

---

**Sent:** 8/07/2020 9:13:02 AM  
**Subject:** Online Submission

08/07/2020

MS Leonie Cowan  
37A King Street ST  
Manly Vale NSW 2093  
lgaico81@gmail.com

**RE: DA2020/0552 - 181 Allambie Road ALLAMBIE HEIGHTS NSW 2100**

Development application No: 2020/0552 Address: 181 Allambie Road, Allambie Heights  
Seniors Housing

I wish to object to the above DA on the following grounds:

The development would be located on Crown land that was reserved for public and semi-public use.

The subject site is bush fire prone land.

The Rural Fires Act (Planning for Bush Fire Protection) specifically discourages locating Special Purpose Developments within bush fire prone land.

The development would impact on the habitat of native fauna, including threatened species. (Eastern pygmy possums have been found on site together with 5 threatened fauna species.)

The majority of area proposed for development is mapped as Waterways and Riparian Lands (Warringah DCP 2011) which is based on the Riparian Buffer around Curl Curl Creek (Warringah Creek Management Study (WCMS 2004).

Part E 8 Waterways and Riparian Lands. Part E 8 lands prescriptively must not have Asset Protection Zones located on them. (Bushfire report)

The development would impact on the headwaters of Curl Curl Creek-the main feeder creek to Manly Dam and of "very high ecological value". This is the only habitat in Sydney of the extremely rare and ancient Climbing Galaxias Fish, which has featured in many television reports and programmes.

The development would impact on the natural waterway downstream in Manly Warringah War Memorial Park that supports riparian vegetation and aquatic habitat.

The extensive excavation would intercept subsurface flow and result in irreversible changes to the natural hydrology of the site.

Stormwater controls in nearby developments (e.g. Manly Vale Public School) have proven completely inadequate and had disastrous ramifications..

Manly Warringah War Memorial State park is currently being nominated for National Heritage listing. The proposed development is not compatible with the high conservation values of the adjoining reserve.

I should like to add that if a resident has already made one submission regarding a development and changes are made and the DA resubmitted, any objections that still hold relating to the development should stand and the original submission be counted. This constant resubmitting and changing of DAs undermines the submission process.