

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT - DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

DA No. DA2009/1078

Assessment Officer: Michael Edwards

Property Address: Lot 12, Sec 47, DP 111254, No.142 Ocean Street, Narrabeen
Proposal Description: Alterations and additions to an existing dwelling and inclusion of a granny flat.
Plan Reference: 06-09-0C Sheets 1 – 8, dated June 2009, prepared by Network Design.

Report Section	Applicable	Complete & Attached
Section 1 – Code Assessment	✓ Yes	✓ Yes □ No
Section 2 – Issues Assessment	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}	✓ Yes □ No
Section 3 – Site Inspection Analysis	✓ Yes	✓ Yes □ No
Section 4 – Application Determination	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}	✓ Yes □ No

Estimated Cost of Works: \$120,000 Are S94A Contributions Applicable?

✓ Yes □ No

Warringah Section 94A Development Contributions Plan			
Contribution based on total development cost of	\$	120,000	
Contribution - all parts Warringah	Levy Rate	Contribution Payable	Council Code
Total S94A Levy	0.45%	\$540	6923
S94A Planning and Administration	0.05%	\$60	6924
Total	0.5%	\$600	

Notification Required?

Period of Public Exhibition?

✓ Yes □ No

✓	14 days	21 days	30 days	N/A
No	. of Subm	nissions: No s	submissions	

Submissions Received?

□ _{Yes} 🔽 _{No}

	V	
Are any trees impacted upon by the proposed development?	Yes	No

RELEVANT BACKGROUND

There is no background information relevant to the assessment of this application.

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The application seeks Councils approval for the alterations and additions to the existing dwelling to accommodate a second storey granny flat. In more detail, the proposal involves the following:

- Enclosure of the area between the existing detached garage and dwelling to create a new front entry and storeroom with internal stair access to the upper storey granny flat;
- Provision of a paved courtyard north of the new front entry;
- Installation of a 3000L rainwater tank;
- Construction of an upper storey granny flat with attached roofed balcony.



SECTION 1 - CODE ASSESSMENT REPORT

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS

WLEP 2000

Locality: D1 Collaroy/Narrabeen

Development Definition: 🔽 Housing 🗖 Ancillary Development to Housing 🗖 Other	
Category of Development: Category 1 Category 2 Category 3	

Desired Future Character:

'The Collaroy/Narrabeen locality will be characterised by detached style housing and apartment style housing in landscaped settings and a range of complementary and compatible uses. New apartment development will be confined to the "medium density areas" shown on the map.

Outside the "medium density areas", future development will maintain the visual pattern and predominant scale of existing detached style housing in the locality. All new development will be of a low scale and articulated with generous spaces between buildings to retain the open lake and seaside character of the locality and create visual interest. Unless exemptions are made to the housing density standard in this locality statement, any subdivision of land is to be consistent with the predominant pattern, size and configuration of existing allotments in the locality.

The use of materials that blend with the colours and textures of the natural landscape are to be encouraged. Building designs are to incorporate the fine detail found in some of the older existing cottages.

Buildings and development along the Collaroy/Narrabeen Beachfront will address the current and future hazards of wave impact and coastal erosion.

The locality will continue to be served by the existing local retail centres in the areas shown on the map. Future development in these centres will be in accordance with the general principles of development control provided by clause 39.'

Category 1 Development with no variations to BFC's (Section 2 Assessment not required)

Is the development considered to be consistent with the Locality's Desired Future Character Statement? $\fboxspace{-1mu}_{Yes} \fboxspace{-1mu}_{No}$

Category 1 Development with variations to BFC's	(Section 2 Assessment Required)
Category 2 Development Consistency Test	(Section 2 Assessment Required)
Category 3 Development Consistency Test	(Section 2 Assessment Required)



Built	Form	Controls:	

Building Height (overall):	
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged
Applicable. Tes No	Proposed: 6.6m
Requirement:	
▼ 8.5m	Complies: Yes No
8.5m	
11.0m	
Building Height (underside of upper most ceiling):	
Applicable: Ves No	Existing and unchanged
Applicable: Yes No	Proposed: 6.3m
Requirement:	
▼ 7.2m	Complies: Yes No
Front Setback:	Existing and unchanged
Applicable: Yes No	
	Proposed: 7.0m
Requirement:	Complies:
€.5m	complies. Tes No
Is the Corner Allotment / Secondary Street Frontage control applicable?:	Corner Allotment:
	Existing and unchanged
└─ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Existing and unchanged
✓ Yes ✓ No Requirement:	Existing and unchanged Proposed:m
	Proposed:m
Requirement:	Proposed:m Complies: Yes No
Requirement: 3.5m Housing Density:	Proposed:m Complies: Yes No
Requirement:	Proposed:m Complies: Yes No Existing and unchanged
Requirement: 3.5m Housing Density: Applicable: Yes No	Proposed:m Complies: Yes No
Requirement: 3.5m Housing Density:	Proposed:m Complies: Yes No Existing and unchanged
Requirement: 3.5m Housing Density: Applicable: Yes No	Proposed:m Complies: Yes No Existing and unchanged Proposed:dwelling / persqm
Requirement: 3.5m Housing Density: Applicable: Yes No Requirement:	Proposed:m Complies: Yes No Existing and unchanged Proposed:dwelling / persqm
Requirement: 3.5m Housing Density: Applicable: Yes No Requirement: 1 dwelling per 450sqm	Proposed:m Complies: Yes No Existing and unchanged Proposed:dwelling / persqm Complies: Yes No
Requirement: 3.5m Housing Density: Applicable: Yes No Requirement: 1 dwelling per 450sqm 1 dwelling per 600sqm Landscape Open Space:	Proposed:m Complies: Yes No Existing and unchanged Proposed:dwelling / persqm
Requirement: 3.5m Housing Density: Applicable: Yes No Requirement: 1 dwelling per 450sqm 1 dwelling per 600sqm	Proposed:m Complies: Yes No Existing and unchanged Proposed:dwelling / persqm Complies: Yes No Complies: Yes No
Requirement: 3.5m Housing Density: Applicable: Yes No Requirement: 1 dwelling per 450sqm 1 dwelling per 600sqm Landscape Open Space: Applicable: Yes No	Proposed:m Complies: Yes No Existing and unchanged Proposed:dwelling / persqm Complies: Yes No Existing and unchanged Proposed: 41.1% (382.0sqm)
Requirement: 3.5m Housing Density: Applicable: Yes No Requirement: 1 dwelling per 450sqm 1 dwelling per 600sqm Landscape Open Space:	Proposed:m Complies: Yes No Existing and unchanged Proposed:dwelling / persqm Complies: Yes No Complies: Yes No



Rear Setback:	
Applicable: Ves No	Existing and unchanged
	Proposed:m
Requirement:	Complies: Yes No
€.0m	
Outbuildings:	Outbuildings:
Requirement:	Existing and unchanged
50% of rear setback	Proposed:%
	Complies: Yes No
Side Boundary Envelope:	
Applicable: Yes No	Boundary: Vth Sth Est Wst
Requirement:	Existing and unchanged
4m / 45 degrees	or
	Fully within Envelope: 🔽 Yes 🗖 No
5m / 45 degrees	Minor Breach: Yes Vo
	Complies: Yes No
	Complies: Yes No
	Boundary: Nth 🔽 Sth 🗆 Est 🗆 Wst
	Existing and unchanged
	or
	Fully within Envelope: Yes Vo
	Minor Breach: Yes No
	Complies: Yes No
	The D1 Collaroy / Narrabeen Locality Statement gives concession to the Side Boundary Envelope where the non-compliance is minimal and for the provision of an upper storey addition.
	In this regard, the concession applies to this development proposal as the granny flat is proposed as an upper storey addition and is minimal in extent, being confined to the uppermost portion of the wall and eave overhang in the southwestern-most corner of the granny flat.
Side Setbacks:	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst
Applicable: Ves No	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst
	Existing and unchanged
900mm	or Proposed: 4.0m to portico roof
✓ 4.5m	6.5m to granny flat



Complies: Yes No
Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst
Existing and unchanged
or
Proposed: 1300mm
Complies:

General Principles of Development Control:

CL38 Glare & reflections	Complies:
Applicable:	└── Yes ▼ Yes , subject to condition └── No
Yes No	The imposition of conditions of consent will ensure the colours and finishes of the alterations and additions are within the medium to dark colour range so as to reduce excessive solar glare and reflections.
CL39 Local retail centres	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ _{Yes} I _{No}	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL40 Housing for Older People and People	Complies:
with Disabilities Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL41 Brothels	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
└─ _{Yes} I No	
CL42 Construction Sites	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Ves , subject to condition
Yes No	The imposition of conditions of consent will ensure the appropriate management of the site during construction works.
CL43 Noise	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} 🔽 _{No}	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL44 Pollutants	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} IV _{No}	res res, subject to condition into
CL45 Hazardous Uses	Complies:
Applicable:	\square Yes \square Yes , subject to condition \square No
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	
CL46 Radiation Emission Levels	Complies:
Applicable:	



□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL47 Flood Affected Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?
Yes No	□ _{Yes} □ _{No}
	Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?
	Yes No
CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land	Complies:
Applicable:	\square Yes \square Yes , subject to condition \square No
□ _{Yes} ☑ _{No}	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils	Complies:
Applicable:	-
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	The subject site is identified as Class 4 Acid Sulfate Soils, where works 2m or more below natural ground level have a
	higher exposure.
	The proposed works, although including minor excavation for footings, do not exceed a depth of 2m below natural
	ground level. In this regard, the proposed development satisfies this General Principle.
CL50 Safety & Security	Complies:
Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL51 Front Fences and Walls	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} I✓ _{No}	
CL52 Development Near Parks, Bushland	Complies:
Reserves & other public Open Spaces	·
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└ _{Yes} ₩ _{No}	
CL53 Signs	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ _{Yes} ☑ _{No}	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	Complian.
CL54 Provision and Location of Utility Services	Complies:
Applicable:	\square Yes \square Yes , subject to condition \square No
□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	
CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No



T Yes ₩ No	
CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental Features on Site Applicable: Ves No	Complies:
CL57 Development on Sloping Land Applicable: Yes Mo	Complies:
CL58 Protection of Existing Flora Applicable: Ves No	Complies:
CL59 Koala Habitat Protection Applicable: Ves No	Complies:
CL60 Watercourses & Aquatic Habitats Applicable:	Complies:
CL61 Views Applicable: Yes No	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No The upper storey granny flat provides a finished building height of 6.6m to the front portion of the dwelling. To the north and west, the subject site is adjoined by multi-storey residential flat buildings. As such, there are no significant view corridors obtained from or over the site.
CL62 Access to sunlight Applicable: Ves No	Complies:
CL63 Landscaped Open Space Applicable: Ves No	Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No The provision of landscaped open space provides an area sufficient in depth and area for the establishment of deep soil quality landscape plantings. Further, the area of landscaped open space allows for the functional use by the occupants.
CL63A Rear Building Setback Applicable:	Complies:
CL64 Private open space Applicable: ▼ Yes □ No	Complies:



CL65 Privacy	Complies:
Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL66 Building bulk	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}	Yes Yes, subject to condition ino
CL67 Roofs	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Ves , subject to condition
Ves No	The imposition of conditions of consent will ensure the
tes no	commitments made within the BASIX Certificate are implemented in the dwelling prior to the issue of any Occupation Certificate.
CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public	Complies:
Buildings	
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	
CL70 Site facilities	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ _{Yes} ☑ _{No}	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact)	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} I✓ _{No}	
CL72 Traffic access & safety	Complies:
Applicable:	·
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} I✓ _{No}	
CL73 On-site Loading and Unloading	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} 🔽 _{No}	
CL74 Provision of Carparking	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Ves No	The subject site currently makes provision for the
Tes No	accommodation of two (2) vehicles and the proposed works do not alter the existing carparking provision.
CL75 Design of Carparking Areas	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ _{Yes} ^I _{No}	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL76 Management of Stormwater Applicable:	Complies:



└─ _{Yes} I No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL77 Landfill	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ _{Yes} ☑ _{No}	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL78 Erosion & Sedimentation	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Yes No	The imposition of conditions of consent will ensure the appropriate management of the site to prevent erosion and sedimentation.
CL79 Heritage Control	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} IV _{No}	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL80 Notice to Metropolitan Aboriginal Land	Complies:
Council and the National Parks and Wildlife Service	\square Yes \square Yes , subject to condition \square No
Applicable:	
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ _{Yes} I _{No}	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage	Complies:
Items	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Applicable:	res res, subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL83 Development of Known or Potential	Complies:
Archaeological Sites	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	
Yes Vo	

Schedules:

Schedule 5 State policies	Complies:
Applicable:	\square Yes \square Yes , subject to condition \square No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition ino
Schedule 6 Preservation of bushland	Complies:
Applicable:	\square Yes \square Yes , subject to condition \square No
└─ _{Yes} I _{No}	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Schedule 7 Matters for consideration in a	Complies:
subdivision of land	\square Yes \square Yes , subject to condition \square No
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Yes Vo	



Schedule 8 Site analysis	Complies:
Applicable:	
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Schedule 9 Notification requirements for remediation work	Complies:
Applicable:	\square Yes \square Yes , subject to condition \square No
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	
Schedule 10 Traffic generating development	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} I✓ _{No}	res res, subject to condition into
Schedule 11 Koala feed tree species and	Complies:
plans of management Applicable:	\square Yes \square Yes , subject to condition \square No
	····
Yes No	
Schedule 12 Requirements for complying development	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Schedule 13 Development guidelines for	Complies:
Collaroy/Narrabeen Beach Applicable:	\square Yes \square Yes , subject to condition \square No
	res res, subject to condition no
Yes Vo	
Schedule 14 Guiding principles for	Complies:
development near Middle Harbour Applicable:	\square Yes \square Yes , subject to condition \square No
Yes No	
Schedule 15 Statement of environmental	Complies:
effects Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Schedule 17 Carparking provision	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition ino

Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instruments:

SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No SEPP Basix: Applicable?

Yes No If yes: Has the applicant provided Basix Certification?

✓ Yes □ No



SEPP 55 Applicable?

✓ Yes □ No

Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?



Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?

✓ Yes □ No

SEPP Infrastructure

Applicable?

✓ Yes □ No

Is the proposal for a swimming pool:

□ _{Yes} ^I _{No}

Within 30m of an overhead line support structure?

□ _{Yes} ∎ _{No}

Within 5m of an overhead power line ?

□_{Yes} ▼_{No}

Does the proposal comply with the SEPP?

▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}

REPs: Applicable?: Ves No

EPA Regulation Considerations:

Clause 54 & 109 (Stop the Clock) Applicable:	
□ _{Yes} ☑ _{No}	
Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures)	Addressed via condition?
Applicable:	□ _{Yes} □ _{No}
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	Yes No
Clause 92 (Government Coastal Policy)	Is the proposal consistent with the Goal and Objectives
Applicable:	of the Government Coastal Policy?
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	□ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety)	Addressed via condition?
Applicable:	□ _{Yes} □ _{No}
□ _{Yes} ☑ _{No}	Yes No
Clause 94 (Upgrade of Building for	Addressed via condition?
Disability Access)	□ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Applicable:	Yes No
Tyes No	



Clause 98 (BCA)	Addressed via condition?
Applicable:	
₩ _{Yes} No	Yes No

REFERRALS

Referral Body/Officer	Required	Response
Development Engineering	□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Landscape Assessment	□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Bushland Management	□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Catchment Management	□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Aboriginal Heritage	□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Env. Health and Protection	□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
NSW Rural Fire Service	□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Energy Australia	₽ _{Yes} □ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition



		Unsatisfactory
Applicable Legislation/ EPI's /Po	olicies:	SEPP No. 55 – Remediation of Land
EPA Act 1979		SEPP No. 55 – Remediation of Land SEPP No. 71 – Coastal Protection
EPA Regulations 2000	V	SEPP BASIX
Disability Discrimination Act 19	992	SEPP Infrastructure
Local Government Act 1993	V	WLEP 2000
Roads Act 1993		WDCP
RFI Act 1948		S94 Development Contributions Plan
Water Management Act 2000		S94A Development Contributions Plan
Water Act 1912		NSW Coastal Policy (cl 92 EPA Regulation)

Swimming Pools Act 1992;

SECTION 79C EPA ACT 1979

Section 79C (1) (a)(i) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any relevant environmental planning instrument?	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Section 79C (1) (a)(ii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	▼ _{Yes} No
Section 79C (1) (a)(iii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any development control plan	▼ _{Yes}
Section 79C (1) (a)(iiia) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Planning Agreement or Draft Planning Agreement	└─ _{Yes} └─ _{No} └─ _{N/A}
Section 79C (1) (a)(iv) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Regulations?	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Section 79C (1) (b) – Are the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality acceptable?	Ves No
Section 79C (1) (c) – It the site suitable for the development?	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Section 79C (1) (d) – Have you considered any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs?	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Section 79C (1) (e) – Is the proposal in the public interest?	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}



SECTION 2 – ISSUES

WLEP 2000

DESIRED FUTURE CHARACTER

'The Collaroy/Narrabeen locality will be characterised by detached style housing and apartment style housing in landscaped settings and a range of complementary and compatible uses. New apartment development will be confined to the "medium density areas" shown on the map.

Outside the "medium density areas", future development will maintain the visual pattern and predominant scale of existing detached style housing in the locality. All new development will be of a low scale and articulated with generous spaces between buildings to retain the open lake and seaside character of the locality and create visual interest. Unless exemptions are made to the housing density standard in this locality statement, any subdivision of land is to be consistent with the predominant pattern, size and configuration of existing allotments in the locality.

The use of materials that blend with the colours and textures of the natural landscape are to be encouraged. Building designs are to incorporate the fine detail found in some of the older existing cottages.

Buildings and development along the Collaroy/Narrabeen Beachfront will address the current and future hazards of wave impact and coastal erosion.

The locality will continue to be served by the existing local retail centres in the areas shown on the map. Future development in these centres will be in accordance with the general principles of development control provided by clause 39.'

Clause 12(3)(a) of WLEP 2000 requires the consent authority to consider Category 1 development against the locality's DFC statement. Notwithstanding Clause 12(3)(a) only requires the consideration of the DFC statement, however as detailed under the Built Form Controls Assessment section of this report the proposed development results in non-compliances with the Landscaped Open Space and Side Setback Built Form Control/s, as such pursuant to Clause 20(1) a higher test is required

Accordingly, an assessment of consistency of the proposed development against the locality's DFC is provided hereunder:

The proposed development is considered to satisfy the applicable DFC statement for the reasons detailed hereunder:

- The proposed alterations and additions maintain the detached style housing character. Although the subject site is adjoined on the northern elevation by a multi-storey residential flat building, the proposed alterations and additions maintain the character of the existing site.
- The subject site is located within the medium density area, however provides a consistent pattern
 of development with the detached residential dwelling adjoining the southern elevation of the
 subject site and the detached residential dwellings located opposite.
- The alterations and additions propose the use of finishes to provide a contemporary look to the existing dwelling and with the imposition of conditions to ensure the use of medium to dark colours, will ensure the colours and finishes blend with the natural environment.
- The alterations and additions will complement the fine detail found in some of the older existing cottages through the use of weatherboard cladding and louvered glass windows, which is a consistent feature of such dwellings.

Accordingly, the proposed alterations and additions are considered consistent with the Desired Future Character.

BUILT FORM CONTROLS

As detail within Section 1 (Code Assessment) the proposed development does not comply with the Locality's Landscaped Open Space and Side Setback Built Form Controls, accordingly, further assessment is provided hereunder.



Description of variations sought and reasons provided:

Landscaped Open Space Built Form Control

Requirement:

Development within the medium density area is to provide 50% of the site as landscaped open space.

Area of inconsistency with control:

The proposed alterations and additions provide a total provision of 41.1% landscaped open space.

Merit Consideration of Non-compliance:

The subject site is located within the medium density area of the D1 Collaroy / Narrabeen Locality. In this regard, notwithstanding the detached residential dwelling character of the existing building, the site is subject to the Built Form Controls that are specific for development of a higher density. Accordingly, were the subject site not located within the medium density area, the provision of landscaped open space would comply with the minimum requirement of 40%.

Notwithstanding, the following considerations have been applied in the assessment of the variation to the Landscaped Open Space Built Form Control:

Enable the establishment of appropriate planting to maintain and enhance the streetscape and the desired future character of the locality.

The provision of 41.1% of the site area maintains sufficient areas to accommodate quality and dense landscape plantings that will maintain a landscaped setting on the site and contribute to the desired future character of the locality.

Enable the establishment of appropriate planting that is of scale and density commensurate with the building height, bulk and scale.

The proposed works are predominantly over an existing hard surfaced area, maintaining the existing deep soil areas and existing landscape plantings. In this regard, there will be no significant reduction in the future accommodation of landscape plantings that are commensurate with the building height, bulk and scale.

Enhance privacy between dwellings.

The subject site is adjoined to the north by a multi-storey residential flat building. In this regard, the subject site has reduced visual privacy given the orientation of balconies which allow for a direct view over the subject site. Notwithstanding, there is sufficient landscaped open space to enable the establishment of landscape plantings that will aid visual privacy.

Accommodate appropriate outdoor recreational needs to suit the anticipated requirements of the occupants and provide space for service functions including clothes drying.

The provision of landscaped open space maintains a functional area that accommodates the outdoor recreational needs of the occupants and service functions.

Facilitate water management including on-site detention and the infiltration of stormwater.

The provision of 41.1% of the site area will enable effective and appropriate natural infiltration of stormwater.

Incorporate the establishment of any plant species nominated in the relevant locality statement. Conserve significant features on the site.

The D1 locality statement does not specify the establishment of any plant species.



Side Setback Built Form Control

Requirement:

Buildings within the medium density area are to provide a side setback of 4.5m

Area of inconsistency with control:

The roofed portico provides a setback to the northern boundary of 4.0m and 1.3m to the storeroom.

Merit Consideration of Non-compliance:

The subject site is located within the medium density area of the D1 Collaroy / Narrabeen Locality. In this regard, notwithstanding the detached residential dwelling character of the existing building, the site is subject to the Built Form Controls that are specific for development of a higher density. Accordingly, were the subject site not located within the medium density area, the proposed side setback would comply with the minimum requirement of 900mm.

Notwithstanding, the following considerations have been applied in the assessment of the variation to the Side Setback Built Form Control:

Ensure that development does not become visually dominant by virtue of its height and bulk.

The second storey granny flat provides a finished height of 6.6m and with the provision of a deep roofed balcony provides an articulated built form and does not become visually dominant by virtue of its building height or bulk.

Preserve the amenity of the surrounding land.

The provision of an upper storey granny flat towards the front of the existing dwelling does not result in any unreasonable or excessive overshadowing to the southern adjoining property and there are no unreasonable opportunities for overlooking.

Ensure that development responds to site topography.

The subject site is located below street-level. At the location of the proposed works, the site is predominantly level. In this regard, it is considered that the development responds appropriately to the site topography.

Provide separation between buildings and create a sense of openness.

The proposed storeroom is situated between the existing detached garage and dwelling, providing a matching side setback to the southern boundary. To the north, the roofed portico provides a setback of 4.0m however is open and lightweight. It is also noted that the increased side setback is provided to the northern elevation which is adjoined by the multi-storey residential flat building, providing a reasonable sense of openness and separation.

Provide opportunities for landscaping.

The subject site, notwithstanding the non-complying side setback, maintains a satisfactory provision of landscaped open space which in turn, maintains the existing landscaped setting of the site.

Clause 20(1) stipulates:

"Notwithstanding clause 12 (2) (b), consent may be granted to proposed development even if the development does not comply with one or more development standards, provided the resulting development is consistent with the general principles of development control, the desired future character of the locality and any relevant State environmental planning policy."

In determining whether the proposal qualifies for a variation under Clause 20(1) of WLEP 2000, consideration must be given to the following:

(i) General Principles of Development Control



The proposal is generally consistent the General Principles of Development Control and accordingly, qualifies to be considered for a variation to the development standards, under the provisions of Clause 20(1) (See discussion on "General Principles of Development Control" in this report for a detailed assessment of consistency).

(ii) Desired Future Character of the Locality

The proposal is consistent with the Locality's Desired Future Character Statement and accordingly, qualifies to be considered for a variation to the development standards, under the provisions of Clause 20(1) (See discussion on "Desired Future Character" in this report for a detailed assessment of consistency).

(iii) Relevant State Environmental Planning Policies

The proposal has been considered consistent with all applicable State Environmental Planning Policies. (Refer to earlier discussion under 'State Environmental Planning Policies'). Accordingly the proposal qualifies to be considered for a variation to the development standards, under the provisions of Clause 20(1).

As detailed above, the proposed development is considered to satisfy the requirements to qualify for consideration under Clause 20(1). It is for this reason that the variation to the Landscaped Open Space, Side Setback and Side Boundary Envelope Built Form Controls (Development Standards) pursuant to Clause 20(1) are supported.



SECTION 3 – SITE INSPECTION ANALYSIS

Site area 929.0sqm	
Detail existing onsite structures:	Detached shed
None	Swimming pool
✓ Dwelling	Tennis Court
Detached Garage	Cabana



Site Features:	Potential View Loss as a result of development
None	Yes No
Trees	If Yes where from (in relation to site):
Under Storey Vegetation	North / South
Rock Outcrops	East / West
Caves	North East / South West
Overhangs	North West / South East
Waterfalls	View of:
Creeks / Watercourse	Ocean / Waterways
Aboriginal Art / Carvings	Headland Yes No
Any Item of / or any potential item of heritage	District Views Yes No
significance	Bushland Yes No

Bushfire Prone?

□ _{Yes} □ _{No}

Flood Prone?

□ _{Yes} <a>

 No

Affected by Acid Sulfate Soils

✓ Yes
No

Located within 40m of any natural watercourse?

✓ Yes ✓ No
Located within 1km landward of the open coast watermark or within 1km of any bay estuaries, coastal lake, lagoon, island, tidal waterway within the area mapped within the NSW Coastal Policy?

🗆 Yes 🗹 No

Located within 100m of the mean high watermark?

□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}

Located within an area identified as a Wave Impact Zone?

✓ Yes ✓ No
Any items of heritage significance located upon it?

✓ Yes ✓ No
Located within the vicinity of any items of heritage significance?

□ _{Yes} 🗹 _{No}

Located within an area identified as potential land slip?





Does the development require concurrence?

✓ Yes ✓ No
Is the site owned or is the DA made by the

"Crown"?

✓ Yes № No
Have you reviewed the DP and s88B instrument?

✓ Yes
No

Does the proposal impact upon any easements / Rights of Way?





Site Inspection / Desktop Assessment Undertaken by:

Does the site inspection <section 3=""> confirm the assessment undertaken against the relevant EPI's <section's 1 & 2>?</section's </section>	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Are there any additional matters that have arisen from your site inspection that would require any	└─ _{Yes} ^I No
additional assessment to be undertaken?	If yes provide detail:

Signed	Date	18 September 2009
--------	------	-------------------

Michael Edwards, Development Assessment Officer

SECTION 4 – APPLICATION DETERMINATION

Conclusion:

The proposal has been considered against the relevant heads of consideration under S79C of the EPA Act 1979 and the proposed development is considered to be:

Satisfactory

Unsatisfactory

Recommendation:

That Council as the consent authority

ĸ	-	-	7	5	
			r		

GRANT DEVELOPMENT CONSENT to the development application subject to:

- (a) the conditions detailed within the associated notice of determination; and
- (b) the consent lapsing within three (3) from operation

 \square

 \Box

GRANT DEFERRED COMMENCEMENT CONSENT to the development application subject to:

- (a) the conditions detailed within the associated notice of determination;
- (b) limit the deferred commencement condition time frame to 3 years;
- (c) one the deferred commencement matter have been satisfactorily addressed issue an operational consent subject to the time frames detailed within part (d); and
- (d) the consent lapsing within three (3) from operation

REFUSE development consent to the development application subject to:

(a) the reasons detailed within the associated notice of determination.



"I am aware of Warringah's Code of Conduct and, in signing this report, declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest"

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION: DA2009/1078 PROPERTY ADDRESS: DA2009/1078

Signed

Date 18 September 2009

Michael Edwards, Development Assessment Officer

The application is determined under the delegated authority of:

Signed

Date 18 September 2009

Steven Findlay, Team Leader, Development Assessment