

---

**Sent:** 3/06/2020 5:05:04 PM  
**Subject:** Online Submission

03/06/2020

MS Margaret Woods  
42a Elimatta RD  
Mona Vale NSW 2103  
woodsm@exemail.com.au

**RE: DA2019/1478 - 1 Narrabeen Park Parade NORTH NARRABEEN NSW 2101**

I hereby object to this proposal to extend the restaurant.

The proposal to increase the seating to 100 patrons where it only has approval for 50 people is not a modest increase. It is a 100% increase.

The proposal to surround the existing mature trees with a fence and remove access by the public is not a modest change either. The use by one business does not improve public use. It takes it away from the public.

Any further encroachment into the base of the trees may mean that the life of these important habitat trees may be impacted. They provide shelter to wildlife and a staging area for Osprey, nankeen kestrels and Sea Eagles as they hunt at the lagoon.

The increase in lighting resulting from the proposed extension to the hours of use of venue and actual opening hours will impact nocturnal wildlife such as Owls, tawny frogmouths and mammals.

It will also impact the quiet enjoyment of the area that is close to a highly regarded aquatic reserve.

The use of prolonged bright lighting and loud noise requested to be allowed every day of the week will have impacts that are easily dismissed by the applicant. But the light and noise affect the migratory shorebirds, they have travelled from Siberia. It also impacts the resident birds that live there, the penguins and rakali that do shelter in this area.

It will also impact on the quiet enjoyment of property that every resident has the right to expect in a residential area.

If the trading hours with live music are longer than the caravan park lights out policy it will also impact on the visitors including the many children who look forward to their holiday at the quiet park.

The impact of increased noise and light is not adequately addressed. Neither is the impact upon wildlife that shelter and live in this area, which is a wildlife sanctuary at the other end of the car park.

As detailed in the supporting papers the area being "fenced" is not for public recreation it is actually for one business to have exclusive use.

It is a creeping development that has taken more and more of public space and our amenity. It is no longer public space if it belongs to just one business.

Having lived here a long time I have seen the narrabeen lagoon flood and it will happen again. The development is in a low lying flood affected area. It is up to Council to mitigate this risk. As far as I understand an extension to a property development in a flood prone area for a private residence would require some mitigation. Is there a potential liability risk for council with this approach?

Any vegetation being considered to be planted should be spelt out. It should be native otherwise it could lead to a further issue of weedy escapees being introduced to the council reserve/ wildlife areas and the lagoon itself.

The development is not protecting or enhancing the natural environment for use by public, it is taking quiet use and enjoyment away from all of us unless we are patrons and willing to pay to access an area that was ours.