December 2018   |
| APPENDIX F  | Waste Management Plan prepared by Mark Hurcum Design Practice (MHDP)    |
|   | Dated November 2018   |
| APPENDIX G  | Estuarine Risk Management Report prepared by Horton Coastal Engineering |
|   | Dated 21 November 2018  |

# Introduction

## 1. INTRODUCTION

1

This is a Statement of Environmental Effects (SEE) relating to a development application (DA) for the construction of a dwelling house on Proposed Lot 7 in the Community Title subdivision of 96-104 Cabarita Road Avalon Beach.

The SEE contains the following sections:

- Section 2 examines the characteristics of the subject property;
- Section 3 details the nature of the surrounding locality;
- **Section 4** provides a detailed description of the proposal;
- Section 5 discusses the zoning and development controls relating to the land; and
- Section 6 provides an assessment of the proposal in relation to the relevant matters for consideration under Section 79C of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979.

In addition to the SEE and the relevant application forms and cost estimate report, also submitted with the DA are:

A3 size architectural documents prepared by Mark Hurcum Design Practice Architects

A7.000A LOT 7 - COVER SHEET A7.001A LOT 7 - SITE ANALYSIS A7.002A LOT 7 - LOT / ROOF PLAN A7.101A LOT 7 - LOWER LEVEL PLAN LOT 7 - MID LEVEL PLAN A7.102A LOT 7 - UPPER LEVEL PLAN A7.103A A7.201A LOT 7 - SOUTH-WEST ELEVATION A7.202A LOT 7 - SOUTH-EAST ELEVATION A7.203A LOT 7 - SOUTH-WEST ELEVATION A7.204A LOT 7 - NORTH-WEST ELEVATION A7.301A LOT 7 - SECTION A-A A7.302A LOT 7 - SECTION B-B A7.SK01A LOT 7 - PLANNING COMPLIANCE LOT AND LANDSCAPED AREA A7.SK02A LOT 7 - PLANNING COMPLIANCE BUILDING HEIGHT AND ENVELOPE A7.SK03A LOT 7 - EXTERNAL FINISHES SCHEDULE A7.SK04A LOT 7 - WASTE MANAGEMENT SITE PLAN.pdf A005A SITE PLAN A008A **CUT & FILL PLAN** SK203A **DESIGN RESPONSE** SK202A PLANNING COMPLIANCE BUILDING HEIGHT SK204A SHADOW DIAGRAM JUNE 21 - 9AM SK205A SHADOW DIAGRAM JUNE 21 - 12PM SK206A SHADOW DIAGRAM JUNE 21 - 3PM

A4 size architectural documents prepared by Mark Hurcum Design Practice Architects

- A7.N01A LOT 7 NOTIFICATION PLAN ELEVATION
- N01A NOTIFICATION PLAN SITE PLAN

A3 size survey plans prepared by Byrne & Associates 10937D5 LEVEL AND DETAIL SURVEY SHEETS 1-10

A1 size landscape plans prepared by Jamie King Landscape Architect SHT-101 LANDSCAPE MASTERPLAN SHT-108 LOT 7

A3 size landscape plans prepared by Jamie King Landscape Architect SHT-401 PLANT PALETTE SHT-402 MATERIALS PALETTE

A3 size stormwater engineering plans prepared by NB Consulting Engineers D01CSITE STORMWATER DRAINAGE PLAN D02BMUSIC CATCHMENT PLAN D03ASTORMWATER DRAINAGE NOTES D04ASTORMWATER DRAINAGE DETAILS SHEET 1 D05ASTORMWATER DRAINAGE DETAILS SHEET 2 D06BSOIL AND WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN D07ASOIL AND WATER MANAGEMENT DETAILS SHEET 1 D08ASOIL AND WATER MANAGEMENT DETAILS SHEET 2

A1 size civil engineering plans prepared by NB Consulting Engineers C04AROAD & DRIVEWAY ACCESS PLAN SHEET 4 C12ALONGITUDINAL SECTION SHEET 6

A4 size BASIX Certificate

CD with PDFs of all DA documentation

# 2

# The Site

### 2. THE SITE

The land described below is the subject of a separate, concurrently submitted DA for consolidation of the lots and creation of 2 torrens title lots (Proposed Lots 1 and 2). Proposed Lot 2 will retain the existing dwelling and boatshed on the land and proposed Lot 1 will be the subject of a Community Title subdivision than will create 9 residential and 1 community lot. The subject DA relates to Proposed Lot 7 in the Community Title scheme (see **Figure 1 - Site**).



Figure 1 – Proposed Lot 7 in Community Title subdivision

The overall site is known as 96-104 Cabarita Road Avalon Beach and is comprised of 4 existing lots – Lots 8 and 9 DP 629464 and Lots 14 and 15 DP858130 (see Figure 2 – Location and Figure 3 – Aerial View of Site and Surrounds).




Figure 2 – Location

The land is irregular in shape and slopes moderately to steeply down from Cabarita road to the Pittwater foreshore. The total area of the site is 12,659.1sqm. It has a road frontage of 91.16m and water frontage of around 120m. The depth is around 100-120m. There is a significant amount of vegetation on the site but also considerable built infrastructure including a dwelling, a boat shed, ancillary structures and retaining (see **Figure 3**).

Lot 8 is a waterfront lot with an area of 1566sqm and is access via a right-of-way over Lot 14. This lot contains an old boat shed, ramp and small ancillary building and structures. A jetty extends from the boatshed below Mean High Water Mark (MHWM).

Lot 9 is also a waterfront lot with access via a right-of-way over Lots 8 and 14. It has an area of 1080sqm. This lot contains a two storey timber, fibro and rendered brick dwelling house and timber outhouse.

Lot 14 is a large waterfront lot with a long frontage to Cabarita Road and an area of 8792sqm. It previously contained a large dwelling house but this is now demolished. An existing driveway was used for access to the dwelling and Lots 8 and 9.

Lot 15 is located in the south-eastern part of the site adjacent to Cabarita Road. It is irregular in shape with a street frontage of around 13.7m and a depth of 51-53m. The area of the site is approximately 1230sqm.



Figure 3 – Aerial photo of site



Figure 4 – site viewed from jetty




Figure 5 – existing boatshed, ramp, jetty, dwelling and outhouse



Figure 6 - view along foreshore towards boatshed and jetty



Figure 7 – sited viewed from Cabarita Road



Figure 8 – view over boatshed from site toward Pittwater



Figure 9 – existing driveway access from Cabarita Road

# Surrounding Environment

## 3. SURROUNDING ENVIRONMENT

3

The site is typical of the locality where there is a combination of recent and older style 1, 2 and 3 storey detached dwellings. The level of vegetation varies with some areas being highly vegetated and others with limited tree cover. The land is located between Cabarita Road and the Pittwater foreshore (see **Figure 2**). The development adjoining and near the site is shown in **Figures 10-13**. A photographic review of adjoining foreshore development is provided at **Appendix A**.



Figure 10 – existing driveway adjoining south-eastern boundary od Proposed Lot 10



Figure 11- dwelling at No 108 Cabarita Road to south of proposed Lot 10



Figure 12- dwelling at No 15 Careel Bay Close to south of proposed Lot 10



Figure 13- foreshore development to south-east of site near Careel Bay Marina

The Proposal

### 4. THE PROPOSAL

As indicated in the following drawing, the architect has based their design response on an analysis of the site and adjoining land (see **Figure 14**).




Figure 14- architects design response for the site

All of the dwellings proposed have been designed in an integrated manner to ensure the highest level of amenity and minimisation of impacts on the environment and adjacent neighbours. The project architect has provided the following comments about the overall design of the dwellings

### Overall dwelling design

Each building has been designed to respond to the topography of the site and around significant trees to be retained. All of the relevant planning expectations have been exceeded including lot size, setbacks and landscaped area. Highly articulated building footprints allow for privacy between buildings and increase the opportunity for landscaping between buildings. Views to Careel Bay are maintained with large setbacks between buildings. Highly articulated façades to Careel Bay and Cabarita Road will reduce the visual impact of the buildings when viewed from a public place. The buildings step down follow the topography to reduce cut and fill and excavated material is to remain on site as fill. The buildings step down to ensure they are only two storeys at all locations.

The forms of the buildings are inspired by natural elements visible from Pittwater. Each house is unique and varied, with shapes that mimic sandstone outcrops with shaded overhangs set among trees. The proposed landscaping around and between the buildings emphasise the natural setting and further reduce the impact of the buildings to be subservient to the surrounding trees. Materials and colours reference and respond to the area. The dwellings are primarily concrete and masonry with sandstone elements. The masonry is rendered or face brickwork in dark and earthy tones. The material palette is slightly varied between each house, with timber cladding, metal cladding and painted brickwork used as contrasting materials to the dark masonry. The variety of forms and materials differentiate each of the houses, while the base materials of sandstone and dark masonry used in all houses brings the development together as a whole.

The houses have been designed to accommodate ageing occupants and families. All houses have lifts between main levels. The primary living levels are flat slabs and have no steps or height changes.

The houses face views to Careel Bay and North towards Sand Point. The main living areas and deck are also oriented to maximise northern aspect.

The waterfront houses are designed with garages at the top level, living areas and master bedroom on the middle level, and secondary bedrooms and living areas on the lower level. These houses have been designed to follow the topography to allow level external access where possible. The façades facing Careel Bay present a narrow and articulated profile to the water. The upper levels are set back into the centre of the site so the building presents as two storeys when viewed from the water.

Along Cabarita Road the houses are two storeys with garage and bedrooms on ground level with living areas and master bedroom areas at the top. These houses have split-level ground floors to accommodate access to the garages on the sloping site while maintaining a flat slab to the first floor. This results in generous ceiling heights to houses 2, 3 and 5 that can be used for elevated storage. It is not feasible to reduce this ceiling height as this would mean that cantilevered sections of the building aimed at protecting trees would have to be excavated, compromising environmental outcomes.

The Cabarita Road houses are located towards the internal access driveway with large rear setbacks to Cabarita Road to maximise retention of the significant trees along the street boundary. This area along Cabarita Road will be maintained as an Environment Protection Area. Being located down the slope of the site the buildings will be minimally visible from the public domain and will allow for public views to Careel Bay over the roofs.

### Discussion of House 7

The building footprint has been designed to maximise the retention of significant trees. The footprint is located towards the access driveway and the south-eastern lot boundary. The building is located between two significant trees. The building has large and articulated setbacks to the north-western lot boundary.

### Details of the proposal

The proposal involves the construction of a 2 storey dwelling house within the building footprint specified for Proposed Lot 7 in the Community Title subdivision of the land. As indicated in Figure 15, the proposed building will be of concrete and rendered brick construction with feature elements of sandstone cladding, metal cladding, timber cladding and face brickwork. As indicated in **Figure 16**, where the building faces the adjoining existing dwellings, a combination of highlight windows and privacy louvres are provided to limit overlooking. A green roof and planter boxes will contribute to the overall softening of the appearance of the building.



### Figure 15- proposed north-west elevation of House 7 and schedule of colours and materials

The proposed accommodation includes:

- At Lower Level 3 bedrooms, two bathrooms, a laundry and a lounge room and • adjoining deck;
- At Mid Floor Level an open plan living/dining kitchen area with large deck to Pittwater, master bedroom with ensuite, bathroom and rumpus room;
- At Upper Floor Level a double garage and entry.

A lift and stairs provide for vertical access. Access to the proposed double garage will be via a driveway connected to the main access road for the development located within the community lot. The site is to be landscaped as detailed in the submitted landscape plans. This will include a minimum of 50% native species in accordance with Council's requirements.




Figure 16- proposed south-east elevation of House 7



Figure 17- proposed south-west elevation of House 7



Figure 18- proposed north elevation of House 7



Figure 19- 3D view of house from foreshore (NE of site)

# **Zoning and Development Controls**

### 5. ZONING AND DEVELOPMENT CONTROLS

#### 5.1 Zoning

Pursuant to the provisions of Pittwater Local Environmental Plan 2014 ('the LEP') the subject property is zoned E4 Environmental Living. The proposed use can be categorised as a dwelling house. This use is permitted with consent pursuant to the zoning table for the E4 zone and Clause 2.3 of the LEP.

### 5.2 Development Controls

The following legislation and planning documents are relevant to the assessment of the subject DA:

- Coastal Management Act 2016 and State Environmental Planning Policy (Coastal Management) 2018;
- State Environmental Planning Policy (BASIX) 2004;
- State Environmental Planning Policy No 55—Remediation of Land;
- State Environmental Planning Policy (Vegetation in Non-Rural Areas) 2017;
- Pittwater Local Environmental Plan 2014;
- Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan.

# **Evaluation under Section 4.15**

# 6. EVALUATION UNDER SECTION 4.15 OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT, 1997

#### 6.1 Environmental planning instruments

6

#### 6.1.1 State Environmental Planning Policy (Coastal Management) 2018

Pursuant to Clause 6 of this SEPP the subject land is identified as being within the 'Coastal Environment Area' and the 'Coastal Use Area' on the relevant mapping (see Figures 20 and 21). These areas are within the 'Coastal Zone' and as such the provisions of the Coastal Management Act 2016 (CMA) apply. The matters raised by the CMA are generally dealt with under the SEPP.

The relevant clauses of the SEPP generally relate to the protection of the environment and foreshore access. The environmental impacts of the development of the land are dealt with in the subdivision DA. The creation of the building footprint will require removal of 5 of the 7 existing non-exempt trees within the proposed lot, however 2 of these are in poor condition. The subject lot is on the foreshore but will not affect foreshore access. Also, as part of the Community Title scheme, this lot will have access to the foreshore and dinghy store area.



Figure 20 – Coastal Management SEPP Coastal Use Area Map



Figure 21 – Coastal Management SEPP Coastal Environment Area Map

## 6.1.2 State Environmental Planning Policy (BASIX) 2004

A BASIX certificate is provided for the proposed dwellings at **Appendix B**.

### 6.1.3 State Environmental Planning Policy No 55-Remediation of Land

The subject land has a long history of residential use and is unlikely to have been used for any purpose likely to have resulted in contamination.

### 6.1.4 <u>State Environmental Planning Policy (Vegetation in Non-Rural Areas) 2017</u>

Under this SEPP consent is required for the removal of vegetation. However, this issue is dealt with in the proposed Community Title subdivision which established building footprints for each lot. As noted above this footprint will only require the removal of 3 of the existing 18 non-exempt trees on this lot.

### 6.1.5 Pittwater Local Environmental Plan 2014

In addition to the zoning discussed above the following clauses of the LEP are relevant. Note that a summary of compliance with the numeric controls of the LEP and DCP is provided at **Appendix C**.

Clause 4.3 Height of Buildings – pursuant to this clause and the relevant map, the site is subject to an 8.5m height control. The proposal complies with this control as indicated in **Figures 22 and 23**.




Figure 22 – 3D 8.5m building height plane over site (fully complies)




Figure 23 – Section of 8.5m building height plane over site (fully complies)

Clause 7.1 Acid Sulfate Soils – the site is identified as containing Class 5 acid sulfate soils. The proposal includes works within 500 metres of adjacent Class 1 land that is below 5 metres Australian Height Datum, however the watertable is not likely to be lowered below 1 metre Australian Height Datum on the adjacent Class 1 land. Therefore, an acid sulfate management plan is not required.

Clause 7.2 Earthworks – the amount of cut and fill proposed is not unreasonable having regard to the sloping nature of the site. The areas where cut and fill are required is shown in **Figure 24**. The proposal will meet the objectives of this clause as demonstrated by comments elsewhere in this report.




Figure 24 – areas of proposed cut and fill

7.3 Flood Planning – this lot is not subject to flood risk.

7.5 Coastal risk planning – this lot is not indicated as being subject to coastal risk on the relevant map however it is subject to estuarine inundation. As indicated in the report at **Appendix G**, the proposed floor level is well above the maximum inundation level.

Clause 7.6 Biodiversity – the subject site is affected by this clause as indicated on the relevant map. However, all of the potential ecological impacts of the proposal are dealt with in the separate subdivision DA. The proposed dwelling will not have any additional impact beyond that already assessed in the other DA. The proposed landscaping of the site incudes a minimum of 50% native species that will assist in improving biodiversity.

Clause 7.7 Geotechnical hazards – the subject site is affected by this clause as indicated on the relevant map. As indicated in the Geotechnical Report at **Appendix D**, the proposal will not have any unreasonable adverse impacts in relation to the matters raised in this clause and as such the objectives of the clause will be met.

Clause 7.10 Essential services – in accordance with this clause the following services are/will be available to the proposed lot:

- (a) the supply of water,
- (b) the supply of electricity,
- (c) the disposal and management of sewage,
- (d) stormwater drainage or on-site conservation,
- (e) suitable vehicular access.

### 6.2 Draft environmental planning instruments

There are no draft EPI's of relevance to the proposal.

### 6.3 Development control plans

#### 6.3.1 <u>Pittwater 21 DCP</u>

The relevant provisions of this DCP are considered in the following table. Note that a summary of compliance with the numeric controls of the LEP and DCP is provided at **Appendix C**.

| Provision  | Comment   |
|--|---|
| A3.4 Key objectives of the Pittwater 21<br>Development Control Plan  | The proposal is consistent with the environmental, social and<br>economic objectives of the DCP. The proposed development<br>is compatible with the existing and desired future character of<br>the area and in fact is less intensive than other development in<br>the vicinity. Unlike many existing developments, it has been<br>specifically designed to minimise the impact on the<br>environment. |
| A4.1 Avalon Beach Locality   |   |
| The Avalon Beach 'Desired Character' statement includes the following:   | The proposed dwelling is a maximum of 2 storeys and is highly articulated;  |
| The most important desired future character<br>is that Avalon Beach will continue to<br>provide an informal relaxed casual seaside<br>environment. The locality will remain<br>primarily a low-density residential area with   | The built form is highly integrated with the landscape however<br>3 of the existing 5 healthy, non-exempt trees will require<br>removal. This is compensated by proposed planting and<br>existing trees retained on the site overall;   |
| dwelling houses a maximum of two storeys<br>in any one place in a landscaped setting,<br>integrated with the landform and landscape.   | There is adequate infrastructure to service the development including a bus service along Cabarita Road;  |
| Secondary dwellings can be established in<br>conjunction with another dwelling to<br>encourage additional opportunities for more   | All car parking associated with the development is accommodated on-site;  |
| compact and affordable housing with<br>minimal environmental impact in<br>appropriate locations. Any dual<br>occupancies will be located on the valley<br>floor and lower slopes that have less tree<br>canopy coverage, species and habitat<br>diversity, fewer hazards and other | The height of the buildings complies with the LEP control and<br>will be well below the existing tree canopy. As indicated in<br>the photomontages, the development on Proposed Lot 7 will<br>not be highly visible from Pittwater. The dwelling is setback<br>13.6-15.9m from the foreshore and the retention of existing<br>vegetation and new planting will ensure that the existing                 |

| Provision                                       | Comment   |
|---|---|
| constraints to development. Any medium          | quality of the view from Pittwater is maintained. No fencing is   |
| density housing will be located within and      | proposed.   |
| around commercial centres, public               |   |
| transport and community facilities. Retail,     | The proposed dwelling has a contemporary design and is            |
| commercial, community and recreational          | highly articulated, partly in response to the preservation of     |
| facilities will serve the community.            | existing large trees. Building form steps down with the slope     |
|   | as much as is practicable on this moderately to steeply sloping   |
| Future development is to be located so as to    | site.   |
| be supported by adequate infrastructure,        | 510.  |
| including roads, water and sewerage             | Tree removal is minimised to a degree that ensures that the       |
| facilities, and public transport. Vehicular     | landscape of the site will be the dominant element. With new      |
|   |   |
| and pedestrian access into and through the      | planting the proposal will provide for a net increase in tree     |
| locality is good. Pedestrian links, joining the | canopy.   |
| major areas of open space (Angophora            | The equation equivergence of Coursel Personill not be effected by |
| Reserve, Stapleton Park and Hitchcock Park)     | The aquatic environment of Careel Bay will not be affected by     |
| and along the foreshores, should be             | the proposal (subject to appropriate conditions of consent        |
| enhanced and upgraded. Similarly, cycle         | relation to construction).  |
| routes need to be provided through the          |   |
| locality. Carparking should be provided on      |   |
| site and where possible integrally designed     |   |
| into the building.                              |   |
|   |   |
| Future development will maintain a              |   |
| building height limit below the tree canopy,    |   |
| and minimise bulk and scale. Existing and       |   |
| new native vegetation, including canopy         |   |
| trees, will be integrated with development.     |   |
| The objective is that there will be houses      |   |
| amongst the trees and not trees amongst the     |   |
| houses.   |   |
|   |   |
| Contemporary buildings will utilise facade      |   |
| modulation and/or incorporate shade             |   |
| elements, such as pergolas, verandahs and       |   |
| the like. Building colours and materials will   |   |
| harmonise with the natural environment.         |   |
| Development on slopes will be stepped           |   |
| down or along the slope to integrate with       |   |
| the landform and landscape, and minimise        |   |
| site disturbance. Development will be           |   |
| designed to be safe from hazards.               |   |
|   |   |
| Most houses are set back from the street        |   |
| with low or no fencing and vegetation is        |   |
| used extensively to delineate boundary          |   |
| lines. Special front building line setbacks     |   |
| have been implemented along Avalon              |   |
| Beach Parade to maintain the unique             |   |
| character of this street. This, coupled with    |   |
| the extensive street planting of canopy trees,  |   |
| gives the locality a leafy character that       |   |
| should be maintained and enhanced.              |   |
|   |   |
| The design, scale and treatment of future       |   |
| development within the Avalon Beach             |   |
| Village will reflect the 'seaside-village'      |   |
| character of older buildings within the         |   |
| centre and reflect principles of good urban     |   |
| design. External materials and finishes shall   |   |
| be natural with smooth shiny surfaces           |   |
| avoided. Landscaping will be incorporated       |   |
| aronacai canascaping win be incorpolated        | <u> </u>  |

| Provision  | Comment  |
|--|--|
| into building design. Outdoor cafe seating                                   |  |
| will be encouraged.  |  |
|  |  |
| A balance will be achieved between   |  |
| maintaining the landforms, landscapes and                                    |  |
| other features of the natural environment,                                   |  |
| and the development of land. As far as                                       |  |
| possible, the locally native tree canopy and                                 |  |
| vegetation will be retained and enhanced to                                  |  |
| assist development blending into the natural                                 |  |
| environment, to provide feed trees and                                       |  |
| undergrowth for koalas and other animals,                                    |  |
| and to enhance wildlife corridors. The                                       |  |
| natural landscape of Careel Bay, including                                   |  |
| seagrasses and mangroves, will be conserved. Heritage items and conservation |  |
| areas indicative of early settlement in the                                  |  |
| locality will be conserved, including the                                    |  |
| early subdivision pattern of Ruskin Rowe.                                    |  |
|  |  |
| Vehicular, pedestrian and cycle access                                       |  |
| within and through the locality will be                                      |  |
| maintained and upgraded. The design and                                      |  |
| construction of roads will manage local                                      |  |
| traffic needs, minimise harm to people and                                   |  |
| fauna, and facilitate co-location of services                                |  |
| and utilities.   |  |
| B1.4 Aboriginal Heritage Significance  | The site is not identified as one of aboriginal archaeological   |
| P2 1 Londelin Hozard   | significance.  |
| B3.1 Landslip Hazard   | The Geotechnical Report at <b>Appendix D</b> . has had appropriate regard to the Geotechnical Risk Management Policy for |
|  | Pittwater and therefore will meet the objectives of this clause.   |
| B3.2 Bushfire Hazard   | The site is not subject to bushfire threat.  |
| B3.6 Contaminated Land and Potentially                                       | The land is unlikely to have been used for any use that could  |
| Contaminated Land  | cause contamination.   |
| B4.3 Flora and Fauna Habitat Enhancement                                     | As noted previously the proposed dwelling will not have any  |
| Category 2 Land  | greater ecological impact beyond that assessed in the DA for   |
|  | Community Title subdivision.   |
| B4.7 Pittwater Spotted Gum Forest  | As noted previously the proposed dwelling will not have any  |
| Endangered Ecological Community  | greater ecological impact beyond that assessed in the DA for   |
|  | Community Title subdivision.   |
| B4.16 Seagrass Conservation  | There are no works proposed below MHWM. The water  |
|  | quality measures proposed and required by conditions of  |
|  | consent will ensure that there are no adverse impacts on this  |
| B4.19 Estuarine Habitat  | vegetation.<br>The only works proposed below MHWM is connection to   |
| שא. דש באנעמוווופ המטונמנ  | existing sewer infrastructure. Therefore, subject to the   |
|  | submitted stormwater details and appropriate water quality   |
|  | treatment during construction, the proposal will not have any  |
|  | adverse impacts on the estuarine environment.  |
| B4.20 Protection of Estuarine Water Quality                                  | The only works proposed below MHWM is connection to  |
|  | existing sewer infrastructure. Therefore subject to the  |
|  | submitted stormwater details and appropriate water quality   |
|  | treatment during construction, the proposal will not have any  |
|  | adverse impacts on the estuarine environment.  |
| B5 Water Management  | The report submitted with the subdivision DA outlines an   |
|  | integrated approach to the water management of the site aimed  |
|  | at achieving the objectives of this section and the relevant   |
|  | requirements of the various applicable subsections. The  |
|  | relevant plans also form part of this DA.  |

| submitted Soil management plans.<br>C1 DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESIDENTIAL<br>DEVELOPMENT   | the form   |
|---|------------|
| of a widened driveway area in front of the garage.   B8 Site works management Appropriate measures will be put in place during const to ensure protection of the environment. Refer submitted Soil management plans.   C1 DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT Example of the environment of the envintence of the environment of the environment |            |
| B8 Site works management Appropriate measures will be put in place during const to ensure protection of the environment. Refer submitted Soil management plans.   C1 DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT  | truction   |
| to ensure protection of the environment. Refersubmitted Soil management plans.<br>C1 DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESIDENTIAL<br>DEVELOPMENT   | truction   |
| submitted Soil management plans.<br>C1 DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESIDENTIAL<br>DEVELOPMENT   |            |
| C1 DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESIDENTIAL<br>DEVELOPMENT   | to the     |
| DEVELOPMENT   |            |
|   |            |
| C1.1 Landscaping The details provided at Appendix E and the su  |            |
| landscape plan ensures the outcomes for this sec  | tion are   |
| achieved.   |            |
| C1.2 Safety and Security The submitted architectural plans ensure the outcome section are achieved.   | s for this |
| C1.3 View Sharing The proposal dwelling is well removed from  | existing   |
| neighbours and is unlikely to have any impact on vi   | ews. In    |
| any event, the proposed dwelling sits well below the  |            |
| 8.5m height control and complies all the other relevant DCD controls and ac such the local of impact of   |            |
| and DCP controls and as such the level of impact ca<br>considered unreasonable.   | nnot be    |
| C1.4 Solar Access As indicated in the submitted shadow diagrams, the d  | egree of   |
| overshadowing of adjoining houses will be minimal   |            |
| not result in less than 3 hours of solar access to living a   |            |
| private open space between 9am and 3pm at midwint   |            |
| C1.5 Visual Privacy The proposal been sensitively designed to ensure privacy of neighbouring properties has been protected  |            |
| regard, greater than complying setbacks, minimal o  |            |
| privacy screens and landscaping will all contri   |            |
| mitigating the potential for overlooking.   |            |
|   |            |
| The views from the proposed decks are elevated and<br>Pittwater. Therefore, privacy screens to these of   |            |
| Pittwater. Therefore, privacy screens to these considered unnecessary.  | IECKS IS   |
| C1.6 Acoustic Privacy The design measures referred to above will also   | mitigate   |
| acoustic impacts. All plant provided will meet the  |            |
| noise standards.  |            |
| C1.7 Private Open Space The amount of private open space provided for this dw   |            |
| in excess of the 80sqm required as detailed on the Site<br>plan and in <b>Appendix C</b> . Whilst not all the areas indic   |            |
| suitable for active recreation, any increase in these   |            |
| spaces would require further cut and fill and would po  |            |
| affect more existing vegetation.  | -          |
| C1.12 Waste and Recycling Facilities The waste storage area is provided as part of the Cor  |            |
| Title subdivision. It adjoins the access road entry and i   | 0          |
| enough to meet the requirements for all of the dw<br>Therefore, are no dedicated waste storage areas  |            |
| Proposed Lot 7 apart from the kitchen. There will be  |            |
| the garage for this purpose however residents will  | ypically   |
| take their daily garbage and recycling to the communa   | al bins.   |
| A WMP has been prepared and is attached at Appen  | dix F 🔺    |
| Waste Site Management Plan forms part of the su   |            |
| drawings.   |            |
| C1.15 Storage Facilities The dwelling has space larger than 8cu.m within each   | n garage   |
| to meet this requirement.   |            |
| C1.20 Undergrounding of Utility Services All utility services will be provided underground.   |            |
| C1.23 EavesThe dwelling has eaves of at least 450mm.D1 AVALON BEACH LOCALITYThe proposed dwelling sits well below the level of  | Cabarita   |
| D1.1 Character as viewed from a public Road and will not be highly visible. The building is   |            |
| place articulated and integrates with the landscape. The  |            |
| will result in a high quality visual outcome when view  | ed from    |
| the street and also the Pittwater waterway. The low de  | ensity of  |

| Provision  | Comment  |
|--|--|
|  | the development together with retention of existing trees, the<br>net increase in tree canopy and the sensitive building design<br>will ensure that the site has a more natural appearance than<br>many other nearby residential areas.  |
| D1.4 Scenic Protection general   | The material provided with the DA demonstrates that the<br>bushland character of the site will be dominant over the built<br>form. This results from the design features of the proposal<br>noted above.   |
| D1.5 Building colours and materials  | The materials and colours of the proposal are naturalistic and<br>are in keeping with the character of the locality. Any changes<br>to this will be carefully controlled through the Community<br>Management Statement for the subdivision that includes<br>provisions that ensure that any future development will have<br>an appropriate design aesthetic and will be required to be<br>approved by the Community Association. |
| D1.8 Front building line   | NA   |
| D1.9 Side and rear building line   | The required side setbacks are 1m to one side and 2.5m to the other side. The proposal complies with these setbacks.   |
| D1.11 Building envelope  | The proposal generally complies with the 3.5m/45 deg building envelope control as detailed in the submitted plans and indicated at <b>Figures 25 and 26</b> . The projections are mostly eaves which is permitted. Other minor protrusions will not have any unreasonable impacts.   |
| D1.14 Landscaped Area - Environmentally<br>Sensitive Land                          | As indicated on Plans SK01 and <b>Appendix C</b> , the proposed landscaped area is 61% slightly greater than the 60% required.   |
| D1.16 Fences - Flora and Fauna<br>Conservation Areas                               | No fencing is proposed.  |
| D1.17 Construction, Retaining walls,<br>terracing and undercroft areas<br>Outcomes | Due to the slope of the land some retaining and filling of the<br>land is required. However, the site will be suitably<br>landscaped to ensure that these areas do not have an adverse<br>visual impact.   |
| D1.20 Scenic Protection Category One<br>Areas                                      | The issues raised in this section have been addressed<br>elsewhere. The retention of existing trees and now planting<br>to provide a net increase in canopy will ensure that the scenic<br>quality of the area is suitable maintained.   |
| D15 WATERWAYS LOCALITY   | The only works proposed below MHWM is connection to<br>existing sewer infrastructure. Therefore, subject to the<br>submitted stormwater details and appropriate water quality<br>treatment during construction, the proposal will not have any<br>adverse impacts on the estuarine environment.  |



PERSPECTIVE VIEW - FROM NORTH-EAST

Figure 25 – building envelope compliance (SE boundary)




Figure 26 – building envelope compliance (NW boundary)

### 6.4 Any planning agreement that has been entered into of draft agreement offered

There are no planning agreements or draft planning agreements that are of relevance to the proposal.

### 6.5 Any matter prescribed by the regulations that apply to the land

There are no regulations of specific relevance to the proposal.

### 6.6 The likely impacts of that development

The potential impacts of the proposal have been addressed in **Section 6.1** and **Section 6.3** of this report or in the assessment of specific issues in the attached appendices.

### 6.8 The suitability of the site for the development

The proposal provides for the development of the proposed lot as envisaged in the proposed Community Title subdivision.

### 6.9 Submission made in accordance with this Act or the regulations

This is a matter for Council to consider however the proposal has been designed to minimise impacts on surrounding development.

### 6.10 The public interest

The proposal is considered to be in the public interest as it complies with the provisions of the LEP and DCP and will maintain the visual quality of the locality through a design which is sensitive to the site and its surrounds.

# Conclusion

## 7. CONCLUSION

The proposed dwelling is accommodated within the building footprint to be established through the DA for Community Title subdivision. The design not only complies with the provisions of the LEP and DCP but in most cases significantly exceeds requirements. It will facilitate development which will not have any unreasonable impacts on neighbouring properties and will maintain the visual quality of the locality.

We believe the development provides a quality planning outcome for the site and seek Council's support for the proposal.

7

# Appendix A

Photographic survey of adjoining foreshore land prepared by MHDP Dated December 2018

# Appendix B

BASIX Certificate prepared by Outsource Ideas Dated 10 December 2018

# Appendix C

Summary of Compliance with LEP and DCP Controls prepared by Ingham Planning/MHDP Dated December 2018

# Appendix D

Geotechnical Assessment and Certification Form prepared by JK Geotechnics Dated 30 November 2018

# Appendix E

Landscape Design Statement prepared by Jamie King Landscape Architect Dated December 2018

# Appendix F

Waste Management Plan prepared by Mark Hurcum Design Practice (MHDP) Dated November 2018

# Appendix G

Estuarine Risk Management Report prepared by Horton Coastal Engineering Dated 21 November 2018