
Sent: 15/02/2019 1:19:08 PM
Subject: Online Submission

15/02/2019

MRS Nichole De Giorgio
0 - 7 Kalianna CRES
Beacon Hill NSW 2100
frankieandjacob@bigpond.com

RE: DA2019/0023 - 10 Talgara Place BEACON HILL NSW 2100

I would like to make an objection on this proposed development application.

The objection is on the height and design of this proposed third/top storey.

Firstly Page 7 External plans clearly indicate the height of this house is 1.478metres over the LEP limit of 8.5 metres and the new storey will be increased in its width and depth from the existing top storey.

This new design has more windows from the side and front of the property which will impact the privacy of the main living areas of our home. We currently maintain at great effort, a large hedge on the front left-hand corner of our property. This is to try and maintain privacy from this home in its current state. The proposed development would make the hedge no longer safely capable to do this because of the size and height of this proposed development.

With the "Request to vary a development standard" the owners of this property are asking council to agree to ignoring the LEP Standard Height Limit of 8.5 metres. Lisa Strudwick on behalf of the owner has written a 6 page document totally ignoring every other home in this location. The height is in no way in keeping with its adjoining neighbours. This application is trying to set a new height limit precedent to create larger homes that overwhelm and reduce the privacy of the current homes. This may then change the whole street considering the number of older homes on this side of the street.

Page 5 the comment " has demonstrated that the proposed building works are not in conflict with public interest.....'is completely incorrect. This proposed development is very much "in conflict" with the public interest and if the notification map had taken into consideration a few more properties such as ours whose privacy will be affected you may have received more similar submissions.

We were informed by neighbours who were within the notification area who thought our property could be impacted who agree that the height of this house is poorly considered. The LEP deem the 8.5 height limit to be reasonable and therefore its standard for all the public not to be ignored or negotiated for the benefit of one. If standards are to be so easily ignored than the public interest will certainly be "in conflict".

We are not against anyone wanting to improve their home but this proposed development is going to be increased in its overall height width and depth and will have a negative impact on the enjoyment and privacy of our home. Even to consider a flat roof for the third/top storey would at least be a simple consideration making the height within the LEP standard.

Nichole De Giorgio