

---

**Sent:** 15/07/2020 8:57:58 AM  
**Subject:** Online Submission

15/07/2020

MRS Ruth Clarkson  
4 Griffin RD  
NORTH CURL CURL NSW 2099  
sharuem@optusnet.com.au

**RE: DA2020/0661 - 7356 / 1167221 Huston Parade NORTH CURL CURL NSW 2099**

Development Application DA2020/0661 7356/1167221 Huston Parade North Curl Curl 2099  
Further to my recently filed objection, I have now had an opportunity to review the visual impact assessment which has only now been uploaded. I note the following:

- (i) It is not understood why this was not uploaded together with the original documentation considering its significance. The document is now hidden amongst other submissions and could easily be overlooked.
  - (ii) It would appear that the report has selectively chosen a number of sites which could be seen as assisting Optus' application. There are other sites in the area from which the proposed tower will be visible and which are likely to have a high impact. These include but are not limited to:
    - (a) The highly visited area surrounding the lifeguard seat at the front of the North Curl Curl Surf Club from which the existing light tower is visible;
    - (b) the highly visited area of the beachfront directly to the southern side of the lifeguard seat from which the existing light tower is visible;
    - (c) many outlook spots on the highly visited Curl Curl to Dee Why headland walk particularly between the surf club and the pool and including the rocky outlook adjacent the three way junction of the headland walk from which the existing light tower is visible and which even has views of the baseball cage; and
    - (d) the top of the dune which Optus refers to in Figure 3. This dune is a very popular location for both sunbakers and exercise enthusiasts who run up the dune. The existing light tower is visible from the top of this dune.
- It is noted that all of these locations are within the viewshed in Figure 9 of the report and I would submit that all of these locations could be considered "most sensitive viewpoints".
- (iii) The photographs used are very dark, low lit and out of focus. It would have been better if the actual conditions on a sunny day without cloud cover was shown as this would be the situation where the proposed tower will likely have the most impact;
  - (iv) Figure 17 is taken from the beach car park. It would be better to have a photo taken from the actual walkway and other elevated areas of the dog park.

In any event what this document shows is that there will be significant visual impact by the proposal. Reference is made for example to VP1. On a sunny day this light pole will be very apparent.