

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

Application Number:	DA2018/1987
Responsible Officer:	Nick Keeler
Land to be developed (Address):	Lot 811 DP 856433, 1 Daisy Street NORTH BALGOWLAH NSW 2093
Proposed Development:	Alterations and additions to a dwelling house
Zoning:	Warringah LEP2011 - Land zoned R2 Low Density Residential
Development Permissible:	Yes
Existing Use Rights:	No
Consent Authority:	Northern Beaches Council
Land and Environment Court Action:	No
Owner:	Matthew Liam Parslow Michelle Louise Parslow
Applicant:	Your Style Designer Home Additions
Application lodged:	17/12/2018
Integrated Development:	No
Designated Development:	No
State Reporting Category:	Residential - Alterations and additions
Notified:	19/12/2018 to 25/01/2019
Advertised:	Not Advertised
Submissions Received:	0
Recommendation:	Approval
Estimated Cost of Works:	\$ 310,000.00

ASSESSMENT INTRODUCTION

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared (the subject of this report) taking into account all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, and the associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon the subject site and adjoining, surrounding and nearby properties;
- Notification to adjoining and surrounding properties, advertisement (where required) and referral to relevant internal and external bodies in accordance with the Act, Regulations and relevant Development Control Plan;
- A review and consideration of all submissions made by the public and community interest

- groups in relation to the application;
- A review and consideration of all documentation provided with the application (up to the time of determination);
- A review and consideration of all referral comments provided by the relevant Council Officers, State Government Authorities/Agencies and Federal Government Authorities/Agencies on the proposal.

SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT ISSUES

Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011 - 4.3 Height of buildings
 Warringah Development Control Plan - B1 Wall Heights
 Warringah Development Control Plan - B3 Side Boundary Envelope
 Warringah Development Control Plan - B7 Front Boundary Setbacks

SITE DESCRIPTION

Property Description:	Lot 811 DP 856433 , 1 Daisy Street NORTH BALGOWLAH NSW 2093
Detailed Site Description:	<p>The subject site consists of one (1) corner allotment located on the eastern side of Daisy Street and southern side of Myrtle Street.</p> <p>The site is irregular in shape with a primary frontage of 18.215m along Daisy Street, a secondary frontage of 25.125m along Myrtle Street and a depth of 28.855m. The site has a surveyed area of 475m².</p> <p>The site is located within the R2 Low Density Residential zone and accommodates single storey dwelling with a detached garage on the northern side.</p> <p>The site contains a crossfall of approx. 2.7m from the northwest corner to the southeast corner.</p> <p>The site contains grassed areas in the front and rear yards. A row of 10 large trees exist along the southern boundary. Two large trees exist on the street verge on the northern side of the site.</p> <p>Detailed Description of Adjoining/Surrounding Development</p> <p>Adjoining and surrounding development is characterised by one- and two- storey residential dwellings. Some dwellings on the western side of the road contain garages in the undercroft area beneath the ground floor on the street frontage.</p>

Map:



SITE HISTORY

A search of Council's records has revealed that there are no recent or relevant applications for this site.

The land has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time.

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IN DETAIL

The applicant seeks development consent for the alterations and additions to the existing dwelling by way of constructing a first floor addition internal layout modifications to the ground floor.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT, 1979 (EPAA)

The relevant matters for consideration under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Section 4.15 Matters for Consideration'	Comments
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(i) – Provisions of any environmental planning instrument	See discussion on "Environmental Planning Instruments" in this report.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(ii) – Provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	None applicable.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iii) – Provisions of any development control plan	Warringah Development Control Plan applies to this proposal.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iia) – Provisions of any planning agreement	None applicable.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iv) – Provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 (EP&A Regulation 2000)	Division 8A of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider "Prescribed conditions" of development consent. These matters have been addressed via a condition of consent.

Section 4.15 Matters for Consideration'	Comments
	<p>Clauses 54 and 109 of the EP&A Regulation 2000, Council requested additional information and has therefore considered the number of days taken in this assessment in light of this clause within the Regulations. No additional information was requested.</p> <p>Clause 92 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider AS 2601 - 1991: The Demolition of Structures. This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent.</p> <p>Clauses 93 and/or 94 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the upgrading of a building (including fire safety upgrade of development). This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent.</p> <p>Clause 98 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider insurance requirements under the Home Building Act 1989. This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent.</p> <p>Clause 98 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the provisions of the Building Code of Australia (BCA). This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent.</p>
Section 4.15 (1) (b) – the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality	<p>(i) Environmental Impact The environmental impacts of the proposed development on the natural and built environment are addressed under the Warringah Development Control Plan section in this report.</p> <p>(ii) Social Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental social impact in the locality considering the character of the proposal.</p> <p>(iii) Economic Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental economic impact on the locality considering the nature of the existing and proposed land use.</p>
Section 4.15 (1) (c) – the suitability of the site for the development	The site is considered suitable for the proposed development.
Section 4.15 (1) (d) – any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs	See discussion on “Notification & Submissions Received” in this report.
Section 4.15 (1) (e) – the public interest	No matters have arisen in this assessment that would justify the refusal of the application in the public interest.

EXISTING USE RIGHTS

Existing Use Rights are not applicable to this application.

BUSHFIRE PRONE LAND

The site is not classified as bush fire prone land.

NOTIFICATION & SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

The subject development application has been publicly exhibited in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 and the relevant Development Control Plan.

As a result of the public exhibition of the application Council received no submissions.

MEDIATION

No requests for mediation have been made in relation to this application.

REFERRALS

Internal Referral Body	Comments
Strategic and Place Planning (Heritage Officer)	HERITAGE COMMENTS
	Discussion of reason for referral
	The proposal has been referred to heritage as it is within proximity to a heritage item being:
	<i>Item I103 - House known as "Grandview"</i> at 20 Daisy Street, North Balgowlah
	Details of heritage items affected
	Details of the item as contained within the Warringah heritage inventory is:
	<u>Statement of Significance</u> A substantial & rare stone federation bungalow, representative of early 20th century settlement of the area. Displays high integrity with much original fabric, including front fence & with original garden setting.
	<u>Physical Description</u> Substantial elevated single storey dwelling of sandstone ashlar. Symmetrical design. Central stone stairway. Full length verandah with flat timber posts, stone piers & cast iron valance. Hipped roof with small gable over entrance. Asbestos cement shingles.
	Other relevant heritage listings
	Sydney Regional
	No

Internal Referral Body	Comments		
	Environmental Plan (Sydney Harbour Catchment) 2005		
	Australian Heritage Register	No	
	NSW State Heritage Register	No	
	National Trust of Aust (NSW) Register	No	
	RAIA Register of 20th Century Buildings of Significance	No	
	Other	N/A	
	Consideration of Application		
	The proposal seeks consent for alterations and additions to an existing dwelling. The heritage item is located to the south west of the property over 50m away. Given the physical separation the proposal is considered to have no impact upon the heritage item or its significance. Heritage raises no objections to the proposal and requires no conditions.		
	Consider against the provisions of CL5.10 of WLEP.		
	Is a Conservation Management Plan (CMP) Required? No Has a CMP been provided? No Is a Heritage Impact Statement required? No Has a Heritage Impact Statement been provided? No		
	Further Comments		
	COMPLETED BY: Brendan Gavin, Strategic Planner		
DATE: 19 December 2018			

External Referral Body	Comments				
Ausgrid: (SEPP Infra.)	The proposal was referred to Ausgrid. No response has been received within the 21 day statutory period and therefore, it is assumed that no objections are raised and no conditions are recommended.				
Aboriginal Heritage Office	<p>Conclusion / General Comments</p> <table> <tr> <td colspan="2">Recommendation</td></tr> <tr> <td>APPROVAL</td><td>No Conditions</td></tr> </table>	Recommendation		APPROVAL	No Conditions
Recommendation					
APPROVAL	No Conditions				

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS (EPIs)*

All, Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the merit assessment of this application.

In this regard, whilst all provisions of each Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the assessment, many provisions contained within the document are not relevant or are enacting, definitions and operational provisions which the proposal is considered to be acceptable against.

As such, an assessment is provided against the controls relevant to the merit consideration of the application hereunder.

State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) and State Regional Environmental Plans (SREPs)

SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land

Clause 7 (1) (a) of SEPP 55 requires the Consent Authority to consider whether land is contaminated. Council records indicate that the subject site has been used for residential purposes for a significant period of time with no prior land uses. In this regard it is considered that the site poses no risk of contamination and therefore, no further consideration is required under Clause 7 (1) (b) and (c) of SEPP 55 and the land is considered to be suitable for the residential land use.

SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004

A BASIX certificate has been submitted with the application (see Certificate No. A336424, dated 10 December 2018).

A condition has been included in the recommendation of this report requiring compliance with the commitments indicated in the BASIX Certificate.

SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007

Ausgrid

Clause 45 of the SEPP requires the Consent Authority to consider any development application (or an application for modification of consent) for any development carried out:

- within or immediately adjacent to an easement for electricity purposes (whether or not the electricity infrastructure exists).
- immediately adjacent to an electricity substation.
- within 5.0m of an overhead power line.
- includes installation of a swimming pool any part of which is: within 30m of a structure supporting an overhead electricity transmission line and/or within 5.0m of an overhead electricity power line.

Comment:

The proposal was referred to Ausgrid. No response has been received within the 21 day statutory period and therefore, it is assumed that no objections are raised and no conditions are recommended.

Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011

Is the development permissible?	Yes
After consideration of the merits of the proposal, is the development consistent with:	
aims of the LEP?	Yes
zone objectives of the LEP?	Yes

Principal Development Standards

Standard	Requirement	Proposed	% Variation	Complies
Height of Buildings:	8.5m	8.98m	5.6%	No

Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements
4.3 Height of buildings	No (see detail under Clause 4.6 below)
4.6 Exceptions to development standards	Yes
5.8 Conversion of fire alarms	Yes
6.2 Earthworks	Yes
6.4 Development on sloping land	Yes

Detailed Assessment

4.6 Exceptions to development standards

Description of non-compliance:

Development standard:	Height of buildings
Requirement:	8.5m
Proposed:	8.98m
Percentage variation to requirement:	5.6%

Assessment of request to vary a development standard:

The following assessment of the variation to Clause 4.3 – Height of Buildings development standard, has taken into consideration the recent judgement contained within Initial Action Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2018] NSWLEC 118.

Clause 4.6 Exceptions to development standards:

(1) *The objectives of this clause are as follows:*

(a) *to provide an appropriate degree of flexibility in applying certain development standards to particular development,*

(b) to achieve better outcomes for and from development by allowing flexibility in particular circumstances.

(2) Development consent may, subject to this clause, be granted for development even though the development would contravene a development standard imposed by this or any other environmental planning instrument. However, this clause does not apply to a development standard that is expressly excluded from the operation of this clause.

Comment:

Clause 4.3 – Height of Buildings development standard is not expressly excluded from the operation of this clause.

(3) Development consent must not be granted for development that contravenes a development standard unless the consent authority has considered a written request from the applicant that seeks to justify the contravention of the development standard by demonstrating:

(a) that compliance with the development standard is unreasonable or unnecessary in the circumstances of the case, and

(b) that there are sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify contravening the development standard.

(4) Development consent must not be granted for development that contravenes a development standard unless:

(a) the consent authority is satisfied that:

(i) the applicant's written request has adequately addressed the matters required to be demonstrated by subclause (3), and

(ii) the proposed development will be in the public interest because it is consistent with the objectives of the particular standard and the objectives for development within the zone in which the development is proposed to be carried out, and

(b) the concurrence of the Secretary has been obtained.

Clause 4.6 (4)(a)(i) (Justification) assessment:

Clause 4.6 (4)(a)(i) requires the consent authority to be satisfied that the applicant's written request, seeking to justify the contravention of the development standard, has adequately addressed the matters required to be demonstrated by cl 4.6(3). There are two separate matters for consideration contained within cl 4.6(3) and these are addressed as follows:

(a) that compliance with the development standard is unreasonable or unnecessary in the circumstances of the case, and

Comment:

The Applicant's written request (attached to this report as an Appendix) has demonstrated that the objectives of the development standard are achieved, notwithstanding the non-compliance with the development standard.

In doing so, the Applicant's written request has adequately demonstrated that compliance with the development standard is unreasonable or unnecessary in the circumstances of this case as required by cl 4.6(3)(a).

(b) that there are sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify contravening the development standard.

Comment:

In the matter of Initial Action Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2018] NSWLEC 118, Preston CJ provides the following guidance (para 23) to inform the consent authority's finding that the applicant's written request has adequately demonstrated that there are sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify contravening the development standard:

'As to the second matter required by cl 4.6(3)(b), the grounds relied on by the applicant in the written request under cl 4.6 must be "environmental planning grounds" by their nature: see Four2Five Pty Ltd v Ashfield Council [2015] NSWLEC 90 at [26]. The adjectival phrase "environmental planning" is not defined, but would refer to grounds that relate to the subject matter, scope and purpose of the EPA Act, including the objects in s 1.3 of the EPA Act.'

s 1.3 of the EPA Act reads as follows:

1.3 Objects of Act(cf previous s 5)

The objects of this Act are as follows:

- (a) to promote the social and economic welfare of the community and a better environment by the proper management, development and conservation of the State's natural and other resources,*
- (b) to facilitate ecologically sustainable development by integrating relevant economic, environmental and social considerations in decision-making about environmental planning and assessment,*
- (c) to promote the orderly and economic use and development of land,*
- (d) to promote the delivery and maintenance of affordable housing,*
- (e) to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats,*
- (f) to promote the sustainable management of built and cultural heritage (including Aboriginal cultural heritage),*
- (g) to promote good design and amenity of the built environment,*
- (h) to promote the proper construction and maintenance of buildings, including the protection of the health and safety of their occupants,*
- (i) to promote the sharing of the responsibility for environmental planning and assessment between the different levels of government in the State,*
- (j) to provide increased opportunity for community participation in environmental planning and assessment.*

The applicants written request argues, in part:

The encroachment is located centrally to the land and is wholly contained to the uppermost portion of roof ridge where owing to the fall in the land and elevated ground floor level. Strict compliance with the development standard is unreasonable and unnecessary in the circumstances of the site for the reason that a revised roof design may adversely compromise the aesthetics of the building without achieving any material gain.

With set limitations in viable options for extending this modest home and more importantly integrating the proposed addition into the existing form and architectural character of the unique heritage styling of the home which includes high pitched roofs, period windows, milled cladding and unique detailing inside and out. The architectural form of the building as a whole takes into consideration issues of bulk and scale. The proposal is considered appropriate in this case and will be readily absorbed into the built environment and landscaped setting of the locality.

It is considered that the arguments provided by the applicant are acceptable in deeming strict numerical application of the maximum height of building control as being unreasonable and

unnecessary in the context of the proposed development. It is agreed that the non-compliance is contained within the roof form of the proposed development, is not proposed to be used for internal habitable space and will not lead to a significant increase in the built form on the site. The proposal is consistent in the bulk and scale of nearby dwellings. Alternative design options are not considered to be viable due to the uniqueness of the existing architectural style of the dwelling. Any divergence from the dwelling's architectural design would lead to a detrimental impact on the amenity of the dwelling and character of the streetscape.

In this regard, the applicant's written request has demonstrated that the proposed development is an orderly and economic use and development of the land, and that the structure is of a good design that will reasonably protect and improve the amenity of the surrounding built environment, therefore satisfying cls 1.3 (c) and (g) of the EPA Act.

Therefore, the applicant's written request has adequately demonstrated that there are sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify contravening the development standard as required by cl 4.6 (3)(b).

Therefore, Council is satisfied that the applicant's written request has adequately addressed the matters required to be demonstrated by cl 4.6(3).

Clause 4.6 (4)(a)(ii) (Public Interest) assessment:

cl 4.6 (4)(a)(ii) requires the consent authority to be satisfied that:

(ii) the proposed development will be in the public interest because it is consistent with the objectives of the particular standard and the objectives for development within the zone in which the development is proposed to be carried out

Comment:

In considering whether or not the proposed development will be in the public interest, consideration must be given to the underlying objectives of the Height of Buildings development standard and the objectives of the R2 Low Density Residential zone. An assessment against these objectives is provided below.

Objectives of development standard

The underlying objectives of the standard, pursuant to Clause 4.3 – 'Height of buildings' of the WLEP 2011 are:

(1) The objectives of this clause are as follows:

a) to ensure that buildings are compatible with the height and scale of surrounding and nearby development,

Comment:

The proposed height encroachment demonstrates consistency with the height and scale of surrounding development.

b) to minimise visual impact, disruption of views, loss of privacy and loss of solar access,

Comment:

The proposed height encroachment does not result in any significant view loss, loss of privacy or overshadowing in the context of the site.

c) to minimise adverse impact of development on the scenic quality of Warringah's coastal and bush environments,

Comment:

The proposed height encroachment will not lead to unacceptable impact upon the scenic quality of the locality.

d) to manage the visual impact of development when viewed from public places such as parks and reserves, roads and community facilities,

Comment:

The visual impact of the proposed height encroachment when viewed from public places is considered to be insignificant.

Zone objectives

The underlying objectives of the R2 Low Density Residential zone are:

- *To provide for the housing needs of the community within a low density residential environment.*

Comment:

The proposed development will provide additional diversity in the type and style of low density housing in the locality.

It is considered that the development satisfies this objective.

- *To enable other land uses that provide facilities or services to meet the day to day needs of residents.*

Comment:

The proposed development remains consistent with the existing residential use of the site.

It is considered that the development satisfies this objective.

- *To ensure that low density residential environments are characterised by landscaped settings that are in harmony with the natural environment of Warringah.*

Comment:

The proposed development does not change the existing levels of landscaped area on the site or the removal of any significant vegetation.

It is considered that the development satisfies this objective.

Conclusion:

For the reasons detailed above, the proposal is considered to be consistent with the objectives of the R2 Low Density Residential zone.

Clause 4.6 (4)(b) (Concurrence of the Secretary) assessment:

cl. 4.6(4)(b) requires the concurrence of the Secretary to be obtained in order for development consent to be granted.

Planning Circular PS 18-003 dated 21 February 2018, as issued by the NSW Department of Planning, advises that the concurrence of the Secretary may be assumed for exceptions to development standards under environmental planning instruments that adopt Clause 4.6 of the Standard Instrument. In this regard, given the consistency of the variation to the objectives of the zone, the concurrence of the Secretary for the variation to the Height of buildings Development Standard is assumed by the Local Planning Panel.

Warringah Development Control Plan

Built Form Controls

Built Form Control	Requirement	Proposed	% Variation	Complies
B1 Wall height	7.2m	7.81m	8.5%	No
B3 Side Boundary Envelope	North - 4m	Within envelope	N/A	Yes
	South - 4m	Outside envelope	21.25%	No
B5 Side Boundary Setbacks	East - 0.9m	5.0m	N/A	Yes
	South - 0.9m	3.0m	N/A	Yes
B7 Front Boundary Setbacks	Primary - 6.5m	6.1m	6.2%	No
	Secondary - 3.5m	5.76m	N/A	Yes
D1 Landscaped Open Space (LOS) and Bushland Setting	40%	53.8%	N/A	Yes

Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
A.5 Objectives	Yes	Yes
B1 Wall Heights	No	Yes
B3 Side Boundary Envelope	No	Yes
B5 Side Boundary Setbacks	Yes	Yes
B7 Front Boundary Setbacks	No	Yes
C2 Traffic, Access and Safety	Yes	Yes

Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
C3 Parking Facilities	Yes	Yes
C4 Stormwater	Yes	Yes
C5 Erosion and Sedimentation	Yes	Yes
C7 Excavation and Landfill	Yes	Yes
C8 Demolition and Construction	Yes	Yes
C9 Waste Management	Yes	Yes
D1 Landscaped Open Space and Bushland Setting	Yes	Yes
D2 Private Open Space	Yes	Yes
D3 Noise	Yes	Yes
D6 Access to Sunlight	Yes	Yes
D7 Views	Yes	Yes
D8 Privacy	Yes	Yes
D9 Building Bulk	Yes	Yes
D10 Building Colours and Materials	Yes	Yes
D11 Roofs	Yes	Yes
D12 Glare and Reflection	Yes	Yes
D14 Site Facilities	Yes	Yes
D20 Safety and Security	Yes	Yes
D22 Conservation of Energy and Water	Yes	Yes
E1 Preservation of Trees or Bushland Vegetation	Yes	Yes
E10 Landslip Risk	Yes	Yes

Detailed Assessment

B1 Wall Heights

Description of non-compliance

Maximum wall height requirement: 7.2m

North elevation: 6.75m - Complies

South elevation: 7.81m - Non-compliant

Merit consideration:

With regard to the consideration for a variation, the development is considered against the underlying Objectives of the Control as follows:

- To minimise the visual impact of development when viewed from adjoining properties, streets, waterways and land zoned for public recreation purposes.

Comment:

The proposed development is not expected to result in a detrimental visual impact when viewed from adjoining properties and the public domain.

- *To ensure development is generally beneath the existing tree canopy level*

Comment:

The proposed development will remain generally below the existing canopy of nearby trees.

- *To provide a reasonable sharing of views to and from public and private properties.*

Comment:

The sharing of views is not expected to be compromised as a result of the proposed development.

- *To minimise the impact of development on adjoining or nearby properties.*

Comment:

As the proposed development provides a 3.0m setback to the southern boundary, it is considered the impact of the development to the adjoining and nearby properties will be minimal.

- *To ensure that development responds to site topography and to discourage excavation of the natural landform.*

Comment:

No excavation or landfill are proposed. The existing dwelling is elevated above the site's natural topography.

- *To provide sufficient scope for innovative roof pitch and variation in roof design.*

Comment:

The proposed roof pitch is to mirror that of the existing dwelling. Any other roof pitch design would lead to a development that impacts negatively on the existing dwelling and surrounding streetscape.

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the aims and objectives of WDCP and the objectives specified in s1.3 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported in this particular circumstance.

B3 Side Boundary Envelope

Description of non-compliance

The rear portion of the southern side elevation encroaches into the side building envelope by approx. 21.25%. The controls allows for minor encroachment of first floor additions to dwelling houses. However, due to the substantial non-compliance, assessment of the proposal against the objectives of the control.

Merit consideration

With regard to the consideration for a variation, the development is considered against the underlying Objectives of the Control as follows:

- *To ensure that development does not become visually dominant by virtue of its height and bulk.*

Comment:

The extent of the side envelope encroachment is located towards the rear of the southern side of the proposed first floor addition. The visual dominance of the proposed development is expected to be minimal due to the 3.0m side setback and its consistency with the design of other dwellings in the locality.

- *To ensure adequate light, solar access and privacy by providing spatial separation between buildings.*

Comment:

The proposed development demonstrates adequate light, solar access and privacy between adjoining dwellings can be achieved.

- *To ensure that development responds to the topography of the site.*

Comment:

The existing dwelling is elevated above the natural slope of the site. It would not be economically viable to lower the floor level existing dwelling to more appropriately respond to the topography of the site. The proposal development does not include any change to the existing site topography.

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the relevant objectives of WDCP and the objectives specified in s1.3 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported in this particular circumstance.

B7 Front Boundary Setbacks

Description of non-compliance

Minimum primary frontage setback requirement: 6.5m

Minimum secondary frontage setback requirement: 3.5m

Proposed primary frontage setback: 6.15m - Non-compliant

Proposed secondary frontage setback: 5.75m - Complies

Merit consideration:

With regard to the consideration for a variation, the development is considered against the underlying Objectives of the Control as follows:

- *To create a sense of openness.*

Comment:

The proposed development retains the existing primary frontage setback.

- *To maintain the visual continuity and pattern of buildings and landscape elements.*

Comment:

Visual continuity and building patterns are maintained as no change to the existing front setback is proposed.

- *To protect and enhance the visual quality of streetscapes and public spaces.*

Comment:

The visual quality of the streetscape is not expected to be disrupted as a result of the proposed development. The design of the proposed development is consistent with that of the existing dwelling and dwellings in the surrounding locality.

- *To achieve reasonable view sharing.*

Comment:

Existing levels of view sharing is not expected to be disrupted as a result of the proposed development.

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the relevant objectives of WDCP and the objectives specified in s1.3 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported in this particular circumstance.

THREATENED SPECIES, POPULATIONS OR ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES

The proposal will not significantly effect threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.

CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN

The proposal is consistent with the principles of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design.

POLICY CONTROLS

Northern Beaches Council Contributions Plan 2018

The proposal is subject to the application of Council's Section 7.12 Development Contributions Plan.

The following monetary contributions are applicable:

Northern Beaches Council Contributions Plan 2018		
Contribution based on a total development cost of \$ 310,000		
Contributions	Levy Rate	Payable
Total Section 7.12 Levy	0.95%	\$ 2,945
Section 7.12 Planning and Administration	0.05%	\$ 155
Total	1%	\$ 3,100

CONCLUSION

The site has been inspected and the application assessed having regard to all documentation submitted by the applicant and the provisions of:

- Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;
- Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000;
- All relevant and draft Environmental Planning Instruments;
- Warringah Local Environment Plan;
- Warringah Development Control Plan; and
- Codes and Policies of Council.

This assessment has taken into consideration the submitted plans, Statement of Environmental Effects, all other documentation supporting the application and public submissions, and does not result in any unreasonable impacts on surrounding, adjoining, adjacent and nearby properties subject to the conditions contained within the recommendation.

In consideration of the proposal and the merit consideration of the development, the proposal is considered to be:

- Consistent with the objectives of the DCP
- Consistent with the zone objectives of the LEP
- Consistent with the aims of the LEP
- Consistent with the objectives of the relevant EPIs
- Consistent with the objects of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

It is considered that the proposed development satisfies the appropriate controls and that all processes and assessments have been satisfactorily addressed.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council as the consent authority grant Development Consent to DA2018/1987 for Alterations and

additions to a dwelling house on land at Lot 811 DP 856433, 1 Daisy Street, NORTH BALGOWLAH, subject to the conditions printed below:

DEVELOPMENT CONSENT OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS

1. Approved Plans and Supporting Documentation

The development must be carried out in compliance (except as amended by any other condition of consent) with the following:

a) Approved Plans

Architectural Plans - Endorsed with Council's stamp		
Drawing No.	Dated	Prepared By
Ground Floor Plan - dwg no. 5	17/12/2018	Your Style Designer Home Additions
First Floor Plan - dwg no. 6	17/12/2018	Your Style Designer Home Additions
Elevations - dwg no. 7	17/12/2018	Your Style Designer Home Additions
Elevations - dwg no. 8	17/12/2018	Your Style Designer Home Additions
Sections - dwg no. 9	17/12/2018	Your Style Designer Home Additions
Sections - dwg no. 10	17/12/2018	Your Style Designer Home Additions

b) Any plans and / or documentation submitted to satisfy the Conditions of this consent.

c) The development is to be undertaken generally in accordance with the following:

Waste Management Plan		
Drawing No/Title.	Dated	Prepared By
Waste Management Plan	04/12/2018	Your Style Designer Home Additions

In the event of any inconsistency between conditions of this consent and the drawings/documents referred to above, the conditions of this consent will prevail.

Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination of Council and approved plans.

2. Prescribed Conditions

- All building works must be carried out in accordance with the requirements of the Building Code of Australia (BCA).
- BASIX affected development must comply with the schedule of BASIX commitments specified within the submitted BASIX Certificate (demonstrated compliance upon

- plans/specifications is required prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate);
- (c) A sign must be erected in a prominent position on any site on which building work, subdivision work or demolition work is being carried out:
- (i) showing the name, address and telephone number of the Principal Certifying Authority for the work, and
 - (ii) showing the name of the principal contractor (if any) for any building work and a telephone number on which that person may be contacted outside working hours, and
 - (iii) stating that unauthorised entry to the work site is prohibited.
- Any such sign is to be maintained while the building work, subdivision work or demolition work is being carried out, but must be removed when the work has been completed.
- (d) Residential building work within the meaning of the Home Building Act 1989 must not be carried out unless the Principal Certifying Authority for the development to which the work relates (not being the Council) has given the Council written notice of the following information:
- (i) in the case of work for which a principal contractor is required to be appointed:
 - A. the name and licence number of the principal contractor, and
 - B. the name of the insurer by which the work is insured under Part 6 of that Act,
 - (ii) in the case of work to be done by an owner-builder:
 - A. the name of the owner-builder, and
 - B. if the owner-builder is required to hold an owner-builder permit under that Act, the number of the owner-builder permit.
- If arrangements for doing the residential building work are changed while the work is in progress so that the information notified under becomes out of date, further work must not be carried out unless the Principal Certifying Authority for the development to which the work relates (not being the Council) has given the Council written notice of the updated information.
- (e) Development that involves an excavation that extends below the level of the base of the footings of a building on adjoining land, the person having the benefit of the development consent must, at the person's own expense:
- (i) protect and support the adjoining premises from possible damage from the excavation, and
 - (ii) where necessary, underpin the adjoining premises to prevent any such damage.
 - (iii) must, at least 7 days before excavating below the level of the base of the footings of a building on an adjoining allotment of land, give notice of intention to do so to the owner of the adjoining allotment of land and furnish particulars of the excavation to the owner of the building being erected or demolished.
 - (iv) the owner of the adjoining allotment of land is not liable for any part of the cost of work carried out for the purposes of this clause, whether carried out on the allotment of land being excavated or on the adjoining allotment of land.

In this clause, allotment of land includes a public road and any other public place.

Reason: Legislative Requirement

3. General Requirements

- (a) Unless authorised by Council:
Building construction and delivery of material hours are restricted to:

- 7.00 am to 5.00 pm inclusive Monday to Friday,
- 8.00 am to 1.00 pm inclusive on Saturday,
- No work on Sundays and Public Holidays.

Demolition and excavation works are restricted to:

- 8.00 am to 5.00 pm Monday to Friday only.

(Excavation work includes the use of any excavation machinery and the use of jackhammers, rock breakers, excavators, loaders and the like, regardless of whether the activities disturb or alter the natural state of the existing ground stratum or are breaking up/removing materials from the site).

- (b) At all times after the submission the Notice of Commencement to Council, a copy of the Development Consent and Construction Certificate is to remain onsite at all times until the issue of a final Occupation Certificate. The consent shall be available for perusal of any Authorised Officer.
- (c) Where demolition works have been completed and new construction works have not commenced within 4 weeks of the completion of the demolition works that area affected by the demolition works shall be fully stabilised and the site must be maintained in a safe and clean state until such time as new construction works commence.
- (d) Onsite toilet facilities (being either connected to the sewer or an accredited sewer management facility) for workers are to be provided for construction sites at a rate of 1 per 20 persons.
- (e) Prior to the release of the Construction Certificate, payment of the Long Service Levy is required. This payment can be made at Council or to the Long Services Payments Corporation. Payment is not required where the value of the works is less than \$25,000. The Long Service Levy is calculated on 0.35% of the building and construction work. The levy rate and level in which it applies is subject to legislative change. The applicable fee at the time of payment of the Long Service Levy will apply.
- (f) The applicant shall bear the cost of all works associated with the development that occurs on Council's property.
- (g) No building, demolition, excavation or material of any nature and no hoist, plant and machinery (crane, concrete pump or lift) shall be placed on Council's footpaths, roadways, parks or grass verges without Council Approval.
- (h) Demolition materials and builders' wastes are to be removed to approved waste/recycling centres.
- (i) No trees or native shrubs or understorey vegetation on public property (footpaths, roads, reserves, etc.) or on the land to be developed shall be removed or damaged during construction unless specifically approved in this consent including for the erection of any fences, hoardings or other temporary works.
- (j) Prior to the commencement of any development onsite for:
- i) Building/s that are to be erected
 - ii) Building/s that are situated in the immediate vicinity of a public place and is dangerous to persons or property on or in the public place

- iii) Building/s that are to be demolished
- iv) For any work/s that is to be carried out
- v) For any work/s that is to be demolished

The person responsible for the development site is to erect or install on or around the development area such temporary structures or appliances (wholly within the development site) as are necessary to protect persons or property and to prevent unauthorised access to the site in order for the land or premises to be maintained in a safe or healthy condition. Upon completion of the development, such temporary structures or appliances are to be removed within 7 days.

- (k) Requirements for new swimming pools/spas or existing swimming pools/spas affected by building works.

- (1) Child resistant fencing is to be provided to any swimming pool or lockable cover to any spa containing water and is to be consistent with the following;

Relevant legislative requirements and relevant Australian Standards (including but not limited) to:

- (i) Swimming Pools Act 1992
- (ii) Swimming Pools Amendment Act 2009
- (iii) Swimming Pools Regulation 2008
- (iv) Australian Standard AS1926 Swimming Pool Safety
- (v) Australian Standard AS1926.1 Part 1: Safety barriers for swimming pools
- (vi) Australian Standard AS1926.2 Part 2: Location of safety barriers for swimming pools.
- (2) A 'KEEP WATCH' pool safety and aquatic based emergency sign, issued by Royal Life Saving is to be displayed in a prominent position within the pool/spa area.
- (3) Filter backwash waters shall be conveyed to the Sydney Water sewerage system in sewered areas or managed on-site in unsewered areas in a manner that does not cause pollution, erosion or run off, is separate from the irrigation area for any wastewater system and is separate from any onsite stormwater management system.
- (4) Swimming pools and spas must be registered with the Division of Local Government.

Reason: To ensure that works do not interfere with reasonable amenity expectations of residents and the community.

FEES / CHARGES / CONTRIBUTIONS

4. Policy Controls

Northern Beaches Council Contributions Plan 2018

The proposal is subject to the application of Council's Section 7.12 Development Contributions Plan.

The following monetary contributions are applicable:

Northern Beaches Council Contributions Plan 2018	
--	--

Contribution based on a total development cost of \$ 310,000.00		
Contributions	Levy Rate	Payable
Total Section 7.12 Levy	0.95%	\$ 2,945.00
Section 7.12 Planning and Administration	0.05%	\$ 155.00
Total	1%	\$ 3,100.00

The amount will be adjusted at the time of payment according to the quarterly CPI (Sydney - All Groups Index). Please ensure that you provide details of this Consent when paying contributions so that they can be easily recalculated.

This fee must be paid prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate. Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority.

Reason: To provide for contributions in accordance with Northern Beaches Council Contributions Plan 2018.

5. **Security Bond**

A bond (determined from cost of works) of \$1,500 and an inspection fee in accordance with Council's Fees and Charges paid as security to ensure the rectification of any damage that may occur to the Council infrastructure contained within the road reserve adjoining the site as a result of construction or the transportation of materials and equipment to and from the development site.

An inspection fee in accordance with Council adopted fees and charges (at the time of payment) is payable for each kerb inspection as determined by Council (minimum (1) one inspection).

All bonds and fees shall be deposited with Council prior to Construction Certificate or demolition work commencing, details demonstrating payment are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

To process the inspection fee and bond payment a Bond Lodgement Form must be completed with the payments (a copy of the form is attached to this consent and alternatively a copy is located on Council's website at www.northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au).

Reason: To ensure adequate protection of Council's infrastructure.

CONDITIONS TO BE SATISFIED PRIOR TO THE ISSUE OF THE CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATE

6. **Compliance with Standards**

The development is required to be carried out in accordance with all relevant Australian Standards.

Details demonstrating compliance with the relevant Australian Standard are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure the development is constructed in accordance with appropriate standards.

In signing this report, I declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest.

Signed



Nick Keeler, Planner

The application is determined on 04/03/2019, under the delegated authority of:



Anna Williams, Manager Development Assessments