

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT - DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

DA No. DA2009/0224

Assessment Officer: Adrian Melo Property Address: Lot 11 DP 25959

Proposal Description: Alterations and Additions to existing dwelling and a swimming pool, 5

Laichere Street CURL CURL NSW 2096 Plan Reference: Drawing 101 2, 100 2, 200 2.

Report Section	Applicable	Complete & Attach	ad
Section 1 – Code Assessment			
	Yes No	Yes No	
Section 2 – Issues Assessment	Yes No	Yes No	
Section 3 – Site Inspection Analysis	Yes No	Yes No	
Section 4 – Application Determination	Yes No	Yes No	
Estimated Cost of Works: \$ 122,100			
Are S94A Contributions Applicable?			
▼ Yes □ No			
Warringah Section 94A Development Contribut	ions Plan		
Contribution based on total development cost of	f \$	122,100.00	
	•	,	
Contribution - all parts Warringah	Levy Rate	Contribution Payable	Council Code
Total S94A Levy	0.45%	\$549	6923
S94A Planning and Administration	0.05%	\$61	6924
Total	0.5%	\$611	
Notification Burning to 10	D. S. J. CD.		J
Notification Required?	Period of Pu	blic Exhibition?	
Yes No	✓ 14 days	21 days 30 day	s N/A
Submissions Received?	No. of Subm	•	
Yes No			
Are any trees impacted upon by the proposed de	evelopment?	No	
SECTION 1 – CODE ASSESSMENT REPORT			
ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS	5		
WLEP 2000			
Locality: F5 Curl Curl			
		. П.,	
Development Definition: Housing Ancillar	y Development to Ho	using 🦳 Other	
Development Definition: Housing Ancillar Category of Development: Category 1 C		_	



Category 1 Development with no variations to BFC's (Section 2 Assessment not required)		
Is the development considered to be consistent with	the Locality's Desired Future Character Statement?	
Yes No		
_		
Category 1 Development with variations to BFC's	(Section 2 Assessment Required)	
Category 2 Development Consistency Test	(Section 2 Assessment Required)	
Category 3 Development Consistency Test	(Section 2 Assessment Required)	
Built Form Controls:		
Building Height (overall):		
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged Proposed: 3m	
Requirement:	Complies: Yes No	
8.5m		
11.0m		
Other		
Building Height (underside of upper most ceiling):	Existing and unchanged	
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged	
	Proposed:m	
Requirement:	Complies: Yes No	
7.2m	100 100	
Other		
Front Oathority		
Front Setback:	Existing and unchanged (all proposed works occur	
Applicable: Yes No	behind the existing established front building line of the dwelling present on the subject site)	
Requirement:	Proposed:m	
6.5m	Complies: Yes No	
Other	Tes 110	
Is the Corner Allotment / Secondary Street Frontage		
control applicable?:	Corner Allotment:	
Yes No	п	
Requirement:	Existing and unchanged	
3.5m	Proposed:m	
Other	Complies: Yes No	



Housing Density: Applicable: Yes No Requirement: 1 dwelling per 450sqm 1 dwelling per 600sqm Other	Existing and unchanged Proposed:dwelling / persqm Complies: Yes No
Landscape Open Space: Applicable: Yes No 40% (232sqm) 50% (sqm) Other	Existing and unchanged Proposed: 38% (215sqm) Complies: Yes No
Rear Setback: Applicable: Yes No Requirement: 6.0m Other	Existing and unchanged Proposed: 1.5m Complies: Yes No
Outbuildings: Requirement: 50% of rear setback Other	Outbuildings: Existing and unchanged Proposed: 32% Complies: Yes No
Side Boundary Envelope: Applicable: Yes No Requirement: 4m / 45 degrees 5m / 45 degrees Other	Boundary: Nth Sth Est Wst Existing and unchanged or Fully within Envelope: Yes No Minor Breach: Yes No Complies: Yes No



	Boundary: Nth Sth Est Wst
	Existing and unchanged
	or
	Fully within Envelope: Yes No
	Minor Breach: Yes No
	Complies: Yes No
Side Setbacks:	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst
Applicable: Yes No	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst
The state of the s	Existing and unchanged
900mm	or Proposed: 0.9m
	Complies: Yes No
4.5m	Complies: Yes No
Other	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst
	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst
	Existing and unchanged
	or Proposed: 8.2m to new structures, Approx 1.2 to
	existing
	Complies: Yes No
Other:	
General Principles of Development Control:	
CL38 Glare & reflections	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition rec
CL39 Local retail centres	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes ✓ No	, , ,
CL40 Housing for Older People and People	Complies:
with Disabilities Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
	Complies:
CL41 Brothels Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	



CL42 Construction Sites	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
✓ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL43 Noise	Complies:
Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL44 Pollutants	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res, subject to condition ino
CL45 Hazardous Uses	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL46 Radiation Emission Levels	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL47 Flood Affected Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes ✓ No	
103 110	
CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land	Complies:
	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely
CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land Applicable:	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?
CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely
CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land Applicable:	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?
CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land Applicable:	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated? Yes No
CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land Applicable:	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated? Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?
CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land Applicable: ✓ Yes No	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated? Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use? Yes No Complies:
CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land Applicable: Yes No CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated? Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use? Yes No
CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land Applicable: Yes No CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land Applicable:	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated? Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use? Yes No Complies:
CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land Applicable: Yes No CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land Applicable: Yes No	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated? Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use? Yes No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land Applicable: CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land Applicable: Yes No CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils Applicable:	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated? Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use? Yes No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land Applicable: Yes No CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land Applicable: Yes No CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated? Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use? Yes No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Although the subject site is identified as being Class 5 Acid Sulfate soils and includes excavation for the proposed pool,
CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land Applicable: CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land Applicable: Yes No CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils Applicable:	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated? Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use? Yes No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Although the subject site is identified as being Class 5 Acid
CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land Applicable: CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land Applicable: Yes No CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils Applicable:	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated? Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use? Yes No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Although the subject site is identified as being Class 5 Acid Sulfate soils and includes excavation for the proposed pool, it is not considered that the proposed work is likely to lower
CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land Applicable: Yes No CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land Applicable: Yes No CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils Applicable: Yes No	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated? Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use? Yes No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Although the subject site is identified as being Class 5 Acid Sulfate soils and includes excavation for the proposed pool, it is not considered that the proposed work is likely to lower the watertable below 1 metre AHD on adjacent Class 1,2,
CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land Applicable: CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land Applicable: Yes No CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils Applicable:	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated? Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use? Yes No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Although the subject site is identified as being Class 5 Acid Sulfate soils and includes excavation for the proposed pool, it is not considered that the proposed work is likely to lower the watertable below 1 metre AHD on adjacent Class 1,2, 3,or 4 land. Complies:
CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land Applicable: Yes No CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land Applicable: Yes No CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils Applicable: Yes No CL50 Safety & Security	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated? Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use? Yes No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Although the subject site is identified as being Class 5 Acid Sulfate soils and includes excavation for the proposed pool, it is not considered that the proposed work is likely to lower the watertable below 1 metre AHD on adjacent Class 1,2, 3,or 4 land.



CL51 Front Fences and Walls	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Although the proposal includes a front fence 1.5m in height it is to be constructed of timber battens and is to be 30% transparency. This ensures adequate passive surveillance of the streetscape will be achieved. Generally it is also considered that the front fence is in keeping with the streetscape.
	Accordingly it is considered that the requirements of this General Principle are fulfilled by the proposal.
CL52 Development Near Parks, Bushland	Complies:
Reserves & other public Open Spaces	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	
CL53 Signs	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition rec
CL54 Provision and Location of Utility	Complies:
Services Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	1 co 1 co , subject to condition 1 to
Yes No	
CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition into
CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental	Complies:
Features on Site	,
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL57 Development on Sloping Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition into
CL58 Protection of Existing Flora	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res, subject to condition into
CL59 Koala Habitat Protection	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	1 65 1 65 , Subject to condition 1NO
CL60 Watercourses & Aquatic Habitats	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes ☑ No	1 65 1 65 , Subject to containon 190



CL61 Views	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL62 Access to sunlight	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL63 Landscaped Open Space	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Too , ousjoot to containen. The
CL63A Rear Building Setback	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Too Too , ousjoot to containen. The
CL64 Private open space	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	, so , subject to contained.
CL65 Privacy	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Although the proposal complies with the side boundary setback built form controls, it is noted that it seeks approval for a raised deck area along the side boundary. The maximum height of the proposed deck area is approximately 1.2m
	It must be noted that this General Principle makes specific reference to 'unreasonable direct overlooking of habitable rooms and principal private open spaces of other dwellings.'. In this regard it must be established wether the proposal in its current form results in unreasonable direct overlooking of habitable rooms and principal private open spaces. In this regard it is noted that the principal private open space for the adjoining (north) property is located at the rear of the dwelling. Accordingly it is not considered that the proposal will allow for direct overlooking of the adjoining properties principal private open space.
	With regards to habitable rooms, it is noted that the Plans for DA2003/0810 indicate that the windows located on the southern elevation of the adjoining property are Bedroom windows. In this regard it is considered that direct overlooking of these windows given the height of the proposed deck is possible.
	However, it is noted that the proposal seeks consent to plant bamboo along the shared boundary totalling 3m in height at maturity. Although this cannot be relied upon to negate overlooking, it will reduce the potential for direct overlooking. With regards to the deck area, it must be noted that only the area immediately adjacent to the living room area will most likely be used for external entertaining, with the areas to the south serving primarily as a thoroughfare to access the pool and rear yard area. Accordingly subject to a condition of consent requiring the erection of a privacy screen along the northern boundary of the deck area it is considered that the proposal is acceptable.



CL66 Building bulk	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	With regards to the proposed privacy screen to be applied through a condition of consent mentioned in the above section, it must be noted that the deck area complies with the minimum side setback area and would be softened by landscaping, reducing potential building bulk resulting from the privacy screen.
	Accordingly the proposal, including the amendments made as conditions of consent, is considered acceptable with regards to this General Principle.
CL67 Roofs	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Standard BASIX condition included.
CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public	Complies:
Buildings	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	
CL70 Site facilities	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	,,
CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact)	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ Yes No	res res , subject to condition No
CL72 Traffic access & safety	Complies:
Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL73 On-site Loading and Unloading	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL74 Provision of Carparking	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	It is noted that the existing dwelling located on the subject site allows for 1 carparking space, resulting in a non-compliance with the required carparking spaces.
	Notwithstanding the above, this is an existing carparking situation that is unchanged by the proposal. Accordingly it



	·
CL75 Design of Carparking Areas	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ Yes No	res res, subject to condition ino
res No	
CL76 Management of Stormwater	Complies:
Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL77 Landfill	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL78 Erosion & Sedimentation	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL79 Heritage Control	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL80 Notice to Metropolitan Aboriginal Land	Complies:
Council and the National Parks and Wildlife Service	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council	Repealed
	Repealed Complies:
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage Items	Complies:
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage	
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage Items	Complies:
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage Items Applicable: Yes No	Complies:
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage Items Applicable:	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies:
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage Items Applicable: Yes No CL83 Development of Known or Potential	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage Items Applicable: Yes No CL83 Development of Known or Potential Archaeological Sites	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies:
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage Items Applicable: Yes No CL83 Development of Known or Potential Archaeological Sites Applicable:	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies:
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage Items Applicable: Yes No CL83 Development of Known or Potential Archaeological Sites Applicable: Yes No	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies:
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage Items Applicable: Yes No CL83 Development of Known or Potential Archaeological Sites Applicable: Yes No Schedules:	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies:
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage Items Applicable: Yes No CL83 Development of Known or Potential Archaeological Sites Applicable: Yes No Schedules: Schedule 5 State policies	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage Items Applicable: Yes No CL83 Development of Known or Potential Archaeological Sites Applicable: Yes No Schedules: Schedule 5 State policies Applicable:	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies:
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage Items Applicable: Yes No CL83 Development of Known or Potential Archaeological Sites Applicable: Yes No Schedules: Schedule 5 State policies Applicable: Yes No	Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies:
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage Items Applicable: Yes No CL83 Development of Known or Potential Archaeological Sites Applicable: Yes No Schedules: Schedule 5 State policies Applicable: Yes No Schedule 6 Preservation of bushland	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage Items Applicable: Yes No CL83 Development of Known or Potential Archaeological Sites Applicable: Yes No Schedules: Schedule 5 State policies Applicable: Yes No Schedule 6 Preservation of bushland Applicable: Yes No	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage Items Applicable: Yes No CL83 Development of Known or Potential Archaeological Sites Applicable: Yes No Schedules: Schedule 5 State policies Applicable: Yes No Schedule 6 Preservation of bushland Applicable:	Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies:



□ Yes No	
Schedule 8 Site analysis	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Schedule 9 Notification requirements for	Complies:
remediation work	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Yes No	
Schedule 10 Traffic generating development	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	red red , dubject to condition red
Schedule 11 Koala feed tree species and	Complies:
plans of management Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	res res, subject to condition rec
Yes No	
Schedule 12 Requirements for complying	Complies:
development	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Yes No	
Schedule 13 Development guidelines for	Complies:
Collaroy/Narrabeen Beach	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
Schedule 14 Guiding principles for	Complies:
development near Middle Harbour Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	res res, subject to containon rec
Yes No	
Schedule 15 Statement of environmental	Complies:
effects Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	res res, subject to condition ino
Yes No	
Schedule 17 Carparking provision	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No however
Yes No	justified under Clause 74

Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instruments:

SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No SEPP Basix: Applicable?
▼ Yes □ No
If yes: Has the applicant provided Basix Certification?



Yes No
SEPP 55 Applicable?
Yes No
Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?
□ Yes No
Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?
Yes No
SEPP Infrastructure
Applicable?
Yes No
Is the proposal for a swimming pool:
Within 30m of an overhead line support structure?
□ Yes Vo No
Within 5m of an overhead power line ?
Yes ✓ No
Does the proposal comply with the SEPP?
Yes No



REPs: Applicable?:	Yes	☑ No
--------------------	-----	-------------

EPA Regulation Considerations:

LFA Regulation Considerations.	
Clause 54 & 109 (Stop the Clock) Applicable:	
Yes No	
Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures) Applicable: ✓ Yes No	Addressed via condition? Yes No
Clause 92 (Government Coastal Policy) Applicable: Yes No	Is the proposal consistent with the Goal and Objectives of the Government Coastal Policy? Yes No
Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No	Addressed via condition? Yes No
Clause 94 (Upgrade of Building for Disability Access) Applicable: Yes No	Addressed via condition?
Clause 98 (BCA) Applicable: Yes No	Addressed via condition? Yes No

REFERRALS

Referral Body/Officer	Required	Response
Development Engineering	□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Landscape Assessment	□ Yes No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Bushland Management	□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory



Catchment Management	□ Yes No		Satisfactory
			Satisfactory, subject to condition
			Unsatisfactory
Aboriginal Heritage	□ _{Yes} ☑ _{No}		П
	Yes No		Satisfactory
			Satisfactory, subject to condition
Env. Health and Protection			Unsatisfactory
	Yes No		Satisfactory
			Satisfactory, subject to condition
			Unsatisfactory
NSW Rural Fire Service	☐ Yes No		Satisfactory
			Satisfactory, subject to condition
			Unsatisfactory
Energy Australia	□ Yes No		Satisfactory
			Satisfactory, subject to condition
			Unsatisfactory
		_	
Applicable Legislation/ EPI's /P	olicies:	SEPP No	o. 55 – Remediation of Land
EPA Act 1979		SEPP No	o. 71 – Coastal Protection
EPA Regulations 2000		SEPP BA	ASIX
Disability Discrimination Act 19	992	SEPP Inf	rastructure
Local Government Act 1993		WLEP 20	000
Roads Act 1993		₩ WDCP	
Rural Fires Act 1997		□ S94 Deve	elopment Contributions Plan
RFI Act 1948			velopment Contributions Plan
		_	astal Policy (cl 92 EPA Regulation)
Water Act 1912		Other	
Swimming Pools Act 1992;			

SECTION 79C EPA ACT 1979



Section 79C (1) (a)(i) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any relevant environmental planning instrument?	✓ Yes No
Section 79C (1) (a)(ii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	✓ Yes No
Section 79C (1) (a)(iii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any development control plan	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (a)(iiia) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Planning Agreement or Draft Planning Agreement	□ Yes □ No ☑ N/A
Section 79C (1) (a)(iv) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Regulations?	▼ Yes □ No
Section 79C (1) (b) – Are the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality acceptable?	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (c) – It the site suitable for the development?	▼ Yes □ No
Section 79C (1) (d) – Have you considered any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs?	✓ Yes No
Section 79C (1) (e) – Is the proposal in the public interest?	▼ Yes □ No

SECTION 2 - ISSUES

PUBLIC EXHIBTION

The subject application was publicly exhibited in accordance with the EPA Regulation 2000 and the applicable Development Control Plan.

As a result of the public exhibition of the application Council received submissions from:

Name	Address
Jan Schoots	7 Lalchere Street

The following issues were raised in the submissions:

Noise

The matters raised within the submissions are addressed as follows:

Noise

Comment: It is noted that the only concern raised in the submission received by Council relates to the potential for negative acoustic impacts upon the adjoining property. Although this is a valid concern, given the residential nature of the site and that any noise resulting from the use of the property would be residential noise, it is considered that this noise is to be expected within a residential area. Accordingly this concern does not have any determining weight.

Notwithstanding the above, in order to preserve privacy between the dwellings a condition of consent is recommended requiring the extension of the propose privacy screen along the northern boundary for the majority of the proposed deck area. Although this will not reduce noise completely it will alleviate the situation.

MEDIATION

Has mediation been requested by the objectors?





WLEP 2000

DESIRED FUTURE CHARACTER

The Curl Curl locality will remain characterised by detached style housing in landscaped settings interspersed by existing apartment style housing and a range of complementary and compatible uses. The land containing the existing Bowling Club at Lot 2682 DP 752038 on Abbott Road and the land containing the existing Harbord Bowling Club at Lot 4 DP 601758 on Stirgess Avenue will continue to be used only for recreation facilities.

Future development will maintain the visual pattern and predominant scale of detached style housing in the locality. The streets are to be characterised by landscaped front gardens and front building setbacks which are consistent with surrounding development. The exposed natural sandstone rock outcrops throughout the locality will be maintained. Development on prominent hillsides or hilltops must be designed to integrate with the landscape, topography and long distance views of the hill. Unless exemptions are made to the housing density standard in this locality statement, any subdivision of land is to be consistent with the predominant pattern, size and configuration of existing allotments in the locality.

The locality will continue to be served by the existing local retail centres in the areas shown on the map. Future development in these centres will be in accordance with the general principles of development control provided in clause 39.

Clause 12(3)(a) of WLEP 2000 requires the consent authority to consider Category 1 development against the locality's DFC statement. Notwithstanding Clause 12(3)(a) only requires the consideration of the DFC statement, however as detailed under the Built Form Controls Assessment section of this report the proposed development results in non-compliances with the Landscaped Open Space Built Form Controls, as such pursuant to Clause 20(1) a higher test is required

Accordingly, an assessment of consistency of the proposed development against the locality's DFC is provided hereunder:

The proposed development is considered to satisfy the applicable DFC statement for the reasons detailed hereunder:

- The proposal will retain the existing detached style dwelling in landscaped settings located on the subject site.
- Given the relatively minimal extent of the proposed alterations and additions the exiting visual pattern and predominant scale of the detached style dwelling will be maintained.
- The existing front garden and front building setback will be retained by the proposal. These features are considered to be consistent with surrounding development.
- The subject site is not located on a rock outcrop, hillside, hilltop, or retail centre.

BUILT FORM CONTROLS

As detail within Section 1 (Code Assessment) the proposed development is considered to fails satisfy the Locality's Landscaped Open Space Built Form Controls, accordingly, further assessment is provided hereunder.

Description of variations sought and reasons provided:

Landscape Open Space Built Form Control

Requirement:

Landscape Open Space: Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged
Applicable. Tes 140	Proposed : 37% (215sqm)
₩ 40% (232sqm)	Complies: Yes No



50% (sqm)	
Other	

Merit Consideration of Non-compliance: Due to the relatively minor nature of the proposed non-compliance, it is considered that the proposal will have minimal impact upon the streetscape and the adjoining properties. This is largely due to the minor nature of the proposed non-compliance and that the proposal will retain a large landscaped area at the front and rear of the dwelling.

The total amount of proposed non-compliance is 2%, which equates to approximately 11.6sqm. The proposal will result in landscaped open space areas at the front and rear of the existing dwelling which are substantial in size. The front garden area is 95.6sqm, whilst the rear garden area will be 104sqm. It can be seen that substantial areas of landscaped open space will be located on the site that will retain the existing amenity of the area.

Given the above it is considered that the proposal is eligible for a Clause 20 variation to this Built Form Control subject to meeting the requirements of the General Principles, Desired Future Character Statement, and State Environmental Planning Policies.

Clause 20(1) stipulates:

"Notwithstanding clause 12 (2) (b), consent may be granted to proposed development even if the development does not comply with one or more development standards, provided the resulting development is consistent with the general principles of development control, the desired future character of the locality and any relevant State environmental planning policy."

In determining whether the proposal qualifies for a variation under Clause 20(1) of WLEP 2000, consideration must be given to the following:

(i) General Principles of Development Control

The proposal is generally consistent with the Clauses of the General Principles of Development Control and accordingly, qualifies to be considered for a variation to the development standards, under the provisions of Clause 20(1) (See discussion on "General Principles of Development Control" in this report for a detailed assessment of consistency).

(ii) Desired Future Character of the Locality

The proposal is consistent with the Locality's Desired Future Character Statement and accordingly, qualifies to be considered for a variation to the development standards, under the provisions of Clause 20(1) (See discussion on "Desired Future Character" in this report for a detailed assessment of consistency).

(iii) Relevant State Environmental Planning Policies

The proposal has been considered consistent with all applicable State Environmental Planning Policies. (Refer to earlier discussion under 'State Environmental Planning Policies'). Accordingly the proposal qualifies to be considered for a variation to the development standards, under the provisions of Clause 20(1).

As detailed above, the proposed development is considered to satisfy the requirements to qualify for consideration under Clause 20(1). It is for this reason that the variation to the Landscaped Open Space Built Form Control (Development Standard) pursuant to Clause 20(1) is Supported / Not Supported.



SECTION 3 – SITE INSPECTION ANALYSIS



Site area 580sqm	□
Detail existing onsite structures:	Waterfalls
	Creeks / Watercourse
None	П
□ □	Aboriginal Art / Carvings
Dwelling	Any Item of / or any potential item of heritage
Detached Garage	significance
	Potential View Loss as a result of development
Detached shed	·
Swimming pool	Yes No
Tennis Court	If Yes where from (in relation to site):
Cabana	П
Caballa	North / South
Other	East / West
Site Features:	Last/ West
_	North East / South West
None	North West / South East
▼_	
Trees	View of:
Under Storey Vegetation	
	Ocean / Waterways Yes No
Rock Outcrops	Headland Yes No
Caves	neaulatiu tes ivo
	District Views Yes No
Overhangs	



Bushland Yes No	Other:
Dustilatio 165 NO	
Bushfire Prone?	Yes No
☐ Yes No	Poes the proposal impact upon any
Flood Prone?	easements / Rights of Way?
Yes No	Yes No
Affected by Acid Sulfate Soils	
Yes No	
Located within 40m of any natural watercourse?	
Yes No	
Located within 1km landward of the open coast watermark or within 1km of any bay estuaries, coastal lake, lagoon, island, tidal waterway within the area mapped within the NSW Coastal Policy?	
☐ Yes No	
Located within 100m of the mean high watermark?	
☐ Yes No	
Located within an area identified as a Wave Impact Zone?	
☐ Yes ✓ No	
Any items of heritage significance located upon it?	
Yes No	
Located within the vicinity of any items of heritage significance?	
☐ Yes No	
Located within an area identified as potential land slip?	
☐ Yes No	
Is the development Integrated?	
☐ Yes No	
Does the development require concurrence?	
☐ Yes ✓ No	
Is the site owned or is the DA made by the "Crown"?	
☐ Yes ✓ No	
Have you reviewed the DP and s88B instrument?	



Site Inspection / Desktop Assessment Undertaken by:

Does the site inspection <section 3=""> confirm the assessment undertaken against the relevant EPI's <section's &="" 1="" 2="">?</section's></section>	▼ Yes □ No
Are there any additional matters that have arisen from your site inspection that would require any additional assessment to be undertaken?	Yes No If yes provide detail:
Signed	Date

Adrian Melo, Development Assessment Officer



SECTION 4 – APPLICATION DETERMINATION

Conclusion	1:
	al has been considered against the relevant heads of consideration under S79C of the EPA d the proposed development is considered to be:
Satisfac	
Recommer	dation:
That Counc	cil as the consent authority
☑ GRA	INT DEVELOPMENT CONSENT to the development application subject to:
	the conditions detailed within the associated notice of determination; and the consent lapsing within three (3) from operation
GF to:	RANT DEFERRED COMMENCEMENT CONSENT to the development application subject
(b)	the conditions detailed within the associated notice of determination; limit the deferred commencement condition time frame to 3 years; one the deferred commencement matter have been satisfactorily addressed issue an operational consent subject to the time frames detailed within part (d); and the consent lapsing within three (3) from operation
REF	USE development consent to the development application subject to:
(a)	the reasons detailed within the associated notice of determination.
Signed	Date
Adrian Mel	o, Development Assessment Officer
The applica	tion is determined under the delegated authority of:
Signed	Date

Ailsa Prendergast, Team Leader Development Assessment