
Sent: 11/05/2020 6:14:28 PM
Subject: Online Submission

11/05/2020

MR Craig Morrow
84 Peacock PDE
FRENCHS FOREST NSW 2086
smorrow017@gmail.com

RE: DA2020/0393 - 28 Lockwood Avenue BELROSE NSW 2085

Dear Tony Collier,

RE: DA2020/0393 - 28 Lockwood Avenue BELROSE NSW 2085

I wish to object to the Application Number: DA2020/0393.

As local residents for many years, we believe the size of the development including the proposed footprint being almost to the boundary, the height and the number of units proposed is not in line with the local area or the street scape and is not what the local community wants to see on that site.

Not only would it ruin the current leafy outlook of the suburb, but traffic would be heavily impacted. It's already dangerous for pedestrians and children on bicycles not to mention the increased congestion to the local streets and many residents would be adversely affected by street parking. There seems to be insufficient parking for residents let-alone their visitors. Trying to turn right out of Peacock Parade in the morning is already difficult as is trying to turn in any direction onto Blackbutts Road from Lockwood during school drop off time. Not to mention adding to the congestion of Adams street further along. Traffic constantly speeds along Lockwood Avenue and this development would only make it more dangerous.

The driveways into the service station, Glenrose place and the Glen St Theatre car park are also already a risk to pedestrians and cyclists, including local children.

The environmental impact with many native trees being removed is deeply upsetting to the local community and it doesn't provide any green open space to the community, ie path to walk through to the shops, like the old library site had for many years.

We are not opposed to a development on this site, in fact, we welcome it, but the size must be carefully considered by council. We expect that council will take into consideration all rate paying residents submissions and do the right thing for the community. The units on other side of Glenrose would be more in line with the area and should be the precedent for assessing this application and any others in the future.