GEOTECHNICAL RISK MANAGEMENT POLICY FOR PITTWATER FORM NO. 1 – To be submitted with Development Application

			Name of A	Applicant		
	Address of site	24 Capua	Place, Avalon			
	ation made by geotect	nnical engineer	or engineering geo	logist or coastal eng	ineer (where applicable) as part of
Oli	ecinical report					
	Ben White (Insert Name)	on behalf of _		nical Group Pty Lto Company Name)	<u>d</u>	
		0/9/21			ical engineer or engineer	
:		o issue this doc			er - 2009 and I am autho company has a current	
ė	mark appropriate box	ĭ				
	have prepared the detailed Geotechnical Report referenced below in accordance with the Australia Geomechanic Society's Landslide Risk Management Guidelines (AGS 2007) and the Geotechnical Risk Management Policy for Pittwater - 2009					
	am willing to technically verify that the detailed Geotechnical Report referenced below has been prepared in accordance with the Australian Geomechanics Society's Landslide Risk Management Guidelines (AGS 2007) and the Geotechnical Risk Management Policy for Pittwater - 2009					
	have examined the site and the proposed development in detail and have carried out a risk assessment in accordance with Section 6.0 of the Geotechnical Risk Management Policy for Pittwater - 2009. I confirm that the results of the risk assessment for the proposed development are in compliance with the Geotechnical Risk Management Policy fo					
	Pittwater - 2009 and further detailed geotechnical reporting is not required for the subject site. have examined the site and the proposed development/alteration in detail and I am of the opinion that the Development Application only involves Minor Development/Alteration that does not require a Geotechnical Report or Risk Assessment and hence my Report is in accordance with the Geotechnical Risk Management Policy for Pittwater - 2009					
	have examined the s Hazard and does no	requirements. have examined the site and the proposed development/alteration is separate from and is not affected by a Geotechnical Hazard and does not require a Geotechnical Report or Risk Assessment and hence my Report is in accordance with the Geotechnical Risk Management Policy for Pittwater - 2009 requirements.				
					e Geotechnical Report	
ec.	chnical Report Details:					
	Report Title: Geotech	nical Report 24	Capua Place, Ava	ilon		
	Report Date: 16/9/2	1				
	Author: BEN WHITE					
		rganisation: WHI	TE GEOTECHNICA	L GROUP PTY LTD		
	Author's Company/O	. 9				
n			inon in report prep	aration.		_
r	entation which relate	to or are relied (ent March 2007.	_]

Management" level for the life of the structure, taken as at least 100 years unless otherwise stated and justified in the Report and that reasonable and practical measures have been identified to remove foreseeable risk.

> Bulut Signature Ben White Name Chartered Professional Status MScGEOLAusIMM CP GEOL Membership No. 222757 Company White Geotechnical Group Pty Ltd



J3712. 16th September, 2021

Page 1.

24 Capua Place, Avalon

Minor Works Assessment

1. Proposed Development

A Geotechnical Site Inspection was carried out on the 7th September, 2021.

Details of the proposed works are shown on 6 drawings prepared by Northern Beaches Designs, Project number 2133, drawings numbered DA3 to DA8, dated 26/8/21. The work involves the construction of a new roof over the existing two-storey garage. The drawings show the works are supported off the existing footings. As such the works are considered

minor in scope from a geotechnical perspective.

2. Geotechnical Hazards and Risk Analysis

No geotechnical hazards were observed beside the property. The steep land surface that rises

across the property and continues above and below is a potential hazard (Hazard One).

Hazard One – Qualitative Risk Assessment on Property

The property has dual access. It is on the high side of Capua Place and a Right of Carriageway (ROW) cuts through roughly the centre of the property. The property has a SW aspect. It is located on the steeply graded middle reaches of a hillslope. The natural slope across the property has been altered with excavations for the driveway, garage, and house. The cut for the driveway is supported by a stable timber soldier post retaining wall reaching ~1.8m high (Photo 1). The cut for the garage is supported by a stable ~1.8m high timber soldier post retaining wall that has been constructed with a tilt back into the slope and extends across the entire property (Photo 2). The cut for the house is battered to stable angles and it lined with boulders (Photo 3). The slope above and below the property continues at steep angles and appears in good order as observed from the subject property. The likelihood of the land surface on or above the property failing and impacting on the house is assessed as 'Unlikely'



J3712. 16th September, 2021 Page 2.

(10^{-4}). The consequences to property of such a failure are assessed as 'Medium' (15%). The risk to property is 'Low' (2×10^{-5}).

Hazard One - Quantitative Risk Assessment on Property

For loss of life risk can be calculated as follows:

 \mathbf{R} (Lol) = \mathbf{P} (H) \mathbf{X} \mathbf{P} (S: H) \mathbf{X} \mathbf{P} (T: S) \mathbf{X} \mathbf{V} (D: T) (See Aust. Geomech. Jnl. Mar 2007 Vol. 42 No 1, for full explanation of terms)

Annual Probability

No evidence of significant movement was observed on the property or on the slope immediately above or below.

 $P_{(H)} = 0.0001/annum$

Probability of Spatial Impact

The retaining walls on the property are in good condition. The residential property above appeared in good order as observed from the subject property.

 $P_{(S:H)} = 0.1$

Possibility of the Location Being Occupied During Failure

The average household is taken to be occupied by 4 people. It is estimated that 1 person is in the house for 20 hours a day, 7 days a week. It is estimated 3 people are in the house 12 hours a day, 5 days a week.

For the person most at risk:

$$\frac{20}{24}x\frac{7}{7} = 0.83$$
 $P_{(T:s)} = 0.83$

Probability of Loss of Life on Impact of Failure

Based on the volume of land sliding and its likely velocity when it hits the house it is estimated that the vulnerability of a person to being killed when a landslide occurs is 0.1.

 $V_{(D:T)} = 0.1$



J3712. 16th September, 2021 Page 3.

Risk Estimation

 $R_{(LoI)} = 0.0001 \times 0.1 \times 0.83 \times 0.1$

= 0.00000083

R (LoI) = 8.3×10^{-7} /annum **NOTE:** This level of risk is 'ACCEPTABLE'.

Geotechnical Hazards and Risk Analysis - Risk Analysis Summary

HAZARDS	Hazard One	
ТҮРЕ	The steep slope that rises across the property and continues above and below failing and impacting on the existing house and proposed works.	
LIKELIHOOD	'Unlikely' (10 ⁻⁴)	
CONSEQUENCES TO PROPERTY	'Medium' (15%)	
RISK TO PROPERTY	'Low' (2 x 10 ⁻⁵)	
RISK TO LIFE	8.3 x 10 ⁻⁷ /annum	
COMMENTS	This level of risk is 'ACCEPTABLE'.	

(See Aust. Geomech. Jnl. Mar 2007 Vol. 42 No 1, for full explanation of terms)

3. Conclusion

The property has an 'Acceptable Risk Level' in accordance with the 2009 Geotechnical Risk Management Policy for Pittwater.

White Geotechnical Group Pty Ltd.

Ben White M.Sc. Geol., AuslMM., CP GEOL.

No. 222757

Engineering Geologist.



J3712. 16th September, 2021 Page 4.



Photo 1



Photo 2



J3712. 16th September, 2021 Page 5.



Photo 3