
Sent: 14/08/2021 9:37:17 AM
Subject: Online Submission

14/08/2021

MRS Lisa Bruno
35 Haigh AVE
Belrose NSW 2085
rlbruno@bigpond.com

RE: DA2020/0393 - 28 Lockwood Avenue BELROSE NSW 2085

14/08/2021
Richard and Lisa Bruno
Haigh Avenue
Belrose

RE: DA2020/0393 - 28 Lockwood Avenue BELROSE NSW 2085

Having reviewed the updated plans, I cannot see how the concerns listed below have been addressed. This is too big a development for this area. This development is still very much a danger to pedestrians, including local school children, we both still completely object to the development as outlined in our original submission 22/01/2021.
Please refer below.

22/01/2021
Richard and Lisa Bruno
Haigh Avenue
Belrose

RE: DA2020/0393 - 28 Lockwood Avenue BELROSE NSW 2085

Our objections are based on several concerns including increased street traffic and parking, unsuitable population concentration for this community and community safety.

The location of the proposed development (Cnr. Lockwood Ave and Glen St) has, at peak times, a significantly high volume of traffic and at those times, making it difficult to turn from Glen St into Lockwood Ave. Adding to that mix is the entrance and exit to the petrol station, the shopping centre and Library/Glen Street Theatre parking. I feel that it is only a matter of time now before someone is seriously hurt, let alone adding this structure and number of units/cars.

Glen Street, Lockwood Avenue and other streets supports not only local traffic but busses to and from the City (271 and 274), Chatswood (283 and 281) and Manly (141). At present, navigating the traffic given the width of the roads is an issue now, the dramatic and localised increase in traffic will make it difficult and, I believe, quite dangerous.

There are number of sporting fields that hold weekly sporting events for both locals and guests, this itself creates a number of traffic hazards and parking issues. There are a lot of children who frequent the area, it is a hub of activity already.

Street parking will become a major issue for the surrounding area, there is an inadequate

number of parking spots for residents, allocation of only 1 car per unit. Staff at Glenrose do not have allocated parking and visitors of residents have inadequate parking allocation.

The SEPP65 report supplied in the DA incorrectly states that Belrose will become high density residential locality and the proposed Structure will help transition. How is this the case?

The building set back, or lack of, is not in line with planning regulations for the community. I was informed by Council's representative that my proposed carport should be taken off my plans as it was within the 6 metre setback and may delay or not be approved. I believe the same rules should apply to everyone. The look of this is also not in keeping with the rest of the structures in the area.

For the above reasons the proposed development is completely inappropriate for the surrounding area. A new development would be fantastic but it has to take into account the community and people's safety.