

Arboricultural Impact Assessment

197 McCarrs Creek Road, Church Point

Version 1

Prepared For:

S&B Tree Services

Date:

1st September 2022

Page 2

Document Control

Document Title:	197 McCarrs Creek Rd AIA
Report type:	Arboricultural Impact Assessment
Prepared for:	S&B Tree Services
Contact details:	info@sbtreeservices.net
	P 0451 958 887
Prepared by:	Daniel Leonard
	Senior Arborist
	AQF level 5
	TRAQ Qualified
	ISA certified
Contact details:	Daniel.leonard@heartwood
	.services
	M 0402 992 578
Version:	1

Arboricultural Impact Assessment

Page 3|

Contents

Docu	nent Control2					
Table	of Figures4					
1. E	Sackground5					
1.1	. Introduction5					
1.2	Purpose of this report5					
1.3	. The Proposal5					
1.4	Subject Trees					
1.5	Documents Referenced6					
2. 1	Aethod7					
2.1	Assessment Method7					
2.2	. Retention Value7					
2.3	Tree Protection Zones					
2.4	Encroachment Assessment8					
2.5	. Mitigation Measures10					
2.6	Tree Protection Plan11					
3. F	lesults					
3.1	Minor Encroachment (<10%)					
3.2	. Major Encroachment (>10%)12					
3.3	. Trees unable or unworthy of retention12					
3.4	Assessment Results					
4. 9	pecifications14					
4.1	. Tree removals					
Арре	ndix 1 – TPZ Plan15					
Арре	ndix 2 – Tree Protection Plan16					
Spe	cifications16					
Tre	e Protection Fencing					
ТР2	Fencing Plan					
Tru	nk protection					
Gro	Ground protection					
Exc	avations					

Arboricultural Impact Assessment

Page 4

Underground services	
Site Inspections	20
Schedule of Work	21
Appendix 3 – STARS Retention Rating Method	22
Appendix 4 – Photos of the trees	23

Table of Figures

Figure 1: TPZ and SRZ cross section	8
Figure 2: Encroachment zones	9
Figure 3: TPZ Plan	
Figure 4: Fencing Plan - Fence in yellow	
Figure 5: tree 1	23
Figure 6: Tree 21	24
Figure 7: Tree 22	
0	

1. Background

1.1. Introduction

Daniel Leonard (Author) was commissioned by S&B Tree Services on behalf of the property owner (Client) to provide arboricultural advice on the potential impact the proposed development will have on existing trees at 197 McCarrs Creek Road (the site).

The Client requested the Author compile an Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) on their behalf based on the site meeting that took place on the 26th of August 2022. This assessment will include:

- The identification of all trees that have the potential to be impacted by the building proposal,
- A ground based Visual Tree Assessment (VTA) of all trees potentially affected by the building proposal,
- A retention rating for all trees potentially affected by the building proposal,
- Any encroachments to the existing trees and their ability to be retained,
- Any recommendations for pruning or removal, and a
- Tree Protection Plan (TPP) for trees to be retained.

1.2. Purpose of this report

This report provides an analysis of the impact the proposed development may have on existing trees on the site and will provide specifications for the effective management of the existing trees including tree protection measures and supervision of works.

The primary purpose of the report is to:

Heartwood Tree Consulting

- identify which trees can be retained under the building proposal,
- provide evidence to Council that those trees will remain viable and be protected; prior to, during and after construction.

1.3. The Proposal

The site is a semi-rural block of a typical size for the area. it is not listed on the State heritage register. The block slopes down considerably towards the South. There is a two-story residence with an existing driveway on the north side and raised carport on the second story that lines up with the driveway.

There are a total of 28 existing prescribed trees on site There are multiple trees located on neighboring properties, but they are not impacted by this building proposal.

The proposal is to construct a spa and deck to the north of the house along with another room directly underneath the raised carport.

age 5



1.4. Subject Trees

There are a total of 28 trees on the site.

- 16 Allocasurina torulosa (Rose She oak)
- 5 Syncarpia glomulifera (Turpentine)
- 3 Eucalypts spp (Unable to accurately identify Genus)
- 1 Eleaocarpus reticulatus (Blueberry ash)
- 1 Washingtonia robusta (Mexican fan palm)
- 1 Camelia spp (Camelia)
- 1 dead tree

Tree locations associated with the numbers above can be found in *Figure 3*. These trees will be the focus of this report.

C e

Specific details such as observations, species, and measurements on each tree can be found in Section 3.4 Assessment Results.

1.5. Documents Referenced

- (IACA) Significance of a Tree Assessment Rating System (STARS),
- AS4970 2009 Protection of trees on development Sites,
- Heritage.nsw.gov.au,
- Site analysis and Survey plan provided by the Client.
- Northern Beaches Council Guidelines for trees and development.
- BOM.gov.au

2. Method

2.1. Assessment Method

The subject trees were assessed in accordance with a stage one limited visual tree assessment as formulated by *Mattheck & Breloer (1994)*, and practices consistent with modern arboriculture.

This method is subject to the following limitations:

Heartwood Tree Consulting

- Tree heights and canopy widths were estimated unless stated otherwise,
- Tree identification was based on the broad taxonomical features present, available, and visible from the ground at the time of the assessment unless stated otherwise,
- A complete visual assessment was not undertaken on trees that were not easily accessible or located in restricted areas,
- The subject trees were assessed from the ground level without the use of any invasive diagnostic tools. The following non-invasive tools may have been used; binoculars, probe, sounding hammer, diameter tape, electronic data collection device.

2.2. Retention Value

The retention value of a tree or group of trees is determined using a combination of environmental, cultural physiological and social values.

- **Low:** These trees are not considered important for retention, nor require special works or design modification to be implemented for their retention.
- **Medium:** These trees are moderately important for retention. Their removal should only be considered if they are adversely affecting the proposed building/ works and all other alternatives have been considered and exhausted.
- **High:** These trees are considered important for retention and should be retained and protected. Design modification or relocation of buildings should be considered to accommodate the setbacks as prescribed by the Australian standard *AS4970 Protection of trees on development sites.*

This tree retention assessment has been undertaken in accordance with the Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturalists (IACA) Significance of a Tree Assessment Rating System (STARS). The System uses a scale of High, Medium, and Low significance in the landscape. Once the landscape significance of a tree has been defined, the retention value can be determined. Each tree must meet a minimum of three assessment criteria in order to be classified within a category. Further details and the assessment criteria can be found in Appendix 3.

Page 7

2.3. Tree Protection Zones

The most important consideration for the successful retention of trees is to ensure appropriate crown and root area of the trees remain unaffected during construction/works thus allowing them to continue to grow. This requires the allocation of Tree Protection Zones (TPZ) for all trees to be retained within the construction footprint

As detailed in the Australian Standard for Protection of Trees on Development Sites (AS4970 – 2009), a TPZ. defines an area in which construction activity is either avoided, or as a minimum controlled, in order to successfully retain the tree/s.

The Structural Root Zone (SRZ) represents the minimum area required to maintain tree stability without consideration to the ongoing health of the tree. Severing roots within the SRZ that are >50mm is not recommended as it may lead to the decline or structural failure of the tree/s

All TPZ measurements are provided in the tree assessment data in *table 2*.



Figure 1: TPZ and SRZ cross section

2.4. Encroachment Assessment

Encroachment into the TPZ is generally broken into the three categories listed below:

- No Encroachment: No likely foreseeable encroachment within the TPZ,
- Minor Encroachment (<10%): If the proposed encroachment within the TPZ is less than 10% and there is no encroachment into the SRZ then detailed root investigations should not be required. The area that has been encroached upon should be compensated for elsewhere and be contiguous with the TPZ,
- Major Encroachment (>10%): The project arborist must be able to demonstrate that the subject tree/s remain viable if the encroachment is greater than 10%. The area that has been encroached upon should be compensated for elsewhere and be contiguous with the TPZ,



Figure 2: Encroachment zones

age 10

2.5. Mitigation Measures

Any encroachment within a TPZ must be compensated for to ensure the impacts of the encroachment are mitigated. The amount of compensation required increases as the level of encroachment increases.

The following table outlines the levels of encroachment and the corresponding mitigation measures that are required.

Encroachment	Mitigation Measures
No Encroachment (0%)	No mitigation measures required
Minor Encroachment (<10%)	A detailed noninvasive root investigation should not be required under most circumstances, The area that has been lost must be compensated for elsewhere, contiguous with the TPZ, and Any roots that are cut must be done so with a sharp saw to ensure a clean cut.
Major Encroachment (>10%)	A detailed noninvasive root investigation should be carried out using approved methods such as an air spade, Vacuum Excavator, or hand digging. The Project Arborist must be onsite to determine which roots may be severed, The area that has been lost must be compensated for elsewhere, contiguous with the TPZ, The project arborist must be able to demonstrate the tree/s would remain viable, and Consideration should be given to, size, age, species, root diameter, location and species.

Table 1: encroachment

2.6. Tree Protection Plan

A detailed site-specific Tree Protection Plan (TPP) is to be prepared by an AQF Level 5 Arboricultural Consultant and submitted for approval to the nominated certifier prior to issue of the Construction Certificate. The TPP is to be prepared in accordance with the principles and specifications identified in AS4970 - 2009 Protection of trees on development sites and is to include, but not be limited to the following:

- A site plan showing locations of proposed tree protection fencing, trunk and ground protection within the identified Tree Protection Zones (TPZ) of trees identified for retention,
- Tree Protection fences and other protection methods such as trunk protection,
- Specifications for any proposed pruning to above ground parts of the tree,
- Tree root protection specifications for excavations or soil fill within the TPZ,
- Hold points and site compliance reporting schedules if applicable, and
- Ground protection for vehicular access to limit compaction if required.

The Tree Protection Plan can be found in the appendix of this report.



3. Results

The results were calculated by overlaying the TPZ radius onto the survey plans provided. The results can be found in *Table 2*.

Any discrepancies to the Survey Plans may result in inaccuracies in the TPZ encroachment calculation.

3.1. Minor Encroachment (<10%)

The following trees have minor encroachment:

- Tree 15 will have a minor encroachment of 8%% of the TPZ due to the proposed deck along the southern side of the building.
- Tree 21 will have minor encroachment of 4% to the TPZ due to the proposed deck.
- Tree 24 will have major encroachment of 7% to the TPZ due to the proposed deck.

3.2. Major Encroachment (>10%)

The following trees have major encroachment:

- Tree 16 will have major encroachment of 13% of the TPZ due to the proposed driveway and vehicle crossover.

3.3. Trees unable or unworthy of retention

The following trees are unable to be retained:

- Tree 11 is dead and is unworthy of retention.
- Tree 22 is within the proposed building footprint and cannot be retained if the proposed development is to proceed.
- Tree 21 has poor structure and a low retention rating. It is unworthy of retention.

3.4. Assessment Results

		Survey Numbe	Botanical Name	height	spread	health	structure	ageclass	Significance	Useful Life Expactancy	Retention Priority	DBH (M)	TPZ Radiu	SRZ Radiu	Comments
		1	Eucalyptus sp.	32	20	Good	Fair	Mature	High	Medium	High	750	9	3	
		2	Eucalyptus sp.	16	14	Poor	Fair	Mature	Medium	Short	Low	450	5.4	2.5	
			Allocasuarina												
	-	3	torulosa	10	7	Fair	Fair	Mature	Low	Medium	Low	250	3	2	
5			Allocasuarina												
	-	4	torulosa	10	6	Fair	Fair	Mature	Low	Medium	Medium	250	3	2	
		_	Allocasuarina												
	-	5	torulosa	10	6	Fair	Fair	Mature	Low	Medium	Medium	250	3	2	
			Allocasuarina				F . 1					200	2.6	2.4	
	-	6	torulosa	14	9	Fair	Fair	Mature	LOW	iviedium	Medium	300	3.6	2.1	
		7	Syncarpia	10	10	Fair	Fair	Matura	Madium	Madium	Madium	450	Г 4	2 5	
	-	/	Allocacuarina	10	10	Fall	Fall	Mature	wearum	wearum	Iviedium	450	5.4	2.5	Growing on a
		8	torulosa	16	20	Fair	Poor	Mature	Low	Medium	low	450	5.4	25	significant lean
	-	0	Syncarnia	10	20	1 411	1 001	Watare	LOW	Niculum	LOW	450	5.4	2.5	Significant ican
		9	glomulifera	14	9	Fair	Fair	Mature	Low	Medium	Low	350	4.2	2.3	
	-		Svncarpia					indeare	2011		2011			2.0	
		10	glomulifera	10	4	Fair	Fair	Semi-mature	Low	Short	Low	200	2.4	1.8	Suppressed
	ľ	11	Dead tree			Poor	Poor	Dead			Low				Dead tree.
	Ē		Allocasuarina												
5		12	torulosa	10	3	Fair	Fair	Semi-mature	Low	Short	Low	100	2	1.5	
			Syncarpia												
5	-	13	glomulifera	14	9	Fair	Fair	Mature	Medium	Medium	Medium	350	4.2	2.3	
	t:	14	Camelia Spp	10	9	Fair	Poor	Mature	Low	Medium	Low	300	3.6	2.1	
	sul		Syncarpia												
	Ke	15	glomulifera	18	16	Good	Fair	Mature	Medium	Medium	Medium	550	6.6	2.7	
		10	Elaeocarpus		-			c · · ·		N A B B		400	-		
	-	16	reticulatus	9	5	Good	Good	Semi-mature	LOW	iviedium	LOW	100	2	1.5	True tour lie for as
		17	torulosa	22	10	Fair	Fair	Matura	Medium	Medium	Medium	600	7 2	20	the one base
	-	17	Allocasuarina		10	1 011	1 411	Mature	Medium	weurum	Medidini	000	7.2	2.0	the one base
		18	torulosa	18	10	Fair	Fair	Mature	Medium	Medium	Medium	450	54	2.5	
	-	10	Washingtonia		10			indeare	inc di di in		ine di di i		5	2.0	
		19	robusta	9	7	Fair	Good	Mature	Medium	Medium	Medium	400	4.8	2.4	
	-		Allocasuarina												
		20	torulosa	18	10	Fair	Poor	Mature	Medium	Medium	Medium	350	4.2	2.3	
3															Three shoots
			Allocasuarina												from an old
	-	21	torulosa	14	6	Fair	Poor	Semi-mature	Low	Short	Low	150	2	1.7	stump
			Allocasuarina												
	-	22	torulosa	14	8	Fair	Poor	Mature	Low	Medium	Medium	350	4.2	2.3	
			Allocasuarina												
	-	23	torulosa	12	9	Fair	Fair	Mature	Low	Medium	Medium	350	4.2	2.3	
		24	Allocasuarina	14		F _1:0	Fair.		1	A 4	Ma diana	450	- A	2.5	
	-	24	toruiosa	14	9	Fair	Fair	wature	LOW	wearum	iviedium	450	5.4	2.5	
		25	torulosa	17	7	Fair	Poor	Mature	Low	Medium	low	200	36	2 1	
	-	25	Allocasuarina	12	<u> </u>			mature		ivic uruni	2011	500	5.0	2.1	
		26	torulosa	16	12	Fair	Fair	Mature	Medium	Medium	Medium	500	6	2.6	
	-		Allocasuarina												
		27	torulosa	12	12	Fair	Fair	Mature	Low	Medium	Medium	300	3.6	2.1	
		28	Eucalyptus sp.	12	8	Fair	Fair	Semi-mature	Low	Short	Low	150	2	1.7	

Table 2 Results from site survey

Page 13

4. Specifications

The following specifications are required if the proposed development is to proceed:

A detailed site-specific Tree Protection Plan (TPP) is to be prepared by an AQF Level 5 Arboricultural Consultant along with an AIA and submitted to the nominated certifier for approval (*See Appendix 2 for TPP*).

- Any underground pipes or cabling is to be routed outside the TPZ if possible. The Project Arborist must be informed prior to any further unplanned encroachment within the TPZ.
- Ground Protection must be installed where existing hard surfaces are removed, and vehicular access is required. The ground protection can only be removed immediately before the installation of the driveways. Further specifications can be found in Appendix 2.
- All tree pruning and removals must be undertaken by an Arborist holding a minimum certificate 3 in Arboriculture and associated insurances.
- The area within the tree protection fencing should be mulched with good quality leaf mulch to a depth of 100mm prior to construction to promote better tree health during the construction period.
- Ensuring that the soil moisture content stays above 50% within the TPZs will greatly benefit the trees to be retained on the site and will help offset the impacts of construction. This is highly recommended.

4.1. Tree removals

The following trees will need to be removed if the proposed development is to proceed:

- Tree 11 is dead and is unworthy of retention.
- Tree 22 is within the proposed building footprint and cannot be retained if the proposed development is to proceed.
- Tree 21 has poor structure and a low retention rating. It is unworthy of retention.

Page 15 |

Appendix 1 – TPZ Plan

Below is an image of the TPZ Plan.



Figure 3: TPZ Plan

Appendix 2 – Tree Protection Plan

Specifications

The following specifications are required if the proposed development is to proceed:

- Any underground pipes or cabling is to be routed outside the TPZ if possible. The Project Arborist must be informed prior to any further unplanned encroachment within the TPZ.
- Ground Protection must be installed where existing hard surfaces are removed, and vehicular access is required. The ground protection can only be removed immediately before the installation of the driveways. Further specifications can be found in Appendix 2.
- All tree pruning and removals must be undertaken by an Arborist holding a minimum certificate 3 in Arboriculture and associated insurances.
- The area within the tree protection fencing should be mulched with good quality leaf mulch to a depth of 100mm prior to construction to promote better tree health during the construction period.
- Ensuring that the soil moisture content stays above 50% within the TPZs will greatly benefit the trees to be retained on the site and will help offset the impacts of construction. This is highly recommended.

Tree Protection Fencing

Tree protection fencing must be established in the locations shown in *Figure 4*. Existing fencing, site hoarding or structures (such as a wall or building) may be used as tree protection fencing, providing the TPZ remains isolated from construction footprint.

Tree protection fencing must be installed prior to site establishment and remain intact until completion of works. Once erected, protective fencing must not be removed or altered without the approval of the project arborist.

Tree protection fencing shall be:

- Enclosed to the full extent of the TPZ (or as specified in the Specifications and Tree Protection Plan).
- Temporary mesh panel fencing (minimum height 1.8m).
- Certified and inspected by the project arborist.
- Installed prior to the commencement of works.

Heartwood Tree Consulting

- Prominently signposted with 300mm x 450mm boards stating, "NO ACCESS - TREE PROTECTION ZONE".

Page 16

If tree protection fencing cannot be installed due to sloping or uneven ground, tree protection barriers must be installed as an alternative.



Specifications for tree protection barriers are as follows:

- Star pickets spaced at 2m intervals,
- Connected by a continuous high-visibility barrier/hazard mesh.
- Maintained at a minimum height of 1m.

Where approved works are required within the TPZ, fencing may be setback to provide construction access. Trunk, branch and ground protection shall be installed and must comply with AS 4970-2009, Protection of Trees on Development Sites. Any additional construction activities within the TPZ of the subject trees must be assessed and approved by the Project Arborist.

TPZ Fencing Plan

Below is an image of the Fencing plan.



Figure 4: Fencing Plan - Fence in yellow

Trunk protection

Where provision of tree protection fencing is impractical or must be temporarily removed, trunk protection shall be installed to avoid accidental mechanical damage.

Specifications for trunk protection are as follows:

- A thick layer of carpet underfelt, geotextile fabric or similar wrapped around the trunk to a minimum height of 2m.
- 1.8m lengths of softwood timbers aligned vertically and spaced evenly around the trunk (with a small gap of approximately 50mm between the timbers).

Page 18

The timbers must be secured using galvanized hoop strap.

The timbers shall be wrapped around the trunk but not fixed to the tree, as this will cause injury/damage to the tree.

Ground protection

If temporary access for vehicle, plant or machinery is required within the TPZ, ground protection shall be installed. The purpose of ground protection is to prevent root damage and soil compaction within the TPZ. Where possible, areas of existing pavement shall be used as ground protection.

Specifications for light traffic access (<3.5 tonne) are as follows:

- Permeable membrane such as geotextile fabric.
- Layer of mulch or crushed rock (at minimum depth of 100mm)

Specifications for heavy traffic access (>3.5 tonne) are as follows:

- Permeable membrane such as geotextile fabric.
- Layer of lightly compacted road base (at minimum depth of 200mm)
- Geotextile fabric shall extend a minimum 300mm beyond the edge of the road base.

Pedestrian, vehicular and machinery access within the TPZ shall be restricted solely to areas where ground protection has been installed.

Excavations

All approved excavations (including root investigations) within the TPZ must be carried out using tree sensitive methods under supervision of the Project Arborist. These methods may include:

- Manual excavation (hand tools).
- Air spade.
- Hydro-vacuum excavations (sucker-truck).

Where approved by the Project Arborist, excavations using compact machinery fitted with a flat bladed bucket is permissible. Excavations using compact machinery shall be undertaking in small increments and guided by the Project Arborist who is to look for and prevent root damage to roots >50mm in diameter.

No over-excavation, battering or benching shall be undertaken beyond the footprint of any structure unless approved by the Project Arborist. Hand excavation and root mapping shall be undertaken along excavation lines within the TPZ prior to the commencement of mechanical excavation (to prevent tearing and shattering of roots from excavation equipment). Any conflicting roots (>50mm in diameter) shall be pruned using clean, sharp secateurs or a pruning saw to ensure a clean cut free from tears. All root pruning must be documented and carried out by the project arborist.

age

age 20

Underground services

All underground services should be routed outside of the TPZ. If underground services need to be installed within the TPZ, they must be installed using tree sensitive excavation methods under supervision of the Project Arborist.

Alternatively, boring methods such as horizontal directional drilling (HDD) may be used for underground service installation, providing the installation is at minimum depth of 800mm below grade. Excavations for entry/exit pits must be located outside the TPZ.

Site Inspections

In accordance with the Australian Standard, *AS* 4970-2009, *Protection of Trees on Development Sites*, inspections must be conducted by the Project Arborist at the following key project stages:

- Prior to any work commencing on-site (including demolition, earthworks or site clearing) and following installation of tree protection.
- During any excavations, building works and any other activities carried out within the TPZ of any tree to be retained & protected.
- Following completion of the building works.

Heartwood Tree Consulting

It shall be the responsibility of the Project Manager to notify the Project Arborist prior to any works within the TPZ, of any protected tree at a minimum of 48 hours' notice. To ensure the Tree Protection Plan is implemented, hold points have been specified in the schedule of work *(Table 4).*

Page 21

Schedule of Work

Hold Point	Instruction
Pre - Construction Works	Tree protection (for trees that will be retained) shall be installed prior to demolition and site establishment, this may include mulching of areas within the TPZ. Project Arborist shall inspect and certify tree protection.
During Construction works	Project Arborist to supervise and document all works carried out within the TPZ of trees to be retained.
Post Construction Works	Inspection of trees by Project Arborist after all major construction has ceased, following the removal of tree protection measures.

Table 3: Hold points



Appendix 3 – STARS Retention Rating Method

		Tr	ee Significan	ce			
		High	Medium	Low			
ectancy	Long >40 years						
Life Expe	Medium 15-40 years						
Useful I	Short <1-15 years						
	Dead						

Legend for Matrix Assessment
Priority for retention (High): These trees are considered important for retention and should be retained and protected. Design modification or re-location of building/s should be considered to accommodate the setbacks as prescribed by the Australian Standard AS4970 Protection of trees on development sites. Tree sensitive construction measures must be implemented if works are to proceed within the Tree Protection Zone.
Consider for retention (Medium): These trees may be retained and protected. These are considered less critical; however, their retention should remain priority with the removal considered only if adversely affecting the proposed building/works and all other alternatives have been considered and exhausted.
Consider for removal (Low): These trees are not considered important for retention, nor require special works or design modification to be implemented for their retention.
Consider for removal (Low): These trees are not considered important for retention, nor require special works or design modification to be implemented for their retention.

Page 22

Reference

IACA, 2010, IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS) Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists Australia, www.iaca.org.au



Appendix 4 – Photos of the trees



Figure 5: tree 1

Page 23



Figure 6: Tree 21





Figure 7: Tree 22

