From: Sent: To: Subject: Attackments:	rickosborn Wednesday, 11 October 2023 4:44 PM Planning Panels - Northern Beaches DA2022/1494 2A Allen Ave
Attachments:	1997Fdetail 2.pdf

Categories:	

NBLPP

Application No. DA2022/1494 Address: 2A Allen Avenue Bilgola Beach,

Dear Members of the Planning Panel,

Further submission

I disagree with the speculative EGL approach adopted by the applicant. The very first document on the DA1494 council page is an Assumptive Plan by surveyor John Lowe. It is this plan on which the architect and town planner have based their entire argument for a 4 level house reaching a height of over 11 metres, 45% higher than council controls.

BUT THIS PLAN IS WRONG as will be demonstrated.

**Firstly,** the surveyor himself has no confidence in the plan. He states on the plan

'This is an assumption only of what the original ground levels may have looked like using the current natural ground levels along the current boundary lines only'

In fact he only uses those boundary lines on the northern side of the property when we believe there is undisturbed ground level on part of the southern side boundary.

The plan is also unsigned, further evidence to John Lowe's lack of confidence in it.

And yet the architect and the town planner (Boston) have taken this plan as gospel using Merman and Bettar to imply authority to their arguments. I spoke with the surveyor John Lowe on Friday 30<sup>th</sup> June 2023. He was very approachable and his opening words when I asked him about the plan were "**It's very iffy, pure guesswork based on no historical data**"

**Secondly,** although John Lowe used boundary levels on the northern side he ignored what we believe to be undisturbed ground levels on the southern boundary adjoining 6 Bilgola Ave and which is adjacent to the proposed dwelling on 2A Allen Ave. The boundary at 6 Bilgola Ave is likely to still be the natural ground level with no excavation work having been carried out. The land is an irregular series of short drops coming down to 10.04m at the point where Lowe predicts 11.5m. That is at least 1.46 m lower than Lowe's Assumptive Plan.

How do we know this? Last week CMS Surveyors conducted a survey of the boundary at 6 Bilgola Ave which is attached.

**Thirdly,** The Assumptive Plan is irrelevant as existing ground levels are known, identified in several surveys despite the fact that the applicant has failed to submit a proper survey. John Lowe himself has identified an EGL of 8.4m in the report on Flood Mitigation page 8 posted to the council web page. There were also surveys in 2011 by Hill and Blume and in 2003 by DP Surveying Services. These surveys identify the EGL at around 8.4m

## THE ENTIRE PREMISS OF THE APPLICANT'S HEIGHT ARGUMENT IS SIMPLY WRONG

Rick Osborn 8 The Serpentine Bilgola Beach

