



Hi Thomas

I refer to the above matter, our earlier written submission and your conversation with Nic Najjar from this office.

See under from Lindsay Taylor Lawyers, in an article dated 17/10/2017:

Intensification of Use, Owners Consent and Staged DAs

Development proposals often involve the intensification of the use of an existing easement. A recent decision of the Land and Environment Court is a reminder that development consent will be required for the intensification of the use of an easement. However, it does not necessarily follow that owners consent to the development application ('DA') is required from the owner of the land burdened by the easement.

In *Opera Properties v Northern Beaches Council & anor* [2017] NSWLEC 1507 the Applicant had sought development consent for a 62 lot residential subdivision and construction of dwellings. The DA was a staged development application (now known as a concept development application) within the meaning of s83B of the *Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979* ('Act').

The only vehicular access between the development site and the public road network was over an existing right of carriageway ('ROW') across land owned by the Uniting Church.

The Uniting Church argued that its consent to the making of the DA was required under clause 49 of the *Environmental Planning Assessment Regulation 2000* because the development proposed involved the intensification of use of the ROW.

The Applicant argued that the DA did not seek consent for an intensification of the ROW, as the intensification of the use would occur in a later stage of the development and would be the subject of a further DA.

The Court found, consistently with previous authority, that the intensification of use of the ROW was '*development*' under the Act as it constitutes the

use of land.

However the works proposed in the DA would not generate the intensification, given the proposed staging.

The DA which had been made would only, if granted consent, authorise the subdivision of the development site and some subdivision works only. It would not authorise the construction of dwellings and therefore the use of the ROW would not be intensified.

The Court found that the DA did not seek consent for the use of the ROW, and the consent of the Uniting Church to the making of the DA was not required.

Consent of the Uniting Church would of course be required to the subsequent DA which would need to be made to authorise the intensified use of the ROW.

Despite the fact that the DA before the Court did not propose the intensification, the impacts of the intensification needed to be considered and assessed before consent to the DA could be granted.

Read the full judgment here: [*Opera Properties v Northern Beaches Council & anor* \[2017\] NSWLEC 1507](#)

In the subject case there can be no doubt that there is an intensification of use as regards the development proposal. The specific circumstances in the decision in *Opera Properties* are different from the current matter in that the development proposed will of itself give rise to an intensification of the use of the ROW. In other words the proposal is not a mere subdivision that gives rise to the 'potential' for intensification.

It would be most unfortunate if a consent was granted here that was open to challenge by way or jurisdictional error.

We have run an argument along these lines in our own submission to Council dated 29 May 2020. We have also discussed the issue relating to deferred commencement conditions.

I hope this assists.

Kind regards




Pierre Le Bas

BA(Geog)(UNE) LLB(Hons1) GradCertLegP(UTS) MTCP(Syd)

Director & Legal Counsel

Australian Unrestricted Practising Certificate Law Society NSW No 28661

TPI-Logo-Small2Cropped



TOWN PLANNERS

ABN 12 061 186 409

Suite 2301

Quattro Building 2

Level 3

4 Daydream Street

WARRIEWOOD NSW 2102

Phone: 02 9979 4922

Fax: 02 9979 4811

Mobile: 0414 311 611

Email: pierre@turnbullplanning.com.au

Web: www.turnbullplanning.com.au



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail notice.

FB.jpg



Follow us

This email message is for the named persons' use only and may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. No confidentiality or privilege is waived or lost by any mis-transmission. If you are not the intended recipient please notify the sender by reply email and immediately delete this email. Use, disclosure or reproduction of this email by anyone other than the intended recipient(s) is strictly prohibited. No representation is made that this email or any attachments are free of viruses. Virus scanning is recommended and is the responsibility of the recipient.
