

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

Application Number:	DA2021/1169			
Responsible Officer:	Maxwell Duncan			
Land to be developed (Address):	Lot 110 DP 11320, 12 Horning Parade MANLY VALE NSW 2093			
Proposed Development:	Alterations and additions to a dwelling house including a garage			
Zoning:	Warringah LEP2011 - Land zoned R2 Low Density Residential			
Development Permissible:	Yes			
Existing Use Rights:	No			
Consent Authority:	Northern Beaches Council			
Land and Environment Court Action:	No			
Owner:	Andrew Sinclair Jackson Lisa Alexandra Phillips			
Applicant:	Andrew Sinclair Jackson			

Application Lodged:	20/07/2021		
Integrated Development:	No		
Designated Development:	No		
State Reporting Category:	Residential - Alterations and additions		
Notified:	29/07/2021 to 12/08/2021		
Advertised:	Not Advertised		
Submissions Received:	0		
Clause 4.6 Variation:	4.3 Height of buildings: 5.7%		
Recommendation:	Approval		

Estimated Cost of Works:	\$ 530,000.00

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IN DETAIL

The proposal seeks consent for alterations and additions to the existing dwelling house.

The works incorporate the following:

- First floor addition;
- Ground floor extension and alterations;
- New garage;
- Ground floor terrace extension;
- New entrance path; and



• Demolitions works.

ASSESSMENT INTRODUCTION

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared (the subject of this report) taking into account all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, and the associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon the subject site and adjoining, surrounding and nearby properties;
- Notification to adjoining and surrounding properties, advertisement (where required) and referral to relevant internal and external bodies in accordance with the Act, Regulations and relevant Development Control Plan;
- A review and consideration of all submissions made by the public and community interest groups in relation to the application;
- A review and consideration of all documentation provided with the application (up to the time of determination);
- A review and consideration of all referral comments provided by the relevant Council Officers, State Government Authorities/Agencies and Federal Government Authorities/Agencies on the proposal.

SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT ISSUES

Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011 - 4.3 Height of buildings Warringah Development Control Plan - B1 Wall Heights Warringah Development Control Plan - B3 Side Boundary Envelope Warringah Development Control Plan - B7 Front Boundary Setbacks Warringah Development Control Plan - D1 Landscaped Open Space and Bushland Setting Warringah Development Control Plan - D8 Privacy Warringah Development Control Plan - E6 Retaining unique environmental features

SITE DESCRIPTION

Property Description:	Lot 110 DP 11320 , 12 Horning Parade MANLY VALE NSW 2093
Detailed Site Description:	The subject site consists of one (1) allotment located on the western side of Horning Parade, Manly Vale.
	The site is irregular in shape with a frontage of 14.5m along Horning Parade and an average depth of 58m. The site has a surveyed area of 601.9m².
	The site is located within the R2 Low Density Residential zone and accommodates a dwelling house.
	The existing dwelling sits on top of an rock outcrop, with the



rock located approximately 5m above natural ground level at the front of the property.

Detailed Description of Adjoining/Surrounding Development

Adjoining and surrounding development is characterised by residential development, primarily detached dwelling houses.



SITE HISTORY

The land has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. A search of Council's records has revealed the following relevant history:

DA2009/1588 - Alterations and additions to an existing dwelling. (Approved 2 March 2010)

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT, 1979 (EPAA)

The relevant matters for consideration under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Section 4.15 Matters for Consideration'	Comments
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(i) – Provisions of any environmental planning instrument	See discussion on "Environmental Planning Instruments" in this report.
Provisions of any draft	Draft State Environmental Planning Policy (Remediation of Land) seeks to replace the existing SEPP No. 55 (Remediation of Land). Public consultation on the draft policy was completed on 13 April



Section 4.15 Matters for Consideration'	Comments
instrument	2018. The subject site has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. The proposed development retains the residential use of the site, and is not considered a contamination risk.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iii) – Provisions of any development control plan	Warringah Development Control Plan applies to this proposal.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iiia) – Provisions of any planning agreement	None applicable.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iv) – Provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 (EP&A Regulation 2000)	<u>Division 8A</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider "Prescribed conditions" of development consent. These matters have been addressed via a condition of consent.
	<u>Clause 50(1A)</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building designer at lodgement of the development application. This clause is not relevant to this application.
	<u>Clauses 54 and 109</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 allow Council to request additional information. Additional information was requested in relation to the clause 4.6 request.
	<u>Clause 92</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider AS 2601 - 1991: The Demolition of Structures This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent.
	<u>Clauses 93 and/or 94</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the upgrading of a building (including fire safety upgrade of development). This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent. /
	<u>Clause 98</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider insurance requirements under the Home Building Act 1989. This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent.
	<u>Clause 98</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the provisions of the Building Code of Australia (BCA). This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent.
	<u>Clause 143A</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building designer prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate. This clause is not relevant to this application.
Section 4.15 (1) (b) – the likely impacts of the development,	(i) Environmental Impact The environmental impacts of the proposed development on the



Section 4.15 Matters for Consideration'	Comments
including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality	natural and built environment are addressed under the Warringah Development Control Plan section in this report. (ii) Social Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental social
	 impact in the locality considering the character of the proposal. (iii) Economic Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental economic impact on the locality considering the nature of the existing and proposed land use.
Section 4.15 (1) (c) – the suitability of the site for the development	The site is considered suitable for the proposed development.
Section 4.15 (1) (d) – any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs	See discussion on "Notification & Submissions Received" in this report.
Section 4.15 (1) (e) – the public interest	No matters have arisen in this assessment that would justify the refusal of the application in the public interest.

EXISTING USE RIGHTS

Existing Use Rights are not applicable to this application.

BUSHFIRE PRONE LAND

The site is not classified as bush fire prone land.

NOTIFICATION & SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

The subject development application has been publicly exhibited from 29/07/2021 to 12/08/2021 in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 and the Community Participation Plan.

As a result of the public exhibition of the application Council received no submissions.

REFERRALS

Internal Referral Body	Comments
Landscape Officer	The application seeks consent for alterations and additions to an existing dwelling including deck extension and a construction of a new garage.
	Councils Landscape Referral section has considered the application against the Warringah Local Environmental Plan, and the following Warringah DCP 2011 controls:
	 D1 Landscaped Open Space and Bushland Setting E1 Preservation of Trees or Bushland Vegetation



Internal Referral Body	Comments
	The Arborist's Report prepared by Margot Blues submitted with the application is noted.
	The report identifies two exempt tree species to be removed to accommodate the works. No objections are raised to the proposed tree removals.
	The site contains a significant rock outcrop and overhang in the front yard. The plans indicate extension of an existing deck over the outcrop. The Geotechnical Report prepared by White Geotechnical indicates that footing should not be located above the overhang unless underpinning of the rock occurs. Retention of the rock and overhang is supported. Dur to the location and size of the outcrop and overhang, referral to the AHO is recommended.
	No objections are raised with regard to landscape issues subject to conditions as recommended.
NECC (Development Engineering)	The proposal includes the widening of the existing driveway crossing to a new garage. A review of the proposal indicates that the widening at the kerb will reduce on street parking and the grade will exceed Council's maximum allowable grade. A widening at the boundary of 1 metre is acceptable and this requirement has been conditioned. Connection of stormwater to the kerb is satisfactory.
	No objection to approval, subject to conditions as recommended.

External Referral Body	Comments
	The proposal was referred to Ausgrid who provided a response stating that the proposal is acceptable subject to compliance with the relevant Ausgrid Network Standards and SafeWork NSW Codes of Practice. These recommendations will be included as a condition of consent.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS (EPIs)*

All, Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the merit assessment of this application.

In this regard, whilst all provisions of each Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the assessment, many provisions contained within the document are not relevant or are enacting, definitions and operational provisions which the proposal is considered to be acceptable against.

As such, an assessment is provided against the controls relevant to the merit consideration of the application hereunder.

State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) and State Regional Environmental Plans (SREPs)



SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land

Clause 7 (1) (a) of SEPP 55 requires the Consent Authority to consider whether land is contaminated. Council records indicate that the subject site has been used for residential purposes for a significant period of time with no prior land uses. In this regard it is considered that the site poses no risk of contamination and therefore, no further consideration is required under Clause 7 (1) (b) and (c) of SEPP 55 and the land is considered to be suitable for the residential land use.

SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004

A BASIX certificate has been submitted with the application (see Certificate No. A418130 dated 11 June 2021).

A condition has been included in the recommendation of this report requiring compliance with the commitments indicated in the BASIX Certificate.

SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007

<u>Ausgrid</u>

Clause 45 of the SEPP requires the Consent Authority to consider any development application (or an application for modification of consent) for any development carried out:

- within or immediately adjacent to an easement for electricity purposes (whether or not the electricity infrastructure exists).
- immediately adjacent to an electricity substation.
- within 5.0m of an overhead power line.
- includes installation of a swimming pool any part of which is: within 30m of a structure supporting an overhead electricity transmission line and/or within 5.0m of an overhead electricity power line.

Comment:

The proposal was referred to Ausgrid. No response has been received within the 21 day statutory period and therefore, it is assumed that no objections are raised and no conditions are recommended.

Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011

Is the development permissible?	Yes	
After consideration of the merits of the proposal, is the development consistent with:		
aims of the LEP?	Yes	
zone objectives of the LEP?	Yes	

Principal Development Standards

Standard	Requirement	Proposed	% Variation	Complies
Height of Buildings:	8.5m	8.99m	5.7%	No



Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements
2.7 Demolition requires consent	Yes
4.3 Height of buildings	No (see detail under Clause 4.6 below)
4.6 Exceptions to development standards	Yes
5.8 Conversion of fire alarms	Yes
6.2 Earthworks	Yes
6.4 Development on sloping land	Yes

Detailed Assessment

4.6 Exceptions to development standards

Description of non-compliance:

Development standard:	Height of buildings
Requirement:	8.5m
Proposed:	8.99m
Percentage variation to requirement:	5.7%

Assessment of request to vary a development standard:

The following assessment of the variation to Clause 4.3 – Height of Buildings development standard, has taken into consideration the judgements contained within *Initial Action Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council* [2018] NSWLEC 118, Baron Corporation Pty Limited v Council of the City of Sydney [2019] NSWLEC 61, and RebelMH Neutral Bay Pty Limited v North Sydney Council [2019] NSWCA 130.

Clause 4.6 Exceptions to development standards:

(1) The objectives of this clause are as follows:

(a) to provide an appropriate degree of flexibility in applying certain development standards to particular development,

(b) to achieve better outcomes for and from development by allowing flexibility in particular circumstances.

(2) Development consent may, subject to this clause, be granted for development even though the development would contravene a development standard imposed by this or any other environmental planning instrument. However, this clause does not apply to a development standard that is expressly excluded from the operation of this clause.

Comment:

Clause 4.3 – Height of Buildings development standard is not expressly excluded from the operation of this clause.



(3) Development consent must not be granted for development that contravenes a development standard unless the consent authority has considered a written request from the applicant that seeks to justify the contravention of the development standard by demonstrating:

(a) that compliance with the development standard is unreasonable or unnecessary in the circumstances of the case, and

(b) that there are sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify contravening the development standard.

(4) Development consent must not be granted for development that contravenes a development standard unless:

(a) the consent authority is satisfied that:

(i) the applicant's written request has adequately addressed the matters required to be demonstrated by subclause (3), and

(ii) the proposed development will be in the public interest because it is consistent with the objectives of the particular standard and the objectives for development within the zone in which the development is proposed to be carried out, and

(b) the concurrence of the Secretary has been obtained.

Clause 4.6 (4)(a)(i) (Justification) assessment:

Clause 4.6 (4)(a)(i) requires the consent authority to be satisfied that the applicant's written request, seeking to justify the contravention of the development standard, has adequately addressed the matters required to be demonstrated by cl 4.6(3). There are two separate matters for consideration contained within cl 4.6(3) and these are addressed as follows:

(a) that compliance with the development standard is unreasonable or unnecessary in the circumstances of the case, and

Comment:

The Applicant's written request (attached to this report as an Appendix) has not demonstrated that the objectives of the development standard are achieved, notwithstanding the non-compliance with the development standard.

In doing so, the Applicant's written request has adequately demonstrated that compliance with the development standard is unreasonable or unnecessary in the circumstances of this case as required by cl 4.6(3)(a).

(b) that there are sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify contravening the development standard.

Comment:

In the matter of Initial Action Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2018] NSWLEC 118, Preston CJ provides the following guidance (para 23) to inform the consent authority's finding that the applicant's written request has adequately demonstrated that there are sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify contravening the development standard:

'As to the second matter required by cl 4.6(3)(b), the grounds relied on by the applicant in the written request under cl 4.6 must be "environmental planning grounds" by their nature: see Four2Five Pty Ltd v Ashfield Council [2015] NSWLEC 90 at [26]. The adjectival phrase "environmental planning" is not defined, but would refer to grounds that relate to the subject matter, scope and purpose of the EPA Act, including the objects in s 1.3 of the EPA Act.'



s 1.3 of the EPA Act reads as follows:

1.3 Objects of Act(cf previous s 5)

The objects of this Act are as follows:

(a) to promote the social and economic welfare of the community and a better environment by the proper management, development and conservation of the State's natural and other resources,
(b) to facilitate ecologically sustainable development by integrating relevant economic, environmental and social considerations in decision-making about environmental planning and assessment,
(c) to promote the orderly and economic use and development of land,

(d) to promote the delivery and maintenance of affordable housing,

(e) to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats,

(f) to promote the sustainable management of built and cultural heritage (including Aboriginal cultural heritage),

(g) to promote good design and amenity of the built environment,

(*h*) to promote the proper construction and maintenance of buildings, including the protection of the health and safety of their occupants,

(i) to promote the sharing of the responsibility for environmental planning and assessment between the different levels of government in the State,

(j) to provide increased opportunity for community participation in environmental planning and assessment.

The applicants written request argues, in part:

"(a) to promote the social and economic welfare of the community and a better environment by the proper management, development and conservation of the State's natural and other resources,

• in allowing the family to maintain adequate housing as it grows with sensible development

(b) to facilitate ecologically sustainable development by integrating relevant economic, environment and social considerations in decision-making about environmental planning and assessment,

• through the development extending upwards onto the existing structure reducing economic costs limiting unreasonable burden on the family and minimising environmental impact through the existing natural rock that the existing house is situated on.

(c) to promote the orderly and economic use and development of land,

• this development emphasises growth that is responsible and respectful to the surrounding urban and natural environment in that it is a small part of the roof that will exceed the maximum height of buildings clause.

(d) to promote the delivery and maintenance of affordable housing,

• by choosing an economically responsible solution for measured development to address family need

(e) to protect the environment including conservation of, native animals and plants, ecological

DA2021/1169



communities and their habitats,

• the decision to extend upwards as opposed to the alternative of excavating into the natural rock formation and creating irreversible damage

(g) to promote good design and amenity of the built environment

 the proposed design promotes good design and amenity by maintaining at it's highest point – compliance with the height of building clause – and only proposing non-compliance to an area of the roof that is unfortunate due to a natural rock formation that cannot be landscaped or built into. Thereby meeting the family's social needs whilst respecting the surrounding environment through economically sound decision making that leads to good design outcomes."

Council's Assessment of the Clause 4.6 Request

The applicant has satisfactorily demonstrated that the works are consistent with the objects of the EP&A Act, specifically the following objects of the EP&A Act:

- The development promotes the orderly and economic use and development of the land, in so far as the proposed dwelling responsible and respectful to the surrounding urban and natural environment
- The development promotes good design and amenity of the built environment, noting that the dwelling house integrates and works with the constraints (rock outcrop) of the site.

In this regard, the applicant's written request has demonstrated that the proposed development is an orderly and economic use and development of the land, and that the structure is of a good design that will reasonably protect and improve the amenity of the surrounding built environment, therefore satisfying cls 1.3 (c) and (g) of the EPA Act.

Therefore, the applicant's written request has adequately demonstrated that there are sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify contravening the development standard as required by cl 4.6 (3)(b).

Therefore, Council is satisfied that the applicant's written request has adequately addressed the matters required to be demonstrated by cl 4.6(3).

Clause 4.6 (4)(a)(ii) (Public Interest) assessment:

cl 4.6 (4)(a)(ii) requires the consent authority to be satisfied that:

(ii) the proposed development will be in the public interest because it is consistent with the objectives of the particular standard and the objectives for development within the zone in which the development is proposed to be carried out

Comment:

In considering whether or not the proposed development will be in the public interest, consideration must be given to the underlying objectives of the Height of Buildings development standard and the objectives of the R2 Low Density Residential zone. An assessment against these objectives is provided below.



Objectives of development standard

The underlying objectives of the standard, pursuant to Clause 4.3 – 'Height of buildings' of the WLEP 2011 are:

(1) The objectives of this clause are as follows:

a) to ensure that buildings are compatible with the height and scale of surrounding and nearby development,

Comment:

The first floor addition is consistent with neighbouring two storey development. The dwelling is largely consistent with the the 8.5m height control, with only a minor non-compliance to the back of the dwelling. This compliant presentation in the streetscape and appropriate response to the topography of the land ensures that the house would be compatible with the height and scale of the surrounding development.

b) to minimise visual impact, disruption of views, loss of privacy and loss of solar access,

Comment:

The proposed design complies with the relevant controls for sunlight under the WDCP 2011 and involves a design with sufficient physical separation from neighbouring properties to minimise privacy loss. The proposed development will not compromise views to and from the subject site and adjoining properties.

c) to minimise adverse impact of development on the scenic quality of Warringah's coastal and bush environments,

Comment:

The proposed dwelling provides a two storey presentation in the streetscape and allows for soft landscaped area at the front and rear of the dwelling. This provides a situation in which the scenic quality of the area will be suitably maintained.

d) to manage the visual impact of development when viewed from public places such as parks and reserves, roads and community facilities,

Comment:

The proposed non-compliance will not

Zone objectives

The underlying objectives of the R2 Low Density Residential zone are:

• To provide for the housing needs of the community within a low density residential environment.

Comment:

The proposed development retains the residential use of the site.



It is considered that the development satisfies this objective.

 To enable other land uses that provide facilities or services to meet the day to day needs of residents.

Comment:

No change to the use is proposed.

It is considered that the development satisfies this objective.

• To ensure that low density residential environments are characterised by landscaped settings that are in harmony with the natural environment of Warringah.

Comment:

The development is consistent with the desired landscape setting, retaining landscaping to the front and rear of the dwelling.

It is considered that the development satisfies this objective.

Conclusion:

For the reasons detailed above, the proposal is considered to be consistent with the objectives of the R2 Low Density Residential zone.

Clause 4.6 (4)(b) (Concurrence of the Secretary) assessment:

cl. 4.6(4)(b) requires the concurrence of the Secretary to be obtained in order for development consent to be granted.

Planning Circular PS20-002 dated 5 May 2020 issued by the NSW Department of Planning & Infrastructure, advises that the concurrence of the Secretary may be assumed for exceptions to development standards under environmental planning instruments that adopt Clause 4.6 of the Standard Instrument. In this regard, given the consistency of the variation to the objectives of the zone, the concurrence of the Secretary for the variation to the Height of buildings Development Standard is assumed by the delegate of Council as the development contravenes a numerical standard by less than or equal to 10%.

Warringah Development Control Plan

Built Form Controls

Built Form Control	Requirement	Proposed	% Variation*	Complies
B1 Wall height	7.2m	8.6m	19.44%	No
B3 Side Boundary Envelope	North - 4m	Outside envelope Height - 0.4m - 0.5m Length - 2.8m	12.5%	No
	South - 4m	Outside envelope Height - 0.7m -	62.5%	No



		1.5m Length - 9.9m		
B5 Side Boundary Setbacks	North - 0.9m	Dwelling (Ground) - 0.9m Dwelling (First) - 2.1m Garage - 3.7m	-	Yes
	South - 0.9m	Dwelling (Ground) - 0.9m Dwelling (First) - 1.4m Garage - 0.9m	-	Yes
B7 Front Boundary Setbacks	6.5m	Garage - 0m	100%	No
B9 Rear Boundary Setbacks	6m	13.9m	-	Yes
D1 Landscaped Open Space (LOS) and Bushland Setting	40% (240.8sqm)	25.54% (153.8sqm)	36%	No

***Note:** The percentage variation is calculated on the *overall* numerical variation (ie: for LOS - Divide the proposed area by the numerical requirement then multiply the proposed area by 100 to equal X, then 100 minus X will equal the percentage variation. Example: 38/40 x 100 = 95 then 100 - 95 = 5% variation)

Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
A.5 Objectives	Yes	Yes
B1 Wall Heights	No	Yes
B3 Side Boundary Envelope	No	Yes
B5 Side Boundary Setbacks	Yes	Yes
B7 Front Boundary Setbacks	No	Yes
B9 Rear Boundary Setbacks	Yes	Yes
C2 Traffic, Access and Safety	Yes	Yes
C3 Parking Facilities	Yes	Yes
C4 Stormwater	Yes	Yes
C7 Excavation and Landfill	Yes	Yes
C8 Demolition and Construction	Yes	Yes
C9 Waste Management	Yes	Yes
D1 Landscaped Open Space and Bushland Setting	Yes	Yes
D2 Private Open Space	Yes	Yes
D3 Noise	Yes	Yes
D6 Access to Sunlight	Yes	Yes
D7 Views	Yes	Yes
D8 Privacy	Yes	Yes
D9 Building Bulk	Yes	Yes
D10 Building Colours and Materials	Yes	Yes



Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
D11 Roofs	Yes	Yes
D12 Glare and Reflection	Yes	Yes
D14 Site Facilities	Yes	Yes
D20 Safety and Security	Yes	Yes
D21 Provision and Location of Utility Services	Yes	Yes
D22 Conservation of Energy and Water	Yes	Yes
E2 Prescribed Vegetation	Yes	Yes
E6 Retaining unique environmental features	No	No
E10 Landslip Risk	Yes	Yes

Detailed Assessment

B1 Wall Heights

Description of non-compliance

This clause requires that walls do not to exceed 7.2 metres from ground level (existing) to the underside of the ceiling on the uppermost floor of the building.

The proposed maximum wall height is 8.6m on the northern elevation, representing a variation of 19.44%.

Merit consideration:

With regard to the consideration for a variation, the development is considered against the underlying Objectives of the Control as follows:

• To minimise the visual impact of development when viewed from adjoining properties, streets, waterways and land zoned for public recreation purposes.

Comment:

The non-compliance occurs is largely caused by the fall of the site from the rock outcrop. Notwithstanding the non-compliance, it is considered the 2.1 - 2.7m setback of the first floor addition of from the north side boundary will mitigate visual dominance of the building on the lower level properties and provides sufficient spatial separation.

• To ensure development is generally beneath the existing tree canopy level

Comment:

The height of the proposed development remains below the canopy level.

• To provide a reasonable sharing of views to and from public and private properties.

Comment:



The proposed development will not result in any unreasonable view impacts.

• To minimise the impact of development on adjoining or nearby properties.

Comment:

The proposal has been appropriately articulated from the ground floor, which minimises the impact on adjoining properties in terms of bulk and scale. The proposal also complies with the side boundary setback control, which reduces any unreasonable encroachments caused by the development on neighbouring properties.

• To ensure that development responds to site topography and to discourage excavation of the natural landform.

Comment:

The development is sited to minimise excavation depth and the overall height of the dwelling. The dwelling responds appropriately to the topography of the site.

• To provide sufficient scope for innovative roof pitch and variation in roof design.

Comment:

The proposed hipped roof form is compatible with adjoining properties and offers sufficient opportunities for an innovative roof pitch

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the aims and objectives of WLEP 2011, WDCP and the objectives specified in s1.3 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported, in this particular circumstance.

B3 Side Boundary Envelope

Description of non-compliance

The control requires development to be sited within a building envelope which is determined by projecting planes at 45 degrees from a height of 4m above the existing ground level at side boundaries.

The first floor addition involves a minor breach to the envelope control on the northern and southern elevation.

The breach along the northern elevation occurs for a horizontal length of 2.8m between a height of 0.4m - 0.5m. This represents a 12.5% variation from the numeric requirement. Image 1 depicts the extent of the non-compliance.





Image 1: southern elevation envelope non-compliance

The breach along the southern elevation occurs for a horizontal length of 9.9m between a height of 0.7m - 1.5m. This represents a 62.5% variation from the numeric requirement. Image 2 depicts the extent of the non-compliance.



Image 2: southern elevation envelope non-compliance

Merit consideration

With regard to the consideration for a variation, the development is considered against the underlying Objectives of the Control as follows:

• To ensure that development does not become visually dominant by virtue of its height and bulk.

Comment:

The first floor component is setback approximately 20m from the street frontage and will not be imposing within the streetscape. Furthermore, the proposal remains under the 7.2m wall height control, thereby demonstrating and acceptable height for the site. The first floor addition complies with the side setback provision and the southern elevation has been stepped in from the ground floor to minimise visual impacts to the north anf south. The resulting development will be a two storey dwelling house, which is commensurate with the surrounding built environment. For these reasons, it is considered that the development will not become visually



dominant by virtue of its height and bulk.

• To ensure adequate light, solar access and privacy by providing spatial separation between buildings.

Comment:

The shadow diagrams submitted with this application indicate only minor overshadowing of rear yards to the south on June 21 (winter solstice), with over 50% adjoining private open space maintaining at least 3 hours of solar access. This is in compliance with the Warringah DCP 2011 solar access provision. Furthermore, new windows on the southern and northern elevations of the first floor will not opportunities for overlook neighbouring private open space. For these reasons, it is considered that this objective is satisfied.

• To ensure that development responds to the topography of the site.

Comment:

The site only experiences a large slop from the dwelling to the front of the property. The proposed development does not propose to alter the natural topography of the site

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the relevant objectives of WDCP and the objectives specified in s1.3 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported, in this particular circumstance.

B7 Front Boundary Setbacks

Description of non-compliance

The control requires development to be setback a minimum of 6.5m from the front boundary.

The proposed garage structure is to have a nil - 1m setback to the front boundary, non-compliant with the numeric control.

Merit consideration:

With regard to the consideration for a variation, the development is considered against the underlying Objectives of the Control as follows:

• To create a sense of openness.

Comment:

The proposed garage is not expected to cause unreasonable impact upon the openness of the front setback area. There are numerous other examples of garages within the front setback along both the eastern and western side of Horning Parade including Nos. 14, 13, 6 and 3. As such, it will not unreasonably impact the openness of the front setback area.

• To maintain the visual continuity and pattern of buildings and landscape elements.

Comment:



The development proposes a garage and is generally compliant with Council's built form controls. The proposed works will not be or any nearby public space and is therefore considered to maintain the visual continuity and pattern of buildings. The development does not alter the existing landscape elements for the site.

• To protect and enhance the visual quality of streetscapes and public spaces.

Comment:

The design of the proposal will successfully achieve a reasonable level of openness within the front setback, while maintaining the visual continuity of buildings and landscape elements.

• To achieve reasonable view sharing.

Comment:

The development will achieve reasonable view sharing.

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the relevant objectives of WDCP and the objectives specified in s1.3 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported, in this particular circumstance.

D1 Landscaped Open Space and Bushland Setting

Description of non-compliance

he required landscape open space for the subject site is 40%, which would equate to 240.8m².

The development proposes a landscape open space of 24.54% or 153.8m², non-compliant with the numeric control.

The proposed garage proposed as part of this application, reduces the level of landscaping on site.

Merit consideration

With regard to the consideration for a variation, the development is considered against the underlying Objectives of the Control as follows:

• To enable planting to maintain and enhance the streetscape.

Comment:

The proposal reduces the existing level of landscape setting on the sit by incorporating the garage and entranceway, however the new development will allow for a greater presentation to the streetscape and will enhance the existing landscaping in the front setback.

• To conserve and enhance indigenous vegetation, topographical features and habitat for wildlife.

Comment:

There are no threatened species identified on site or significant topographical features or



vegetation. The proposal is considered to provide adequate landscaped open space areas which will complement the proposed dwelling and provide for the needs of dwelling occupants.

• To provide for landscaped open space with dimensions that are sufficient to enable the establishment of low lying shrubs, medium high shrubs and canopy trees of a size and density to mitigate the height, bulk and scale of the building.

Comment:

The proposal provides open space dimensions that are sufficient to enable the establishment of low lying shrubs, medium high shrubs and canopy tress of a scale and density to mitigate the height, bulk and scale of the building. The proposed development does not seek to remove any existing canopy trees existing on site. The Landscaped features proposed are commensurate with the height, bulk and scale of the proposed development.

• To enhance privacy between buildings.

Comment:

The proposed development is not expected to create any unreasonable overlooking of adjoining dwellings. The proposed extension of the ground floor terrace is screened screened by any 1.8m high privacy screen

• To accommodate appropriate outdoor recreational opportunities that meet the needs of the occupants.

Comment:

The proposal accommodates for appropriate outdoor recreational opportunities that meet the needs of the occupants.

• To provide space for service functions, including clothes drying.

Comment:

The proposed landscaped open space has adequate space to accommodate service functions, including clothes drying.

• To facilitate water management, including on-site detention and infiltration of stormwater.

Comment:

The deep soil landscape open space on the site provides sufficient opportunity for infiltration of stormwater

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the relevant objectives of WDCP and the objectives specified in s1.3 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported, in this particular circumstance.

D8 Privacy

The proposed development incorporates 2.1m privacy screen along the southern edge of the ground



floor terrace to mitigate visual privacy between the subject site and the adjoining southern property (No. 14 Horning Parade, Manly Vale). The proposed privacy screens have been reduced to 1.8m to reduce the visual bulk of the development when viewed from the street. The proposed reduction will not give rise to any unreasonable visual privacy impact between dwellings.

E6 Retaining unique environmental features

Merit consideration:

With regard to the consideration for a variation, the development is considered against the underlying Objectives of the Control as follows:

• To conserve those parts of land which distinguish it from its surroundings.

Comment:

The deck extension is proposed over the top of an existing rock outcrop. The proposed extension will compromise the part existing rock outcrop, not currently built over and therefore will not conserve land in which these natural feature. The proposed deck extension does not satisfy this objective. A condition of consent has been imposed as part of this recommendation to ensure no approval is given for the proposed deck extension.

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the relevant objectives of WDCP and the objectives specified in s1.3 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported, in this particular circumstance.

THREATENED SPECIES, POPULATIONS OR ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES

The proposal will not significantly affect threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.

CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN

The proposal is consistent with the principles of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design.

POLICY CONTROLS

Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2021

The proposal is subject to the application of Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2021.

A monetary contribution of \$5,300 is required for the provision of new and augmented public infrastructure. The contribution is calculated as 1% of the total development cost of \$530,000.

CONCLUSION

The site has been inspected and the application assessed having regard to all documentation submitted by the applicant and the provisions of:

- Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;
- Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000;



- All relevant and draft Environmental Planning Instruments;
- Warringah Local Environment Plan;
- Warringah Development Control Plan; and
- Codes and Policies of Council.

This assessment has taken into consideration the submitted plans, Statement of Environmental Effects, all other documentation supporting the application and public submissions, and does not result in any unreasonable impacts on surrounding, adjoining, adjacent and nearby properties subject to the conditions contained within the recommendation.

In consideration of the proposal and the merit consideration of the development, the proposal is considered to be:

- Consistent with the objectives of the DCP
- Consistent with the zone objectives of the LEP
- Consistent with the aims of the LEP
- Consistent with the objectives of the relevant EPIs
- Consistent with the objects of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

Council is satisfied that:

1) The Applicant's written request under Clause 4.6 of the Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011 seeking to justify a contravention of Clause 4.3 Height of Buildings has adequately addressed and demonstrated that:

a) Compliance with the standard is unreasonable or unnecessary in the circumstances of the case; and

b) There are sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify the contravention.

2) The proposed development will be in the public interest because it is consistent with the objectives of the standard and the objectives for development within the zone in which the development is proposed to be carried out.

It is considered that the proposed development satisfies the appropriate controls and that all processes and assessments have been satisfactorily addressed.

RECOMMENDATION

That Northern Beaches Council as the consent authority vary clause 4.3 Height of Building development standard pursuant to clause 4.6 of the WLEP 2011 as the applicant's written request has adequately addressed the merits required to be demonstrated by subclause (3) and the proposed development will be in the public interest and is consistent with the objectives of the standard and the objectives for development within the zone in which the development is proposed to be carried out.

Accordingly Council as the consent authority grant Development Consent to DA2021/1169 for Alterations and additions to a dwelling house including a garage on land at Lot 110 DP 11320, 12 Horning Parade, MANLY VALE, subject to the conditions printed below:

DEVELOPMENT CONSENT OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS



1. Approved Plans and Supporting Documentation

The development must be carried out in compliance (except as amended by any other condition of consent) with the following:

a) Approved Plans

Architectural Plans - Endorsed with Council's stamp			
Drawing No.	Dated	Prepared By	
A.01.1	11 June 2021	MD&B	
A.02.1	11 June 2021	MD&B	
A.02.2	11 June 2021	MD&B	
A.02.3	11 June 2021	MD&B	
A.02.4	11 June 2021	MD&B	
A.03.1	11 June 2021	MD&B	
A.03.2	11 June 2021	MD&B	
A.03.3	11 June 2021	MD&B	
A.03.4	11 June 2021	MD&B	
A.04.1	11 June 2021	MD&B	
A.04.2	11 June 2021	MD&B	
A.04.3	11 June 2021	MD&B	
A.06.1	11 June 2021	MD&B	
A.06.2	11 June 2021	MD&B	
D.02.1	11 June 2021	MD&B	
D.02.4	11 June 2021	MD&B	
D.03.1	11 June 2021	MD&B	
D.03.2	11 June 2021	MD&B	
D.04.1	11 June 2021	MD&B	

Reports / Documentation – All recommendations and requirements contained within:

Report No. / Page No. / Section No.	Dated	Prepared By
BASIX Certificate No. A418130	31 August 2021	Mileham Constructions
Arboricultural Report	21 February 2021	Margot Blues
Geotechnical report	7 July 2021	White geotechnical group

b) Any plans and / or documentation submitted to satisfy the Conditions of this consent.

c) The development is to be undertaken generally in accordance with the following:

Landscape Plans		
Drawing No.	Dated	Prepared By
Landscape Plan	9 July 2021	MD&B



Waste Management Plan

Drawing No/Title.	Dated	Prepared By
Waste Management Plan	N/A	Andrew Jackson

In the event of any inconsistency between conditions of this consent and the drawings/documents referred to above, the conditions of this consent will prevail.

Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination of Council and approved plans.

2. Compliance with Other Department, Authority or Service Requirements

The development must be carried out in compliance with all recommendations and requirements, excluding general advice, within the following:

Other Department, Authority or Service	EDMS Reference	Dated
Ausgrid	Response Ausgrid Referral	N/A

(NOTE: For a copy of the above referenced document/s, please see Application Tracking on Council's website <u>www.northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au</u>)

Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination and the statutory requirements of other departments, authorities or bodies.

3. Prescribed Conditions

- (a) All building works must be carried out in accordance with the requirements of the Building Code of Australia (BCA).
- (b) BASIX affected development must comply with the schedule of BASIX commitments specified within the submitted BASIX Certificate (demonstrated compliance upon plans/specifications is required prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate);
- (c) A sign must be erected in a prominent position on any site on which building work, subdivision work or demolition work is being carried out:
 - (i) showing the name, address and telephone number of the Principal Certifying Authority for the work, and
 - (ii) showing the name of the principal contractor (if any) for any building work and a telephone number on which that person may be contacted outside working hours, and
 - (iii) stating that unauthorised entry to the work site is prohibited.

Any such sign is to be maintained while the building work, subdivision work or demolition work is being carried out, but must be removed when the work has been completed.

- (d) Residential building work within the meaning of the Home Building Act 1989 must not be carried out unless the Principal Certifying Authority for the development to which the work relates (not being the Council) has given the Council written notice of the following information:
 - (i) in the case of work for which a principal contractor is required to be appointed:
 - A. the name and licence number of the principal contractor, and
 - B. the name of the insurer by which the work is insured under Part 6 of that Act,



- (ii) in the case of work to be done by an owner-builder:
 - A. the name of the owner-builder, and
 - B. if the owner-builder is required to hold an owner-builder permit under that Act, the number of the owner-builder permit.

If arrangements for doing the residential building work are changed while the work is in progress so that the information notified under becomes out of date, further work must not be carried out unless the Principal Certifying Authority for the development to which the work relates (not being the Council) has given the Council written notice of the updated information.

- (e) Development that involves an excavation that extends below the level of the base of the footings of a building on adjoining land, the person having the benefit of the development consent must, at the person's own expense:
 - (i) protect and support the adjoining premises from possible damage from the excavation, and
 - (ii) where necessary, underpin the adjoining premises to prevent any such damage.
 - (iii) must, at least 7 days before excavating below the level of the base of the footings of a building on an adjoining allotment of land, give notice of intention to do so to the owner of the adjoining allotment of land and furnish particulars of the excavation to the owner of the building being erected or demolished.
 - (iv) the owner of the adjoining allotment of land is not liable for any part of the cost of work carried out for the purposes of this clause, whether carried out on the allotment of land being excavated or on the adjoining allotment of land.

In this clause, allotment of land includes a public road and any other public place.

Reason: Legislative requirement.

4. General Requirements

- (a) Unless authorised by Council:Building construction and delivery of material hours are restricted to:
 - 7.00 am to 5.00 pm inclusive Monday to Friday,
 - 8.00 am to 1.00 pm inclusive on Saturday,
 - No work on Sundays and Public Holidays.

Demolition and excavation works are restricted to:

• 8.00 am to 5.00 pm Monday to Friday only.

(Excavation work includes the use of any excavation machinery and the use of jackhammers, rock breakers, excavators, loaders and the like, regardless of whether the activities disturb or alter the natural state of the existing ground stratum or are breaking up/removing materials from the site).

- (b) Should any asbestos be uncovered on site, its demolition and removal must be carried out in accordance with WorkCover requirements and the relevant Australian Standards.
- (c) At all times after the submission the Notice of Commencement to Council, a copy of the Development Consent and Construction Certificate is to remain onsite at all times until



the issue of a final Occupation Certificate. The consent shall be available for perusal of any Authorised Officer.

- (d) Where demolition works have been completed and new construction works have not commenced within 4 weeks of the completion of the demolition works that area affected by the demolition works shall be fully stabilised and the site must be maintained in a safe and clean state until such time as new construction works commence.
- (e) Onsite toilet facilities (being either connected to the sewer or an accredited sewer management facility) for workers are to be provided for construction sites at a rate of 1 per 20 persons.
- (f) Prior to the release of the Construction Certificate, payment of the Long Service Levy is required. This payment can be made at Council or to the Long Services Payments Corporation. Payment is not required where the value of the works is less than \$25,000. The Long Service Levy is calculated on 0.35% of the building and construction work. The levy rate and level in which it applies is subject to legislative change. The applicable fee at the time of payment of the Long Service Levy will apply.
- (g) The applicant shall bear the cost of all works associated with the development that occurs on Council's property.
- (h) No skip bins, building materials, demolition or excavation waste of any nature, and no hoist, plant or machinery (crane, concrete pump or lift) shall be placed on Council's footpaths, roadways, parks or grass verges without Council Approval.
- (i) Demolition materials and builders' wastes are to be removed to approved waste/recycling centres.
- (j) No trees or native shrubs or understorey vegetation on public property (footpaths, roads, reserves, etc.) or on the land to be developed shall be removed or damaged during construction unless specifically approved in this consent including for the erection of any fences, hoardings or other temporary works.
- (k) Prior to the commencement of any development onsite for:
 - i) Building/s that are to be erected
 - ii) Building/s that are situated in the immediate vicinity of a public place and is dangerous to persons or property on or in the public place
 - iii) Building/s that are to be demolished
 - iv) For any work/s that is to be carried out
 - v) For any work/s that is to be demolished

The person responsible for the development site is to erect or install on or around the development area such temporary structures or appliances (wholly within the development site) as are necessary to protect persons or property and to prevent unauthorised access to the site in order for the land or premises to be maintained in a safe or healthy condition. Upon completion of the development, such temporary structures or appliances are to be removed within 7 days.

- (I) A "Road Opening Permit" must be obtained from Council, and all appropriate charges paid, prior to commencement of any work on Council property. The owner/applicant shall be responsible for all public utilities and services in the area of the work, shall notify all relevant Authorities, and bear all costs associated with any repairs and/or adjustments as those Authorities may deem necessary.
- (m) The works must comply with the relevant Ausgrid Network Standards and SafeWork NSW Codes of Practice.
- (n) Requirements for new swimming pools/spas or existing swimming pools/spas affected by building works.



(1) Child resistant fencing is to be provided to any swimming pool or lockable cover to any spa containing water and is to be consistent with the following;

Relevant legislative requirements and relevant Australian Standards (including but not limited) to:

- (i) Swimming Pools Act 1992
- (ii) Swimming Pools Amendment Act 2009
- (iii) Swimming Pools Regulation 2018
- (iv) Australian Standard AS1926 Swimming Pool Safety
- (v) Australian Standard AS1926.1 Part 1: Safety barriers for swimming pools
- (vi) Australian Standard AS1926.2 Part 2: Location of safety barriers for swimming pools.
- (2) A 'KEEP WATCH' pool safety and aquatic based emergency sign, issued by Royal Life Saving is to be displayed in a prominent position within the pool/spa area.
- (3) Filter backwash waters shall be conveyed to the Sydney Water sewerage system in sewered areas or managed on-site in unsewered areas in a manner that does not cause pollution, erosion or run off, is separate from the irrigation area for any wastewater system and is separate from any onsite stormwater management system.
- (4) Swimming pools and spas must be registered with the Division of Local Government.

Reason: To ensure that works do not interfere with reasonable amenity expectations of residents and the community.

FEES / CHARGES / CONTRIBUTIONS

5. **Policy Controls**

Northern Beaches 7.12 Contributions Plan 2021

A monetary contribution of \$5,300.00 is payable to Northern Beaches Council for the provision of local infrastructure and services pursuant to section 7.12 of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979 and the Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2021. The monetary contribution is based on a development cost of \$530,000.00.

The monetary contribution is to be paid prior to the issue of the first Construction Certificate or Subdivision Certificate whichever occurs first, or prior to the issue of the Subdivision Certificate where no Construction Certificate is required. If the monetary contribution (total or in part) remains unpaid after the financial quarter that the development consent is issued, the amount unpaid (whether it be the full cash contribution or part thereof) will be adjusted on a quarterly basis in accordance with the applicable Consumer Price Index. If this situation applies, the cash contribution payable for this development will be the total unpaid monetary contribution as adjusted.

The proponent shall provide to the Certifying Authority written evidence (receipt/s) from Council that the total monetary contribution has been paid.

The Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2021 may be inspected at 725 Pittwater



Rd, Dee Why and at Council's Customer Service Centres or alternatively, on Council's website at www.northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au

This fee must be paid prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate. Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority.

Reason: To provide for contributions in accordance with the Contribution Plan to fund the provision of new or augmented local infrastructure and services.

6. Security Bond

A bond (determined from cost of works) of \$2,000 and an inspection fee in accordance with Council's Fees and Charges paid as security are required to ensure the rectification of any damage that may occur to the Council infrastructure contained within the road reserve adjoining the site as a result of construction or the transportation of materials and equipment to and from the development site.

An inspection fee in accordance with Council adopted fees and charges (at the time of payment) is payable for each kerb inspection as determined by Council (minimum (1) one inspection).

All bonds and fees shall be deposited with Council prior to Construction Certificate or demolition work commencing, and details demonstrating payment are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

To process the inspection fee and bond payment a Bond Lodgement Form must be completed with the payments (a copy of the form is attached to this consent and alternatively a copy is located on Council's website at www.northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au).

Reason: To ensure adequate protection of Council's infrastructure.

CONDITIONS TO BE SATISFIED PRIOR TO THE ISSUE OF THE CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATE

7. Stormwater Disposal

The applicant is to submit Stormwater Engineering Plans for the new development within this development consent, prepared by an appropriately qualified and practicing Civil Engineer, indicating all details relevant to the collection and disposal of stormwater from the site, buildings, paved areas and where appropriate adjacent catchments. Stormwater shall be conveyed from the site to the kerb in Horning Parade.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority for approval prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure appropriate provision for disposal and stormwater management arising from the development.

8. Amendments to the approved plans

The following amendments are to be made to the approved plans:

- The height of the privacy screen along the southern edge of the front ground floor balcony is to be reduced from 2.1m to 1.8m above finished floor level.
- The proposed extension to the front ground floor balcony is not supported and is to be maintained at the size of the existing balcony, as indicated by the red dashed line on the



Ground Level Plan.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the construction certificate.

Reason: To ensure development minimises unreasonable impacts upon surrounding land.

9. Vehicle Crossings Application

The Applicant is to submit an application for driveway levels with Council in accordance with Section 138 of the Roads Act 1993. The fee associated with the assessment and approval of the application is to be in accordance with Council's Fee and Charges.

An approval is to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To facilitate suitable vehicular access to private property.

10. External Finishes to Roof

The external finish to the roof shall have a medium to dark range (BCA classification M and D) in order to minimise solar reflections to neighbouring properties. Any roof with a metallic steel finish is not permitted.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure that excessive glare or reflectivity nuisance does not occur as a result of the development.

CONDITIONS TO BE COMPLIED WITH DURING DEMOLITION AND BUILDING WORK

11. Protection of Rock and Sites of Significance

a) All rock outcrops outside of and below the area of approved works are to be preserved and protected at all times during demolition excavation and construction works.

b) Footings for the extended deck at the front of the dwelling are to be designed to ensure that the rock overhang below the deck is protected.

c) Should any Aboriginal Cultural Heritage items be uncovered during earthworks, works should cease in the area and the Aboriginal Heritage Office contacted to assess the finds.

d) Under Section 89a of the NPW Act should the objects be found to be Aboriginal, NSW Biodiversity and Conservation Division, Heritage NSW and the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) should be contacted.

Reason: Preservation of significant environmental features.

12. Tree and Vegetation Protection

- a) Existing trees and vegetation shall be retained and protected including:
 - i) all trees and vegetation within the site not indicated for removal on the approved plans, excluding exempt trees and vegetation under the relevant planning instruments of legislation,
 - ii) all trees and vegetation located on adjoining properties,



- iii) all road reserve trees and vegetation.
- b) Tree protection shall be undertaken as follows:
 - i) tree protection shall be in accordance with Australian Standard 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites including the provision of temporary fencing to protect existing trees within 5 metres of development,
 - ii) existing ground levels shall be maintained within the tree protection zone of trees to be retained unless authorised by an Arborist with minimum AQF Level 5 in arboriculture,
 - iii) removal of existing tree roots at or >25mm (Ø) diameter is not permitted without consultation with an Arborist with minimum AQF Level 5 in arboriculture,
 - iv) no excavated material, building material storage, site facilities, nor landscape materials are to be placed within the canopy dripline of trees and other vegetation required to be retained,
 - v) structures are to bridge tree roots at or >25mm (Ø) diameter unless directed by an Arborist with minimum AQF Level 5 in arboriculture on site,
 - vi) excavation for stormwater lines and all other utility services is not permitted within the tree protection zone without consultation with an Arborist with minimum AQF Level 5 in arboriculture including advice on root protection measures,
 - vii) should either or all of v), vi) and vii) occur during site establishment and construction works, an Arborist with minimum AQF Level 5 in arboriculture shall provide recommendations for tree protection measures. Details including photographic evidence of works undertaken shall be submitted by the Arborist to the Certifying Authority,
 - viii) any temporary access to or location of scaffolding within the tree protection zone of a protected tree or any other tree to be retained during the construction works is to be undertaken using the protection measures specified in sections 4.5.3 and 4.5.6 of Australian Standard 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites,
 - ix) the activities listed in section 4.2 of Australian Standard 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites shall not occur within the tree protection zone of any tree on the lot or any tree on an adjoining site,
 - tree pruning from within the site to enable approved works shall not exceed 10% of any tree canopy and shall be in accordance with Australian Standard 4373-2007 Pruning of Amenity Trees,
 - xi) the tree protection measures specified in this clause must: i) be in place before work commences on the site, and ii) be maintained in good condition during the construction period, and iii) remain in place for the duration of the construction works.

The Certifying Authority must ensure that:

c) The activities listed in section 4.2 of Australian Standard 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites do not occur within the tree protection zone of any tree and any temporary access to or location of scaffolding within the tree protection zone of a protected tree or any other tree to be retained on the site during the construction is undertaken using the protection measures specified in sections 4.5.3 and 4.5.6 of that standard.



Note: All street trees within the road verge and trees within private property are protected under Northern Beaches Council development control plans except where Council's written consent for removal has been obtained. The felling, lopping, topping, ringbarking or removal of any tree(s) is prohibited.

Reason: Tree and vegetation protection.

13. Road Reserve

The applicant shall ensure the public footways and roadways adjacent to the site are maintained in a safe condition at all times during the course of the work.

Reason: Public safety.

14. Geotechnical Requirements

All recommendations of the Approved Geotechnical Report referenced in Condition 1 of this consent are to be adhered to during construction.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority.

Reason: To ensure that the geotechnical hazard is appropriately mitigated.

15. Survey Certificate

A survey certificate prepared by a Registered Surveyor is to be provided demonstrating all perimeter walls columns and or other structural elements, floor levels and the finished roof/ridge height are in accordance with the approved plans.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority.

Reason: To demonstrate the proposal complies with the approved plans.

16. Vehicle Crossings

The Applicant is to construct one vehicle crossing 3 metres wide at the kerb to 4 metres wide at the boundary in accordance with Northern Beaches Council Drawing No A4-3330/6 MH and the driveway levels application approval. An Authorised Vehicle Crossing Contractor shall construct the vehicle crossing and associated works within the road reserve in plain concrete. All redundant laybacks and crossings are to be restored to footpath/grass. Prior to the pouring of concrete, the vehicle crossing is to be inspected by Council and a satisfactory "Vehicle Crossing Inspection" card issued.

A copy of the vehicle crossing inspection form is to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority.

Reason: To facilitate suitable vehicular access to private property.

CONDITIONS WHICH MUST BE COMPLIED WITH PRIOR TO THE ISSUE OF THE OCCUPATION CERTIFICATE

17. Stormwater Disposal

The stormwater drainage works shall be certified as compliant with all relevant Australian Standards and Codes by a suitably qualified person. Details demonstrating compliance are to



be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Occupation Certificate.

Reason: To ensure appropriate provision for the disposal of stormwater arising from the development.

In signing this report, I declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest.

Signed

mon

Maxwell Duncan, Planner

The application is determined on 29/10/2021, under the delegated authority of:

REnged.

Rebecca Englund, Acting Development Assessment Manager