GEOID Geotechnical Engineering

GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT

No.11 Raven Circuit Warriewood NSW

Prepared For: IED

Reference: 8793

Revision: 0

Date: 13 April 2025

GEOID Engineering Pty Ltd

<u>info@geoid.com.au</u> http://www.geoid.com.au Suite 3, No 49-51 Wyong Street Keilor East, VIC 3033

Document Control

© Copyright 2025
 Geoid Engineering Pty Ltd
 ABN 15 600 654 091
 A: Level 5 No 12 Clarke Street
 Sunshine VIC 3020
 Mobile: 0452 580 183
 E-mail: Umang.b@geoid.com.au
 This Geotechnical investigation report is prepared for the use of client to whom this report is addressed and must not be reproduced in whole or part or included in any other documents without our express permission in writing.
 This report shall not be used by any other person or third party other than the client. No responsibility or liability to any third party is acceptable for any loss or damages caused by this report using by a third party.

Document Status and Review History										
Revision	Author:	Date Issued	Comments							
0	Prageeth Edirisinghe	13 April 2025	First Release							

As behalf of GEOID Engineering,

Prageeth Edirisinghe

Associate Geotechnical Engineer

BSc ENG, MIE Aust, CPEng, NER, PE 0013785, PRE0002276

Contents

1.	Introd	uction	4
	1.1	Referenced Standards/ Guidelines	4
2.	Site D	escription	4
3.	Deskto	op Assessment	4
	3.1	Site Geology	4
4.	Scope	of Work	5
	4.1	In-situ Findings	5
	4.2	Ground Water	5
	4.3	Laboratory tests	5
	4.4	Acid Sulphate Soil Risk	6
5.	Geote	chnical Assessment	6
	5.1	Soil Acid Sulfate Assessment	6
	5.1.1	Acid sulfate soil laboratory analysis	6
	5.1.2	Acid sulfate soils	6
	5.1.3	Interpretation of the results	7
	5.2	Site Classification	7
	5.3	Wind Rating	7
	5.4	Recommended Foundation Options	8
	5.4.1	Waffle/ Stiffened Raft Foundation	8
	5.4.2	Pile Foundation	8
6.	Const	ruction Methodology	8
7.	Repor	t Limitations	9

1. Introduction

This report presents the results of a geotechnical investigation undertaken by Geoid Engineering Pty Ltd for the proposed residential development at No.11 Raven Circuit Warriewood NSW.

The aim of this investigation was to assess the subsurface soil conditions to report on the Site classification as per AS 2870-2011 and provide a suitable footing recommendation for proposed structure.

1.1 Referenced Standards/ Guidelines

Throughout the scope of work, the Australian Standards listed below were referenced.

- AS 1726-2017, Geotechnical site investigations, Standards Australia, Sydney, Retrieved from SAI Global
- AS 2159-2009, Piling-Design and Installation, Standards Australia, Sydney, Retrieved from SAI Global
- AS 2870-2011, Residential slabs and footings, Standards Australia, Sydney, Retrieved from SAI Global
- AS 3798-2007, Guidelines on earthworks for commercial and residential developments, Standards Australia, Sydney, Retrieved from SAI Global
- AS 4678-2002, Earth-retaining structures, Standards Australia, Sydney, Retrieved from SAI Global

2. Site Description

No.11 Raven Circuit Warriewood NSW is near rectangular shaped residential allotment covering an approximate 482 square meters. The site is relatively level with no significant fall across the site. The site at the time of the investigation was in vacate possession. The ground cover mainly consisted of bare ground.

3. Desktop Assessment

3.1 Site Geology

Reference to the Geological Survey of NSW seamless geology extracted from MinView indicates the site is located in an area of Fluvially-deposited quartz-lithic sand, silt, gravel, clay from Quaternary age. The subsurface conditions encountered during the field works is considered to be consistent with the geological map indications presented in Figure 1.



Figure 1: Local Geology (Extracted NSW seamless geology from MinView)

4. Scope of Work

The field work was carried out on 01 March 2025 and comprised the drilling and sampling of two (2) borehole. The bores were drilled using a mechanical auger drilling rig mounted on a ute. Samples were collected at 0.5 m depth intervals from BH02 for assessment of acid sulphate soils. Performance of screening tests on each soil sample collected comprising pHf (PH in water), and pHfox (PH following chemical oxidation by hydrogen peroxide).

Dynamic Cone Penetration (DCP) test was conducted adjacent to BH01.

4.1 In-situ Findings

Details of the borehole logs are given in Appendix A including the AS 1726 classification definitions and relevant descriptive terms. Relatively uniform conditions were encountered underlying the site, with the succession of strata broadly summarized as follows:

Fill – Silty Gravelly SAND

Natural - Silty SAND

The ground conditions encountered in the boreholes drilled at the time of the investigation typically comprised of 3.5 m of fill overlying natural soils originated from residual.

4.2 Ground Water

At the time of the investigation permanent water table was not intersected within bore holes up to drilled depth. However, it is pointed out that standing groundwater and seepages may fluctuate with variations in rainfall, temperature and other factors.

4.3 Laboratory tests

Disturbed samples were collected during the investigation for subsequent laboratory assessment. The testing was undertaken at ALS NATA accredited environmental laboratory and consisted of:

- 2 x PH/EC
- 2 x PHf/PHfox

The test records are appended in the Appendix B

4.4 Acid Sulphate Soil Risk

Published acid sulphate soil risk mapping indicates that the area encompassing the proposed residential development is located near mapped as "low probability of occurrence of acid sulfate soil materials between 1 to 3 meter below the ground surface".



Figure 2: Acid sulphate soil probably map (extract from eSPADE, NSW)

5. Geotechnical Assessment

5.1 Soil Acid Sulfate Assessment

5.1.1 Acid sulfate soil laboratory analysis

Initial acid sulphate soil screening tests were undertaken on all soil samples by ALS laboratory in accordance with the method as described in Ahern CR, EcElnea AE, Sullivan LA (2004), acid sulphate soils Laboratory Methods Guidelines. The screening tests comprised measurement of pH of the soil in water (pHf) and pH of the soil after oxidation with 30% solution of hydrogen peroxide (pHfox). The results of these tests provide an indication as to the presence of actual and potential acid sulphate soils and should be considered as qualitative only. The acid sulphate soil laboratory results are presented in Appendix B, together with the laboratory reports and associated chain of custody reports.

Table 1: Summary of Screening results

					Screening Tests					
Test Location	Sample ID	Depth (m)	EC (µS/cm)	РН	рН _f	pH _{fox}	Reaction Intensity	∆ рН		
BH02	ES2509629-004	0.5	141	8.3	7.8	5.0	2	2.8		
BH02	ES2509629-005	1.5	415	11.1	10.8	7.6	3	3.2		

Reaction Intensity: 1= no reaction, 2= mild reaction, 3= vigorous reaction, 4= violent reaction

5.1.2 Acid sulfate soils

The screening test results were assessed for the possible presence of Actual Acid Sulphate Soil (AASS) or Potential

Acid Sulphate Soil (PASS) on the basis of the following guidance indicators specified in the DWER (June 2015), namely:

- pH_F measures the existing acidity of the soil and is used to help identify whether the site contain actual ASS is present. If pH_F is less than 4 is indication of that the site is contain ASS. All tested samples are greater than indicate that the site actual ASS is not presented in the site.
- pH_{FOX} <3, is high PASS potential, given that the tested samples indicate pH_{FOX} is in the range of 5.0 to 7.6 indicates low potential for PASS.

DWER (June 2015) specifies texture-based action criteria to initiate management of acid sulphate soils. These are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2: Texture-based action criteria

		Net Acidity Action Criteria				
Туре о	f Material	<1000 tonnes of material is disturbed	>1000 tonnes of material is disturbed			
Texture range McDonald et al (1990)	Approx. Clay content (%)	Equivalent su	Iphur (%S)			
Coarse texture sands to loamy sands	<5	0.03	0.03			
Medium texture sandy loams to light clays	5 - 40	0.06	0.03			
Fine texture medium to heavy clays and silty clays	>40	0.1	0.03			

5.1.3 Interpretation of the results

The acid sulphate soil results of samples collected at the BH01 are presented in Appendix B and indicate the following:

- The results for pH_F were not indicative of actual acid sulphate soil conditions to the testing depth of 1.5m;
- The results for pH_{FOX} were indicative of low potential acid sulphate soil conditions to the testing depth of 1.5m.

5.2 Site Classification

In accordance with AS2870-2011, "Residential Slabs and Footings – Construction" a class P site classification is appropriate for this site due to fill. It is anticipated that the characteristic surface movement under <u>normal moisture</u> <u>condition</u> of approximately, Ys, of 40mm.

Note: the above site classification and was established based on

- Identification of the site soil profile and with reference to Section 2 and Appendix D of AS2870-2011.
- The site conditions present at the time of the geotechnical investigation.
- The information supplied to this office by the client pertaining to this site.
- Past experiences of the writers in the same area.

5.3 Wind Rating

Wind rating for this site has been assessed as N1 in accordance with Section 2 of AS4055-2012. The maximum design gust ultimate limit state wind speed (Vh,u) for this site is considered as 34m/s.

5.4 Recommended Foundation Options

5.4.1 Waffle/ Stiffened Raft Foundation

Based on the site classification and filling encountered during drilling, a floor slab footings system complying with minimum reinforced and dimension requirement of Class M site classification suspended on bored piers considered suitable for this site. Such piers must be founded a minimum 800mm into naturally Silty SAND soils. Section 5.4.2 shall be referred for the further deep foundation design recommendations.

5.4.2 Pile Foundation

Considering the geological profile encountered in the subject area, bored or screw piles may be implemented in combination with an engineer designed suspended ground floor slab where required. The piles will distribute loads through slab beams to the underlying naturally occurring Silty SAND.

The allowable base resistance and shaft adhesion values presented in Table 3 can be adopted for designing the minimum embedded depth of the piles.

Table 3: Allowable pile design parameters

Material Type	Minimum founding depth	Allowable Shaft Adhesion (kPa)	Allowable Base Resistance (kPa)
SAND	800 mm into layer	7	250

No skin friction should be adopted for FILL soils or soils within 1.4 m of surface level.

The allowable bearing capacity values provided in this report are maximum values without further geotechnical investigation or detailed analysis of foundation designs.

It should be noted that given the depth of fill and natural soil being silty SAND, the upper fill and some part of the natural sand may likely collapse during bored pier excavation. For that reason, we recommend adopting screw pile or keep provision for using casing during excavation bored piers.

6. Construction Methodology

The site should be constructed by following the below given items and all relevant specifications and standards provided by the other parties.

- Site should be scrapped a minimum 100mm to remove any organic materials and vegetation within the proposed building envelope.
- Site should be track rolled after initial site scrape to unveil any soft spots, these soft areas to be removed and then properly compact with suitable fill material as described in AS2870-2011, Clause 6.4.2.
- Item 1 and 2 must be completed by following AS3798-2007 Guidelines on earthworks for commercial and residential developments.
- Site drainage is very important at sites has a reactive soil profile, thereby we recommended that ground surface immediately next to the perimeter footings be graded away from the slab at a minimum of 1:20 grade for a minimum distance of 1.5m.
- A second soil test should be conducted on this site if the site cut is more than 400mm clay soil and 800mm for sandy soil.
- Any filling placed across the site for leveling benchmark prior to slab construction should conform with requirement for either Controlled fill, Clause 2.5.3 or Rolled fill, Clause 6.4.2 AS 2870-2011.
- As soon as the roof is constructed the roof drainage should be carried away from the slab to avoid water ponding around the slab perimeter.

7. Report Limitations

This report must be read in conjunction with the below limitations.

- This report has been prepared for use by **IED** in relation to the proposed development in accordance with generally accepted consultancy practice. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the professional advice included in this report. Use of this report by parties other than **IED** and their respective consultants and contractors is at their risk as it may not contain sufficient information for any other purposes.
- This report is not a detailed geotechnical investigation. It complies with the requirements of AS2870-2011 and is limited to the items required under Clause 2.2.2(a).
- This report was compiled on basic geotechnical investigation only, if the subsurface soil conditions
 encountered during construction stage is different substantially from what described on the soil report,
- This report does not assess the potential contamination, landslide, slope stability or aggressive soil.
- Geoid Engineering Pty Ltd endeavor to assess the pre-site history with available sources. However, Geoid
 Engineering Pty Ltd cannot be held responsibility for any financial loss in relation to the structure and
 future performances of the footing if the site history has not been supplied to this office in writing by the
 client.
- The soil and fill depths are given in the report are to a tolerance of +/-200mm
- If the site cut is greater than 400 for clay soil and 600mm for sand soil the given recommendation and soil classification may not applicable anymore. There by a second soil test must be undertaken for such site.
- If the site conditions at the time of construction differ from those described in this report then this office must be contacted immediately. As such, a site inspection can be carried out prior to any footing being poured. The owner/builder will be responsible for any fees associated with this additional work.

APPENDIX A SITE PLAN AND BOREHOLE LOGS



		Sheet: 1 of 1
neering		Rev: 0
	Client: IED	Date: 01.04.2025



GEOID Engineering

Geotechnical Log - Borehole

BH01

Phone: +61 452 323 222

UTM : Latitude : Longitude : Ground Elevation : Not Surveyed Total Depth : 3 m BGL			Drill Rig Driller Su Logged I Reviewe Date	upplier 3y d By	: Drillman : : UB : PE : 01/04/20	GT10 25		Job Number : Client : Project : Location : Loc Comment :	8793 IED Geotechnical Inve 11 Raven Circuit V	estigation I Warriewoo	Report d NSW		
Drilling Method	DCP Gra 0 5 10	aph ● 15 20 25	Water	Depth (m)	Soil Origin	Graphic Log	Classification Code	Ма	aterial Description		Consistency	Moisture	Remarks
				- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Fill		SM	Fill Gravelly to silty SAND S coarse grained, fine to medi	iM: međium dense, red bro	own grey, fine to	MD	Μ	
Water Watinfld	er w	Weathering XW : Extre Distri	mely hered	Altering XA : Ext Dis	remely erated tinctly	Consister VS : V4 S : S4	ncy ery soft	BH01 Terminate at 3m (E Density VL : Very loose L : Loose	Rock Strength VLS : Very low LS : Low	Tests&Results U50 : Undisturb	ed 50mm dia	am tube.	
Wat Wat Gro Wat Leve dur dur	er low er el ng ing	DW : Distriver HW : Highl HW : Mode MW : Mode SW : Slight FR : Fress	nctly thered ly erately thered tly thered h	DA : Dis alte HA : Hig alte MA : Mc alte SA : Slig alte	tinctly erated (hly erated erated erated (htly erated	F : Fi St : SI VSt : V(H : H FR : Fi Moisture D : D M : M W : W	irm tiff ery stiff ard riable e ry oist /et	MD: Medium dense D : Dense VD : Very dense	MS : Medium HS : High VH : Very high XH : Extremely high	D : Disturbed SPT : Standard F 50mm san 50mm san PP : Hand pene strength, k S : Vane shea DCP : Dynamic C	sample. Penetration 1 npler 300mn etrometer es (Pa. r value kPa. Cone Penetro	ïest, N = nur n with a 63.6 timate of ur meter test.	nber of blows to drive ikg hammer falling 762mm. Iconfined compressive



GEOID Engineering

Geotechnical Log - Borehole

BH02

Phone: +61 452 323 222

ITM .atitude .ongitu 3round Fotal De	e de Elevat	: : ion : N : 4	lot Su .5 m F	rveye 3GL	ed	Drill Rig Driller Si Logged I Reviewe Date	upplier By d By	: Drillmar : : UB : PE : 01/04/20	n GT10)25		Job Number : Client : Project : Location : Loc Comment :	8793 IED Geotechnical Inve 11 Raven Circuit I	estigation Warriewoo	Report d NSW	
Drilling Method	0 5	ICP Gr	raph 🗨	20 :	Mater 25	Depth (m)	Soil Origin	Graphic Log	Classification Code	Ma	iterial Description		Consistency	Moisture	Remarks
						- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Fill		SM	Fill Gravelly to silty SAND S coarse grained, fine to med	M: medium dense, red bro ium sized gravel, moist.	brown, fine to	MD	м	
						4 	Natural		SM				MD	М	
										BH02 Terminate at 4. de	5m (End of borehole, ta oth achieved)	arget			
/ater			Weat	therin	g	Altering	romst.	Consiste	ncy	Density	Rock Strength	Tests&Results	1	1	L
→ Wa infl → Wa out	iter low iter tflow		xw dw	: Ext we : Dis we	athered stinctly sthered	XA : Ext alt DA : Dis alt	erated stinctly erated	vs : V s : S F : F	ery sott oft ïrm	L : Very loose L : Loose MD: Medium dense	LS : Very Iow LS : Low MS : Medium	U50 : Undisturbe	ed 50mm dia sample.	am tube.	
Gro wat	ound ter		HW	: Hig we . Mo	ghly eathered oderately	HA : Hig alt	ghly erated oderately	VSt:∨ H:⊢	/ery stiff lard	D : Dense VD : Very dense	VH : Very high XH : Extremely high	SPT : Standard F 50mm san	Penetration npler 300mr	Test, N = nur n with a 63.6	nber of blows to drive 6kg hammer falling 762mm.
Lev dur ∠ dril	vei ring Iling		sw	· we	athered ghtly eathered	SA : Slig	erated ghtly erated	FR : F	riable			PP : Hand pene strength, k	etrometer es kPa.	stimate of ur	nconfined compressive
			FR	: Fre	esh		-	M : N W : V	e Ory Noist Vet			DCP : Dynamic C	Cone Penetro	ometer test.	

APPENDIX B LABORATORY TEST RESULTS



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Work Order	ES2509629	Page	: 1 of 2
Client	CASH SALES SYDNEY	Laboratory	Environmental Division Sydney
Contact	: Umang Bhadani	Contact	: Customer Services ES
Address	:	Address	: 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW Australia 2164
Telephone	:	Telephone	: +61-2-8784 8555
Project	:	Date Samples Received	: 31-Mar-2025 16:20
Order number	:	Date Analysis Commenced	: 08-Apr-2025 NATA
C-O-C number	:	Issue Date	: 10-Apr-2025 15:59
Sampler	:		
Site	:		Accreditation No. 825 Accredited for compliance with
Quote number	: EN/444		ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing
No. of samples received	: 5		
No. of samples analysed	: 5		

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted, unless the sampling was conducted by ALS. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.

This Certificate of Analysis contains the following information:

- General Comments
- Analytical Results

Additional information pertinent to this report will be found in the following separate attachments: Quality Control Report, QA/QC Compliance Assessment to assist with Quality Review and Sample Receipt Notification.

Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories below. Electronic signing is carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

Signatories	Position	Accreditation Category
Ankit Joshi	Senior Chemist - Inorganics	Sydney Inorganics, Smithfield, NSW
Layla Hafner	Acid Sulphate Soils - Chemist	Brisbane Acid Sulphate Soils, Stafford, QLD



General Comments

The analytical procedures used by ALS have been developed from established internationally recognised procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are fully validated and are often at the client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis.

Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes.

Where a result is required to meet compliance limits the associated uncertainty must be considered. Refer to the ALS Contract for details.

Key: CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

LOR = Limit of reporting

^ = This result is computed from individual analyte detections at or above the level of reporting

ø = ALS is not NATA accredited for these tests.

 \sim = Indicates an estimated value.

• ASS: EA003 (NATA Field and F(ox) screening): pH F(ox) Reaction Rate: 1 - Slight; 2 - Moderate; 3 - Strong; 4 - Extreme

Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL)			Sample ID	BH01@0.5	BH01@1.0	BH01@2.0	BH02@0.5	BH02@1.5
		Sampli	ng date / time	31-Mar-2025 00:00				
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	ES2509629-001	ES2509629-002	ES2509629-003	ES2509629-004	ES2509629-005
				Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EA002: pH 1:5 (Soils)								
pH Value		0.1	pH Unit	8.6	5.2	7.7	8.3	11.1
EA003 :pH (field/fox)								
рН (F)		0.1	pH Unit	8.1	6.1	7.4	7.8	10.8
pH (Fox)		0.1	pH Unit	5.1	3.8	4.6	5.0	7.6
Reaction Rate		1	Reaction Unit	2	2	2	2	3
EA010: Conductivity (1:5)								
Electrical Conductivity @ 25°C		1	µS/cm	126	82	98	141	415

Inter-Laboratory Testing

Analysis conducted by ALS Brisbane, NATA accreditation no. 825, site no. 818 (Chemistry / Biology).

(SOIL) EA003 :pH (field/fox)