

---

**Sent:** 21/08/2020 11:50:17 AM  
**Subject:** Online Submission

21/08/2020

MRS Ella Miles  
51A Beaconsfield ST  
Newport NSW 2106  
ellamiles@gmail.com

**RE: DA2020/0838 - 86 Quirk Street DEE WHY NSW 2099**

Dear General Manager  
Northern Beaches

I would like to submit the following objections to the proposed development DA2020/0838 at 86 Quirk Street, Dee Why.

This DA can be classified as nothing other than a gross overdevelopment of the site that does not take into consideration any of the view sharing principles with its neighbours or the amenity of the surrounding residents.

The footprint of the two houses appears to leave what must be the absolute bare minimum of permeable land with a vast reduction in open green area to what is currently there and common in the area. This will have a large impact to the local wildlife often seen walking the streets from Wingala Reserve and other neighbouring green properties. The plans appear to be trying to build the biggest house possible with a total disregard for what is the best fit for the area, residents and natural environment. I can't believe this house would comply with the Council controls and objectives in the DCP and LEP for the area.

The proposed house looks to significantly shade and block the views of its neighbours on both sides. Surely a better design could be produced that is more neighbourly and community minded. If this DA were to be approved, it would set a very disappointing and scary precedent for view sharing on the Northern Beaches. Basically no-ones views would be safe or able to be protected and this will open the door to further unsightly and inconsiderate development. This would have a strong negative impact on many residents in the Northern Beaches who currently have the privilege to see the water and coastline or other iconic landmarks from their houses.

The proposed granny flat with the pool and terrace on its roof will be a very large structure so close to the Bushey Place street front. This is a narrow laneway so the size and proximity of the structure will have severe aesthetic impacts to locals and residents.

This plan should not be approved by Council in its current form. It must be revised to address the points raised above and the amenity of the local residents taken into consideration.

Regards  
Ella Miles