
Sent: 5/12/2019 12:35:00 PM
Subject: Online Submission

05/12/2019

MR Louis DELIGNY
23 Southern Cross WAY
ALLAMBIE NSW 2100
lpdeligny@yahoo.com.au

RE: DA2019/1234 - 0 Wharves And Jetties MANLY NSW 2095

Re DA2019/1234. I own a unit on the 6th floor of 37-38 East Esplanade. I bought it in which to retire. It is currently tenanted. I frequently visit the block and unit for maintenance reasons. I am vehemently opposed to this DA.

Noise- 800 people generating noise - at 11pm or midnight. How is the noise to be contained? And when closing time comes into effect - how will 800 'happy' people leave? What noise will they make? How do they relieve themselves? I'll tell you from current observations and discoveries: on the grass, in our laneways, at the back of the block, in our gardens. Already we have to deal with the putrid stench of rancid urine. Not to mention the 'sloppy brown donuts'. And what about the use of inappropriate language? Not nice at 1am or any time. And the rubbish? And glaring lights? And public safety? The Police attend after the 'event'. Council's job is to prevent the 'event'. And what happens to our Fairy penguins? 800 people so close. How much more rubbish will end up in the harbour, and on the grass? Who cleans it up? Manly Wharf is a heritage-listed building. If this D is approved -where does it end? What, then, is to stop a developer from proposing/submitting a 5 storey hotel/apartment/retail/restaurant complex? Then 8 storey? Any development of Manly Wharf must be contained within the existing structure. Manly Wharf is a public water transport facility. Adding to and enclosing an area that has been open since initial construction does not "maintain,protect or enhance the unique visual quality of this heritage building" How would the public good be enhanced,improved or augmented by this DA? Whilst the visual impact from my unit would be minimal, those -us - on the street would be confronted by increased height detracting from the vista down the Corso to the Wharf.

"Is this development consistent with the long term management of the natural and cultural values of waters in this zone and its adjoining foreshores?"

"Development should maintain,protect and enhance views, day and night, to and from Sydney Harbour."

"Development should minimise and adverse impacts on views/vistas to and from public places"
There is such a thing as over-development. If this DA is approved then Manly Wharf is on the road to (obscene) over-development.

Has anybody bothered to consult the Police on their views of 800 people's after-hours behaviour?

In 2013 Tim Moore SC rejected TMG Developments DA for Manly Wharf.

In 2019 it is still good enough to maintain the rejection.

Enough is enough.

LP Deligny