Sent: 6/10/2020 8:51:48 AM Subject: Submission for NBLPP meeting on Optus mobile tower in Curl Curl - DA2020/0661

Katrina Salisbury 12 Spring Rd North Curl Curl

6th October 2020

To Whom It May Concern,

I have just returned toady from our holiday to find your email advising of the planned approval for the DA2020/0661 submitted by Optus to place a mobile phone tower at the Abbott Rd playing fields.

And I have also read that the Northern Beaches Local Planning Panel meeting is scheduled for tomorrow - Wednesday, October 7th, which lies right in the school holidays when many of the local families this DA affects are away on holidays.

To me, it seems odd that this letter and the meeting have been timed in with school holidays, and I feel more notice should have been given for people to provide written submissions and to be able to attend the video conference live. Out of school holidays would be an obvious decision.

As I mentioned in my earlier submission, we are within the notification boundary of the planned development and we never received notice of the initial proposal in our mail. It was also misleading by having "Huston Parade" address mentioned on the small white sign affixed to the pole on the playing field. Not a bright yellow sign as per usual DA's. And there was no mention on the sign, of the real address where the 4G tower was to be placed.

Council exists to serve its constituents in an arms-length prudent manner.

Whilst there may be a good reason, it's very difficult to see how an issue with over 500 submissions against this proposal (including the North Curl Curl Public School, NSW School Infrastructure, James Griffin MP, and our local member Zali Steggall MP) would be notified on the Wednesday before a long weekend and in school holidays with the public meeting to be held **three** business days later on a Wednesday after a long weekend and during school holidays.

Can you please explain how this issue is being dealt with in an arms-length and prudent manner in line with the above paragraph? Is it the same planning officer involved in the poor signage, the inaccurate notification process and the somewhat suspicious scheduling of emails and meeting dates?

I have never voiced concern over any development in the past, but this is clearly wrongdoing and I strongly object to the proposal. I feel an investigation into why these concerns listed above have been allowed to occur is warranted. There is a clear vote from the local community that this sort of development is not needed and definitely not wanted. **Our reception with Optus is fine and we live only 100m from the planned DA site**. To jeopardise the two most important aspects of people's lives - their health and the environment for monetary sake is a prospect that is unacceptable.

Could the council also please advise how much they will receive in rent/leasing fees for the proposed telco tower in addition to any approval fees for allowing it to go ahead?

As this is a written submission, please let me know if I need to attend the video conference live in order to have my above questions answered. I'd be happy to if this is required. However, I feel it would be more appropriate to delay the meeting to a time that is more suitable for the people involved and allow more notice to the community.

Regards,

Katrina Salisbury

N.B. it was unclear in your email whether this written submission should be emailed to you Ms Sawyer, or submitted online via the council's planning & development site. Please let me know if I should submit this online.